#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

+ + + + +

### BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
JUNE 12, 2019

+ + + + +

The Board of Commissioners met in the Board Room, 1133 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., at 1:00 p.m., Neil Albert, Chairman, presiding.

#### COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

NEIL ALBERT, Chairman
WILLIAM SLOVER, Vice Chairman
KENNETH D. COUNCIL, Commissioner
KEN GROSSINGER, Commissioner
NAKEISHA NEAL JONES, Commissioner
JOSE ARNALDO ORTIZ GAUD, Commissioner
FRANSELENE ST. JEAN, Commissioner
LEJUAN STRICKLAND, Commissioner
ANTONIO TALIAFERRO, Commissioner
AQUARIUS VANN-GHASRI, Commissioner

## STAFF PRESENT:

TYRONE GARRETT, Executive Director
ALETHEA McNAIR, Manager of Board Relations
KEN SLAUGHTER, Office of General Counsel

### COMMISSIONER(S) ABSENT:

BRIAN KENNER, Commissioner

# CONTENTS

<u>Pac</u>	ge
Call to order (Moment of Silence) and Quorum	. 3
Approval of Minutes	. 5
Executive Director's Report	. 6
Resolutions	
Public Comments	14
A. Resolution 19-09	21
B. Resolution 19-16	41
C. Resolution 19-18  To Create a Standing Committee on the Housing Choice Voucher Program  1. Description of Resolution  2. Board Action on Resolution	82
Adjournment	86

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(1:20 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you all for
4	coming today. My name is Neil Albert, I am the
5	Chair of the Board of Commissioners and I'm calling
6	this meeting to order.
7	This is our regular monthly meeting of
8	the Housing Authority being held here on June 12th,
9	2019, right here at our headquarters. We are
10	running a little late, and I apologize for that.
11	It's now 1:21.
12	Please silence your phones and other
13	devices. And as is our custom at every meeting,
14	I'm going to ask you to join me in observing a moment
15	of silence.
16	(Moment of Silence.)
17	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you. I'm
18	going to ask our secretary to establish a quorum.
19	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
20	Council?
21	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Present.
22	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Grossinger?

1	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Here.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Kenner?
3	Commissioner Neal Jones?
4	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Present.
5	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
6	Commissioner Slover?
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Present.
8	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
9	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Present.
10	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Strickland?
11	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Present.
12	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Taliaferro?
13	Commissioner Taliaferro?
14	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Present.
15	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
16	Vann-Ghasri?
17	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Present.
18	MS. MCNAIR: And Chairman Albert?
19	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Present.
20	MS. MCNAIR: You have nine
21	Commissioners present, you have a quorum.
22	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you so much.

1	So, today's agenda is our regular agenda.
2	As you will recall, if you've been here
3	before, we allow the public to come before the Board
4	twice. Once to discuss any issues with the
5	resolutions that we'll be considering today, then
6	we hear from the public again, on any general issues
7	that you like to share with the Board of
8	Commissioners.
9	I would like to, at this point in time,
10	ask our Commissioners to approve the minutes of the
11	May 8th, 2019 Board of Commissioner's meeting.
12	It's been posted in your Board books for
13	a while now. I hope you had an opportunity to
13 14	a while now. I hope you had an opportunity to review it. And at this time I'll entertain a
14	review it. And at this time I'll entertain a
14 15	review it. And at this time I'll entertain a motion to approve the May 8th, 2019 minutes. Is
14 15 16	review it. And at this time I'll entertain a motion to approve the May 8th, 2019 minutes. Is there a motion?
14 15 16 17	review it. And at this time I'll entertain a motion to approve the May 8th, 2019 minutes. Is there a motion?  COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Motion.
14 15 16 17	review it. And at this time I'll entertain a motion to approve the May 8th, 2019 minutes. Is there a motion?  COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Motion.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second?
14 15 16 17 18 19	review it. And at this time I'll entertain a motion to approve the May 8th, 2019 minutes. Is there a motion?  COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Motion.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second?  PARTICIPANT: Second.

