DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

HOUSING AUTHORITY

+ + + + +

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY MARCH 26, 2018

+ + + + +

The Housing Authority Board of Commissioners met in Hearing Room 220 South at 1133 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., at 11:00 a.m., Joshua Lopez, Commissioner, presiding.

PRESENT

NEIL ALBERT, Chairman*
KENNETH D. COUNCIL, Commissioner
BRIAN KENNER, Commissioner*
FRANK LANCASTER, Commissioner
JOSHUA LOPEZ, Commissioner
NAKEISHA NEAL JONES, Commissioner*
JOSE ORTIZ GAUD, Commissioner*
WILLIAM SLOVER, Commissioner*
FRANSELENE ST. JEAN, Commissioner
AQUARIUS VANN-GHASRI, Commissioner

ALSO PRESENT

TYRONE GARRETT, Executive Director ALETHEA MCNAIR, Acting Board Liaison KEN SLAUGHTER, General Counsel MARY GRACE FOLWELL, Office of General Counsel

* via telephone

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and Introduction 4
Call to Order by Commissioner Joshua Lopez 5
Moment of Silence
Rules of Procedure 7
Roll Call 7
Consideration of Resolution 18-4 to amend Resolution 08-38 conveyance to William C. Smith Certain Real Property at the Capper/Carrollsburg HOPE VI Site
Questions and Answers by Board Members 11
Vote, Resolution passes
Adjourn

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:04 p.m. 2 3 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Good afternoon, my name is Joshua Lopez, fellow commissioner on the 4 Board of Commissioners for the D.C. 5 Housing 6 Authority. I call this meeting to order. 7 This is a special meeting of District of Columbia Housing Authority Board, 8 9 being held today, March 26, 2018, at DCHA 10 Headquarters located at 1133 North Capitol Street, 11 Northeast, Washington, D.C. The time is -- the 12 time is 2:00 p.m. Please silence your cell phones and 13 I would now like electronic devices. Thank you. 14 to ask for a moment of silence. 15 (Moment of silence.) 16 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Okay. The Board 17 of Commissioners welcomes constituent input on 18 matters of public concern and offers you today an 19 opportunity to express your views, including 20

spirited debates of DCHA's decisions by its

management and this Board during the public

21

testimony portion of every meeting. 1 However, we require that members of the 2 3 public who attend our meetings abide by certain rules of decorum to allow the Board to complete the 4 business before it. 5 Members of the public cannot engage in 6 any disruptive conduct, or loud, threatening, or 7 abusive language during Board meetings. This 8 includes loud outbursts or shouting during times 9 when you have not been invited to speak. 10 11 Anyone who violates these rules will be 12 asked to leave the meeting immediately and could be subject to arrest for violation of D.C. laws on 13 conduct. 14 disorderly Serious repeated or violations of the rules may result in you being 15 barred from future Board meetings for a period of 16 at least 60 days. 17 We appreciate your interest in the 18 matters before us today and thank you in advance 19

And

for abiding by these rules of conduct.

MCNAIR:

a roll call to determine a quorum.

MS.

20

21

22

thank

I ask for

you,

1	Commissioner. Commissioner Council.
2	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Present.
3	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Grossinger?
4	Commissioner Kenner?
5	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Here.
6	MS. MCNAIR: I'm sorry, Commissioner
7	Kenner?
8	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Here.
9	MS. MCNAIR: Okay. Let the record
10	reflect Commissioner Kenner is present via phone.
11	Commissioner Lancaster.
12	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Here.
13	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lopez.
14	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Here.
15	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
16	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Present.
17	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
18	Commissioner Neal Jones is present via phone.
19	Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
20	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Here.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
22	Commissioner Ortiz Jones is I'm sorry, Ortiz

1	Gaud is present by phone. Commissioner St. Jean?
2	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Here.
3	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
4	Vann-Ghasri.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Present.
6	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Bill Slover?
7	Is Commissioner Bill
8	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Present.
9	MS. MCNAIR: Bill Slover is present by
10	phone. And Chairman Albert.
11	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Present.
12	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert is
13	present by phone. You have a total of ten
	present by phone. You have a total of ten Commissioners present, five via phone and five
13	
13 14	Commissioners present, five via phone and five
13 14 15	Commissioners present, five via phone and five present in the room. You have a quorum.
13 14 15 16	Commissioners present, five via phone and five present in the room. You have a quorum. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Today's agenda is
13 14 15 16 17	Commissioners present, five via phone and five present in the room. You have a quorum. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Today's agenda is special
13 14 15 16 17 18	Commissioners present, five via phone and five present in the room. You have a quorum. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Today's agenda is special CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Does five does
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commissioners present, five via phone and five present in the room. You have a quorum. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Today's agenda is special CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Does five does five present in the room create a quorum?