you so much. 1 We're going to move into our Executive 2 3 Director's Report at this time. MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, I'm going 4 to be as quick as I possibly can since we're 5 6 starting late, and we have the Chairman for a limited amount of time. 7 We normally begin this with employee of 8 And in this particular case, I just 9 the month. 10 want to highlight that tomorrow is our summer 11 safety event. We will be partnering not only with 12 but other stakeholders throughout MPD community as we kick off our summer. 13 14 So, if you want to participate, this is going to be an all-day event where we're going to 15 start out in the morning with a job fair, continue 16 throughout the day with various activities for the 17 community and for the youth. 18 19 So, that being said, I just want to 20 point out that our employee of the month is, this month, is going to be Sergeant Dickerson. 21

it's different, and the reason we're honoring and

recognizing Sergeant Dickerson is because we've 1 also been working with them on a new approach to 2 3 community policing. community policing component 4 involves a tool. A tool that we think will be 5 6 beneficial to get officers around and throughout 7 the community in an expedient way but also offer them the opportunity to be seen and ably touched. 8 9 A lot of times what we did is, there is a U.S. Marshal I know on a reservation in Arizona, 10 11 they had a name for the officers and they basically 12 called them steel forces, because those officers 13 never got out of their car. So what we did is we purchased a few of 14 15 these trikes. Sergeant Dickerson is the master trainer for the trikes. He's been training our 16 17 officers to be able to utilize these on a daily basis so that we can be more visible in the 18 19 community. 20 So, we're not in our car, we're out 2.1 moving around. And we can be very, very vigilant.

And he's also been helping us with

1 summer safety for tomorrow. So, I just wanted to congratulate him for all the, and I want you to know 2 3 that he volunteered for this assignment. It wasn't something that he was given, 4 5 he actually stepped up and he said that he would train our other officers on the rest of the rest 6 7 of the bikes. So, I want to congratulate Sergeant Dickerson for his effort. 8 9 (Applause.) 10 MR. GARRETT: And if anyone wants to 11 learn how to use, it they're welcome to sign up. 12 (Laughter.) 13 MR. GARRETT: There's one other piece that I wanted to go into real quickly. 14 I know we've been talking about it a lot, a lot of high-level 15 pieces within the organization and what we're 16 17 dealing with, especially with our repositioning effort and some of the other things that we've been 18 19 discussing at a very high-level. 20 But at the same time, there are other things going on in the Agency that you may not see 21

and may not notice. One of those things is, let's

say our new core values.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Our values of integrity, core accountability and responsibility. something that's being circulated throughout the organization Office with our of Customer Engagement.

From that point, it's trickling down through the whole entire Agency and we're trying to move in a different direction and transform, not only the bricks and mortar of the organization, but also internally. Things that you don't necessarily see.

And I just wanted to be able to show you one tool that we're actually utilizing. This is our visual tool. Our VI tool.

This tool gives me, on a daily basis, myself, along with other Directors Within the Department and the Agency, real-time information. Real-time information about either work orders, rent collection, occupancy levels. Things like that, that you may not be aware of but tools that we are actually using to better the Agency.

Being able to use different things like this and technology will allow us to be more customer focus, and also be able to identify exactly where our problems exist and be able to go directly to them.

So, that's just something I just wanted to bring to your attention, not only for you as a Board but also for the public in general. Because people need to know what's actually going on behind the scenes and some of the intense changes that we are actually making to the organization to better serve our residents.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ALBERT: All right, thank you so much. So, two other pieces of information to bring to your attention before we hear from our first set of witnesses.

It is with deep sorrow that I must report that our Commissioner Frank Lancaster, who served on our Board for close to 20 years, passed away this week. You guys will remember Frank fondly.

Frank had been ill for a little period of time. As we get details of his final arrangements, we'll share it with you. I know many of you would want to pay your respects to him and we'll share that information with you. Frank passed away this week.

On a sad note, but not such a sad note, losing one of we're also long serving our Commissioners, Commissioner Ken Grossinger. Commissioner Grossinger is leaving the Board of Commissioners providing service but to residents of the District of Columbia on the Board of the University of the District of Columbia.

We really want to thank him for his service. He was here through the receivership, out of the receivership, and continued to provide really great service to the residents here in the District of Columbia.