we will allow the public to come before this Board 1 twice. Once, to discuss any issues they may have 2 3 about the resolution we will consider today; and second, to discuss any personal issues related to 4 5 housing. We now have two sign-in sheets, one for 6 resolutions and one for everything else. The time 7 limit on testimony remains the same: five minutes 8 for residents, three minutes for non-residents. 9 10 Do the Commissioners have any questions? COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if 11 12 If I may, are we going to call for a reading I may? of the minutes, even though we don't have them 13 present at the moment, being this is an open board 14 meeting? 15 COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I believe the 16 answer is no, since this is a special --17 COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: 18 And, at the same time, there won't be a similar from the 19 Director at this particular time? 20 I want to know this for my record, there won't be minutes, for 21

future purpose?

1	MR. SLAUGHTER: No. This is a special
2	meeting, Commissioner.
3	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Special
4	meeting one-time?
5	MR. SLAUGHTER: Yes, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Okay, just
7	wondering what protocol was for this.
8	MR. SLAUGHTER: Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Okay. Next, we
11	will consider the resolution, Resolution 18-04 to
12	amend Resolution 08-38 conveyance to William C.
13	Smith certain real property at the
14	Capper/Carrollsburg HOPE VI site. I'd like to
15	turn it over and call on our Director, Mr. Garrett,
16	to present.
17	MR. GARRETT: Okay. Commissioners,
18	if you recall, Resolution 08-38 conveyed to W.C.
19	Smith Square 737, where they were obligated to
20	build 30 ACC units on behalf of the Housing
21	Authority.
22	They have been unable to fulfil that

particular obligation and have come to us in order to negotiate a -- in terms in which they would have the opportunity, with Board approval, to build those particular units at an offsite location; in addition, offering compensation to cover the actual cost and the time it has taken for those units to actually be built.

In addition, we have also been able to negotiate out terms for the obligation, if it is not met by W.C. Smith, where the Housing Authority would receive approximately \$12 million in funding for those units, if they were not actually created. And then security being created for an interest in a piece of property, real property, or their partnership stake in another particular location which would equal \$12 million.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Just for the record, this Resolution 18-04 to amend the Resolution 08-38 convening to William C. Smith certain real property at the Capper/Carrollsburg HOPE VI Site, this is a resolution in which Commissioner Slover had requested that we go back

1	and renegotiate from the previous resolution.
2	And so what my understanding, District
3	of Columbia Housing Authority staff went back to
4	do this renegotiation, and with this
5	renegotiation, Director Garrett, what was the
6	accomplishments, if any?
7	MR. GARRETT: At the end of the day,
8	Commissioners, we increased the dollar amount by
9	approximately \$4 million in compensation for the
10	Housing Authority. And I'm sorry, I keep
11	forgetting. And the most important part, the
12	building of 30 ACC units on our behalf.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And how
14	long would this how long will the 30 units, will
15	it take for the 30 units to come up? And we are
16	talking about in Ward 8, correct?
17	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So how many
19	units would at what point in time will the
20	groundbreaking and this would be moving forward?
21	MR. GARRETT: Well, we believe those
22	units would come online by year 2020, mid-2020.

ı	
1	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: If I may, Mr.
2	Chair, at the moment. These 30 units that we are
3	speaking about at present is part of the 30 units
4	that were due to us in Parcel 737, is that correct?
5	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: And this
7	Parcel 737, it's part of Arthur Capper
8	redevelopment and it was part of that footprint
9	there, is that correct?
10	MR. GARRETT: Correct.
11	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Now, we had a
12	707 replacement for Arthur Capper, this 30 units
13	does not interfere with the replacement of those
14	707, is that correct, also?
15	MR. GARRETT: No, it does not.
16	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: It doesn't?
17	You said no it's not correct, or you're saying it
18	doesn't
19	MR. GARRETT: It doesn't interfere.
20	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: It does not
21	interfere, thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I have some