And so, Ken, on behalf of my colleagues
I want to say how grateful we are for your service,
we're going to miss you a whole lot, and I have a
proclamation I'd like to present to you. I'm going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 to come up front. So I'm not going to read the entire 2 3 proclamation --COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: That's 4 5 good. See why he dropped 6 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: 7 So, it says that Ken was appointed by the Board. the Metropolitan Washington Council AFL-CIO to 8 9 serve as a labor representative on this Board. has been a leader in the movement for social and 10 11 economic justice, locally and internationally, for 12 more than 30 years. He served as the vice chair of the 13 14 Housing Authority Board and has been a real stellar 15 leader in Board service here at the Housing 16 Authority. So, it's therefore proclaimed that the 17 Board of Commissioners, through adoption of this 18 19 proclamation, is honored to recognize and 20 sincerely thank Commissioner Grossinger for his dedication, support , and commitment to the work of 21

the District of Columbia Housing Authority and its

1	residents. And will you all join me in a round of
2	applause, I'd appreciate that.
3	(Applause.)
4	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: And I just
5	wanted to say that 20 years is a long time. You
6	really have been part of my family for 20 years.
7	And we have weathered a lot of storms.
8	We've had 20 years of disinvestment from the
9	federal government into public housing that we've
10	been forced to grapple with.
11	And I want to say that the Board behind
12	me is an energized, engaged, sometimes
13	contentious, but important body, who you need more
14	than ever, but more importantly, who we need from
15	you more than ever.
16	So, thank you. And I just wanted to
17	reference my successor, Dyana Forester. Dyana,
18	will you stand up?
19	(Applause.)
20	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Dyana is a
21	political and community fairs director for UFCW
22	Local 400. She served on the ANC, she served on

1	the Major's Wage and Hour Commission, and she's
2	going to be a tremendous asset to this Board. So
3	thank you and good luck.
4	(Applause.)
5	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you so much,
6	Ken and Dyana, we look forward to working and you
7	serving with us.
8	So, we're going to go into the public
9	comment. There's only one person that signed up
10	to comment on our resolution, and that's Resolution
11	19-09. Which is the adoption of the DCHA annual
12	audit.
13	Deborah Frazier. Is Deborah here? I
14	think I saw Debra. Come on up.
15	MS. FRAZIER: Hello, everybody. Give
16	me some applause for being here.
17	(Applause.)
18	MS. FRAZIER: Always good when the
19	place is full. So, Resolution 19-09 I have a few
20	questions.
21	One is, will this audit be available to
22	the public, when and where?

1	MR. GARRETT: Yes, so it will be
2	online. After it's approved by the Board.
3	MS. FRAZIER: Online. Any hard copies
4	possibly available?
5	MR. GARRETT: We can possibly make a
6	few copies available. Yes, ma'am.
7	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
8	MS. FRAZIER: On request.
9	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
10	MS. FRAZIER: Secondly, would you, for
11	those of us that are not particularly financially
12	savvy, would someone please say the purpose of an
13	audit?
14	MR. GARRETT: Yes. Well, there can be
15	a financial, and this is both a financial and a
16	management audit.
17	It's an oversight of the Agency to make
18	determinations whether or not we appropriately
19	managing the finances of an organization, make sure
20	that there are no irregularities in terms of the
21	financial statement. And also to make sure, on the
22	HUD side, to make sure that we are appropriately

1	applying, not only finances but also regulations
2	to the programs that we administer.
3	MS. FRAZIER: And were there any
4	particular recommendations about how this
5	authority is doing in terms of finance and
6	management? Anything stood out?
7	MR. GARRETT: Financially we are
8	strong but there were a few findings that we need
9	to take corrective action on to ensure that we, one
10	would be to make sure that we have timely
11	inspections. That was something that was very
12	important. And we have taken corrective action
13	and created a plan to do so.
14	MS. FRAZIER: And this, we see that
15	this audit covers the period ending September 30th,
16	2018. Are audits usually two years back?
17	MR. GARRETT: A year lag, yes. It's
18	for the previous fiscal year.
19	MS. FRAZIER: So, then our next audit
20	will be?
21	MR. GARRETT: For Fiscal Year ending
22	'19.

1	MS. FRAZIER: And is an audit a thing
2	that takes three months, six months, nine months,
3	is it a long process?
4	MR. GARRETT: It could be, depending
5	upon how much material the auditor is going to have
6	to go through and whether or not they need to dive
7	in further because they find issues or concerns.
8	But there is a requirement by HUD that
9	we have it within, I believe, Barbara, is it 120
10	days?
11	PARTICIPANT: Well, it's nine months.
12	MR. GARRETT: Nine months.
13	PARTICIPANT: After the fiscal year
14	ends.
15	MR. GARRETT: Right. Thank you.
16	MS. FRAZIER: Oh. So for the next
17	fiscal year, nine months before the next one?
18	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
19	MS. FRAZIER: Wonderful. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: All right, thank
21	you, Ms. Frazier.
22	MR. GARRETT: Can I?

1	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Go ahead.
2	MR. GARRETT: I'm sorry.
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Director.
4	MR. GARRETT: I need to, real quickly,
5	Commissioners, I need to call up our participant
6	advisory committee. And I don't know if
7	Commissioner St. Jean wants to work with me on this.
8	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
9	MR. GARRETT: But we have formed a PAC.
10	We later approved it to a point the Housing Choice
11	Voucher representative, and that's Commissioner
12	St. Jean, up to the Housing Authority Board.
13	And we don't want to forget those
14	individuals who have worked with the PAC for some
15	many years. And there are nine PAC executive board
16	members.
17	And so, we have some certificates that
18	we want to hand out to them. We have three
19	individuals that are here with us that are present.
20	Commissioner St. Jean is going to have the
21	certificates for you.
22	

1 That would be Tracey Hooks, Ms. Deborah Frazier and Claudette Johnson. And we want to just 2 3 congratulate them and thank you for your service. (Applause.) 4 5 MR. GARRETT: Now, as they are coming 6 up, I just want to mention that there are six other 7 individuals that were not able to be with us, but I just want to acknowledge them. 8 That will be Helen Washington, Betty 9 Boatwright, Charlotte Sweat, Marlene Kaufman and 10 11 Joyce Williams, Keandra Willis. 12 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And add two 13 more to that. Two more to that would be Angelina Henderson and Commissioner Shemar Fisher. 14 were one of the two first PAC members that you would 15 definitely have to give recognition. 16 17 Angelina Henderson began the PAC. those who are on the PAC, I'm sure, should remember 18 19 Because Angelina Henderson, not only did her. 20 she, was one of the first people to help the PAC, but she also was a UDC alumni and was the example 2.1

of how a Housing Choice Voucher participant could

receive a certification from UDC, a nonprofit 1 management leadership. 2 3 So, Mr. Garrett, you will definitely, and she's in with the Housing Choice Voucher 4 5 And I'm sure someone in Ron's shop should 6 know her. That's still working there. 7 Because she worked extremely hard. That's why, let me go on record and say this, this 8 9 is one of the problems I really have on this Board. 10 Because I like to begin from the 11 And from some reason, and I've told our history. 12 director and this Board over and over, you cannot 13 rely on one person giving you information on who did what. 14 Because it's not too many of us who like 15 to start with the root. From the bottom of the tree 16 17 and work itself up. So that means that a whole loss of 18 19 generation of a history is dismissed. And when I 20 sat on the city-wide advisory board as 21 historian, you can rest assure, I made sure my

enemies, my haters, those who are confused, they

2	Vann-Ghasri.
3	So, that have to be corrected. Thank
4	you so much.
5	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you,
6	Commissioner. So we're going to get into our
7	resolutions. The first resolution is Resolution
8	19-09, which is to accept the final audit of DCHA
9	for Fiscal Year 2018, as you heard from Deborah
10	Frazier.
11	The reasons for the audit is something
12	that we're required to do annually. And it's both
13	an audit of our programs and our finances.
14	For this audit, as in every audit, we
15	hire an independent accounting firm. The firm
16	that we have been using is CliftonLarsonAllen, LLP,
17	for the last few years.
18	We have a representative here that can
19	answer questions that Commissioners might have and
20	to provide really, really high-level findings of
21	the audit.
22	MR. GARRETT: Okay. I'm going to let

all get recognized out of the voice of Commissioner

Joanne from out audit and finance, well, audit and 1 compliance department, start us off. And then 2 have CliftonLarsonAllen 3 going to just discuss the audit briefly. 4 WALLINGTON: 5 MS. Good afternoon, 6 Commissioners. I'm Joanne Wallington, I'm the director for the Office of Audit and Compliance and 7 I have before you Resolution 19-09, to accept as 8 9 final the audit for DCHA's Fiscal Year 2018. 10 As Chairman Albert has already audit 11 indicated, independent firm our is 12 CliftonLarsonAllen. Mandy Heagy is here, partner with CliftonLarsonAllen, to answer any 13 high-level questions that you may have about the 14 15 audit. But as already teed-up in Ms. Frazier's 16 17 question, our audit is due to be accepted and submitted to HUD by June 30th. Our audit was 18 19 provided for your review on May 8th in Board book, 20 so hopefully you've had a chance to parse through 2.1 those many pages.