1	other questions that I want to revisit, so I'm going
2	to just break it down and
3	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Hold on. Hold
4	on. Aquarius, could you hold on one second?
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Oh, yes.
6	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'm confused by
7	that response from Director Garrett. What do you
8	mean by interfere? I don't understand that.
9	MR. GARRETT: Meaning that, we're
10	still going to
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: He said the
12	units were supposed to be delivered. I don't
13	understand interfere. It increased the
14	requirement. It puts the burden back on us to find
15	30 more units.
16	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Correct?
18	MR. GARRETT: Yes, and we will be able
19	to build
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I don't know
21	about interfere. That's certainly interfering,
22	it's putting a burden back on us.

1	MR. GARRETT: We'll be able to,
2	Commissioner Lancaster, Commissioner Slover,
3	we'll be able to build those units on one of the
4	other parcels at Arthur Capper.
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: But, again, it
6	requires us to do it, I guess, is what I keep saying.
7	You're sort of glossing over that as if it's no big
8	deal.
9	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner Lopez?
10	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner
12	Albert. May I speak?
13	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes, sir. Yes,
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So, Director
16	Garrett, I just want to follow up on Commissioner
17	Slover's point about interfere. The requirement
18	on Smith is, first and foremost, to deliver 30 units
19	of housing, affordable housing, for the DC Housing
20	Authority, correct?
21	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And is that

1	requirement going to be met with this resolution?
2	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Great. So, in
4	addition to the 30 units of housing, what else is
5	the Housing Authority how else is the Housing
6	Authority going to benefit if this resolution
7	passes?
8	MR. GARRETT: We will also receive an
9	additional \$2.4 million.
10	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And what do you plan
11	to do with that \$2.4 million?
12	MR. GARRETT: Reinvest it into our
13	redevelopment strategy.
14	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So what you are
15	saying is that the Housing Authority has a net
16	benefit from approving this transaction?
17	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir. We would take
18	that \$2.4 million and put it back into, quite
19	possibly, the Arthur Capper site, at one of the
20	squares, to continue our work.
21	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And that money is
22	going to go for additional affordable housing,

1	correct?
2	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Great. I just want
4	to I just want to thank you and your staff for
5	working hard to listen to the comments of the
6	Commissioners at the last meeting. I think our
7	primary focus was on getting those units delivered.
8	I'm pleased that you are doing that, but
9	also are going to be closing as early as November
10	of this year. I'm also pleased that you've put
11	sufficient safeguards in place so that if these
12	units are not delivered by the developer, that the
13	Housing Authority will not be left holding the
14	financial bag for it. So thank you for your
15	efforts.
16	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if
18	I may, at this moment. I want to
19	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Could
20	I Commissioner Lancaster, could I I'm sorry.
21	I want to follow up on something Mr. Albert said.
22	What are the so for the two-and-half years of

1	loss of the housing we won't get, what is the cost,
2	do you think, of that? Is it \$2.4 million?
3	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner Slover, you
4	could estimate it
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, we're
6	going to go we're going to get 30 units, but for
7	two-and-a-half years we're not going to have those
8	30 units available, so what's the lost cost on that?
9	MR. GARRETT: I don't know,
10	Commissioner Slover. we didn't amortize it in
11	that manner.
12	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, there is
13	some cost, there must be something.
14	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: I'd like to echo
15	Chairman Albert's statement
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Excuse me.
17	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: that a few
18	weeks ago we had a
19	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Excuse me, I'm
20	still talking and I'm not sure I got an answer.
21	MR. GARRETT: I did answer you,
22	Commissioner Slover, I said we didn't amortize it

1	in that manner.
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I appreciate
3	that everybody thinks we got a great deal, and I
4	think we got a better deal, but, you know, there's
5	just a history of this developer, particularly, not
6	delivering on their promises.
7	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you,
8	Commissioner Slover. So I'd like to echo
9	Commissioner Albert's statement that a few weeks
10	ago we had something in front of us that the Board,
11	including myself, rejected.
12	We thought it was a bad deal. We asked
13	Executive Director Garrett and his team to go back
14	to the drawing board. And they've come in front
15	of us with what I believe is a great deal.
16	There's a commitment to build 30 units,
17	and if the developer doesn't live up to their
18	promise, we have something in place to hold them
19	accountable at the tune of \$12 million.
20	And so, are there any more questions?
21	I'd love to move forward with
22	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, so, I'd

Τ	like to read into the record the quote that I read
2	earlier from the owner, William C. Smith, saying
3	that, one neighbor, when they do not deliver the
4	affordable housing they were required at 2 M
5	Street, his quote was, quote-unquote, "at some
6	point, the Housing Agency might have to create this
7	thing that it is trying to avoid, a new building
8	solely for low-income residents."
9	Well, we have the tendency of allowing
10	developers to promise things and then not deliver
11	them. And, at some point, this Agency and this
12	Board have to stop that.
13	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Well, thank you,
14	Commissioner Slover.
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: They built 1,100
16	units, they built 1,100 units and created
17	\$400-to-500 million worth of value.
18	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you,
19	Commissioner Slover.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And they
21	couldn't deliver those 30 units.
22	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Excuse me, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes, Aquarius?
3	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Excuse me, sir.
4	You don't cut me off, okay?
5	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you,
6	Commissioner Slover.
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Here are
8	several of the questions I wanted to ask.
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Hello?
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I wanted
11	to know the life cycle of this Resolution, what
12	would be the life cycle for the 30 units? Or is
12 13	would be the life cycle for the 30 units? Or is there a life cycle?
13	there a life cycle?
13 14	there a life cycle? MR. GARRETT: Are you talking about
13 14 15	there a life cycle? MR. GARRETT: Are you talking about affordability for the
13 14 15 16	there a life cycle? MR. GARRETT: Are you talking about affordability for the COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The ACC
13 14 15 16 17	there a life cycle? MR. GARRETT: Are you talking about affordability for the COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The ACC units.
13 14 15 16 17	there a life cycle? MR. GARRETT: Are you talking about affordability for the COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The ACC units. MR. GARRETT: For the ACC, it's
13 14 15 16 17 18	there a life cycle? MR. GARRETT: Are you talking about affordability for the COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The ACC units. MR. GARRETT: For the ACC, it's approximately 40 years, Commissioner.

1	agreement with this because we don't own the
2	property, so there is no ground lease.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, so
4	since there is no ground lease, let's get on the
5	record about what is our discussion about the land,
6	the trust land owned?
7	By trust land, you said we was going to
8	have a 99-year lease. So it's not going to be a
9	ground lease, so we've already decided that it's
10	not a model of the trust, the trust land, correct,
11	yes or no? We just had this conversation, so I want
12	to be clear.
13	MR. GARRETT: No, there is no ground
14	lease associated with this, so we're not adding any
15	land value to the deal.
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, so
17	the interest rate, what is the changes of the
18	interest rate, if any?
19	MR. GARRETT: I
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: From the
21	previous deal to this deal, there is some interest
22	rates involved. If the interest rates were

involved, were they the benefit for the Housing 1 Authority or were the interest rate to benefit for 2 3 the developer? Yes or no answer. I do not know. 4 MR. GARRETT: Mary -- I don't know. 5 Ken Slaughter from OGC. I don't think we took that into consideration. 6 I'm Ken Slaughter, 7 MR. SLAUGHTER: General Counsel, DCHA. I think you're referring 8 to the interest rate increase announced by the Fed 9 10 that impacts --COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Correct. 11 12 MR. SLAUGHTER: -- the urgency for the 13 developer to get this project done. 14 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, while I have the attorney here, I have some attorney 15 questions that I want to put on the record, because 16 this is the only way I would be able to vote yes 17 based on that I voted no. I was one of the 18 Commissioners that voted no, and being that it was 19 renegotiated by one of my colleagues, and the 20 renegotiation actually came back better than the 21

first resolution.

1	So I want to know, in precise language
2	from the attorney, tell us the difference in the
3	legal aspect, that if the Housing Authority had to
4	take the developer to court, based on the previous
5	resolution, what is the protect what are the
6	protectors what is the protector risk, if any,
7	for DCHA, with Resolution 18-04 versus the previous
8	one?
9	MR. SLAUGHTER: I think, as we
10	explained, this deal would provide security for the
11	\$12 million that would be the 9.6 and the 1.9, and
12	our security is in another partnership interest in
13	a building with that level of value.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And what
15	happens if you take the land out of the deal? If
16	you took the land out of the deal versus land and
17	money.
18	MR. SLAUGHTER: I think that
19	reference to land is that the original deal between
20	DCHA and Smith, DCHA contributed the 737 space to
21	their original deal.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And is

1	there or whether or not will there be a devalue
2	of assets from this deal versus the previous no,
3	let me say this, from this resolution versus the
4	previous resolution?
5	MR. SLAUGHTER: No, we think there's an
6	increase, as we've talked about.
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And why?
8	Can you be more clear?
9	MR. SLAUGHTER: Because we want to get
10	the 30 units, and the 30 units at one site is not
11	as valuable as the original site, so then you get
12	the boost of the \$2.4 million.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
14	so much.
15	MR. SLAUGHTER: Okay. Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: And may I,
17	Mr. Chair? I want to get back to what Commissioner
18	Slover asked earlier about what do you mean about
19	including when I spoke of the 707 and also the
20	30 units, and when I asked was the 30 units going
21	to interfere with 707, and the answer was no, and
22	the reason was, for that question was, we had so

1	many units left balance of the 707, which is 237
2	units, on that footprint.
3	So those 30 units that we're speaking
4	of had no interference with those 237 units that's
5	due to us on that particular footprint. So those
6	who are expecting to come back won't feel like
7	they're losing 30 units out of that original
8	contract. Now I ask, again, is that correct?
9	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Great. Thank
12	you, all. I'd like to move forward with is there
13	a motion?
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: One more
15	thing that's important to put on the record: the
16	ACC unit cost versus the market rent, I need answer
17	from an attorney to put that on the record for me,
18	to explain, or give us an example, what is the
	00 01-F101-11, 01 51.0 012 0110-11-11-11 12 0110
19	difference between the ACC unit costs versus the
19 20	
	difference between the ACC unit costs versus the

1	the DCHA. The calculated cost for the production
2	of new market rate units at the third phase at 737
3	is \$414,000 per unit, and we believe that the
4	Housing Authority can develop well, we know the
5	Housing Authority tends to develop these units at
6	a lower cost.
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And let me
8	ask you one more question. And this is for the
9	record. The development partner, has that
10	development partner been determined, as we speak
11	now?
12	MS. FOLWELL: For the future Capper
13	sites, no development partner has been determined.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you.
15	MS. FOLWELL: Thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you, all.
17	I'd like to make a motion for a vote on Resolution
18	18-04. Do we have a motion?
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I move that
20	Resolution 18-04 to amend Resolution 08-38
21	conveying to William C. Smith certain real property
22	at the Capper/Carrollsburg HOPE VI Site be

1		CHAIRMAN ALBERT: I second.
2		COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yeah, do we have
3	a second?	
4		CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes, second.
5		COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Great. I would
6	like to do	a roll call vote, please.
7		MS. MCNAIR: Thank you.
8		MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner
9	Vann-Ghasri	?
10		COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
11		MS. MCNAIR: Okay. Commissioner St.
12	Jean?	
13		
13		COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
14		COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
14		MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
14 15		MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud? COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
14 15 16		MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud? COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
14 15 16 17		MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud? COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones? COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
14 15 16 17 18		MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud? COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones? COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lopez?
14 15 16 17 18 19		MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud? COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones? COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lopez? COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Yes.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council?
3	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
4	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover?
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: One hundred
6	percent no.
7	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: What a surprise.
8	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
9	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: One hundred percent
10	yes.
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What a surprise.
12	Giving it away again.
13	MS. JOHNSON: You have nine yes and one
13 14	MS. JOHNSON: You have nine yes and one no. The Resolution is approved.
14	no. The Resolution is approved.
14 15 16	no. The Resolution is approved. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. I'd
14 15 16 17	no. The Resolution is approved. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. I'd like to make an announcement for our next meeting
14 15	no. The Resolution is approved. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. I'd like to make an announcement for our next meeting date and time. The next Board of Commissioners
14 15 16 17 18	no. The Resolution is approved. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. I'd like to make an announcement for our next meeting date and time. The next Board of Commissioners meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 11th, at
14 15 16 17 18	no. The Resolution is approved. COMMISSIONER LOPEZ: Thank you. I'd like to make an announcement for our next meeting date and time. The next Board of Commissioners meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 11th, at 1:00 p.m., at the Greenleaf location, which is 203

1	all
2	
3	abo
4	
5	
6	

the meeting in (Whereupon, the ve-entitled matter was concluded at 2:29 p.m.)