And with that, I'll turn it over to

1 Mandy. Okay. Good afternoon, 2 MS. HEAGY: 3 Commissioners. CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Good afternoon. 4 MS. HEAGY: 5 I know we had a previous session and talked about the financials in detail. 6 I know you guys got to mull it over for a little 7 bit, but just for the public's information is that 8 this year, for the 9/30/2018 audit, we did have an 9 unqualified opinion, which means that is good. 10 Ιt 11 was a good audit this year on the financial 12 statements. As we did allude to, there was some 13 findings that we talked about previously. 14 was three findings that we noted. The findings 15 mentioned 16 were related to. as we before, 17 inspections, calculation of income, and then also some stuff on, I'm sorry, off the top of my head 18 19 right now I'm forgetting. Oh, an overpayment of some HAP money. 20 So that was the things that we talked 21

about earlier.

1	But overall, that still was
2	unqualified. There was no material weaknesses.
3	We only had those significant deficiencies that I
4	just mentioned.
5	Do we have any additional questions
6	that we want to go over as part of
7	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Just for the
8	record
9	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner
10	Strickland.
11	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: I'm sorry.
12	Just for the record, how many samples did you look
13	at and then how many findings did you find?
14	Like, how many of the record were
15	incorrect?
16	MS. HEAGY: So, in the one we've had a
17	couple of findings, we've looked at about 80
18	records in that one. And then in the Housing
19	Choice Voucher Program we looked at about, almost
20	250 different files. And we only had instances of
21	two findings in that program.
22	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner

1	Vann-Ghasri.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: One
3	question I have is, what was the, what is it called?
4	I think it's called the current liability, no, no,
5	no.
6	What was the amount of the net position
7	in money?
8	MS. HEAGY: So, the net position, as of
9	9:30, is \$467 million.
LO	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And
L1	whether or not, no, what, if any, investment or
L2	capital assets does that net position interact?
L3	MS. HEAGY: Yes. If you look on Page
L 4	14 of that report that you were provided earlier,
L5	under net position, it will show you the net
L6	invested in capital assets is about \$240 million.
L7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Are we
L8	broke?
L9	MS. HEAGY: You are not broke.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Do we have
21	money?
22	MS. HEAGY: That's a, well, yes, you

1	have some money.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Did the
3	former director, did she leave us broke?
4	MS. HEAGY: No.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Did she
6	leave us with some money?
7	MS. HEAGY: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Has the
9	present director been spending a lot of money?
10	MS. HEAGY: No.
11	(Laughter.)
12	MS. HEAGY: That is required.
13	(Laughter.)
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Those are
15	the two major, oh, third. How does this report,
16	if any, impacts the residents of the District of
17	Columbia Public Housing Market, which would
18	include Public Housing and the Housing Choice
19	Voucher Program? Or does it?
20	MS. HEAGY: It's good. Because we had
21	an unqualified opinion and there was only one
22	significant deficiency noted in the material, or

1	excuse me, in the Moving to Work and in the Housing
2	Choice Voucher Program, that will have no impact
3	on funding.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: We have
5	what type of opinion?
6	MS. HEAGY: An unqualified opinion.
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: What's the
8	definition of that for the records please?
9	MS. HEAGY: Basically that means that
10	there was nothing that rose to the level that we'd
11	have to indicate like exception. So it's good.
12	It's what you want to see, unqualified opinion.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: HUD
14	grants, are HUD grants incorporated into this
15	report?
16	MS. HEAGY: Yes, they are.
17	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: If so, why?
18	MS. HEAGY: Because they're required
19	to be.
20	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Any other questions,
21	Commissioners?
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: One last

1	question. How much money when it comes to people
2	suing the Housing Authority, is that a part of this
3	report? Do we see how much money the people suing
4	us for?
5	Or does this report reflect any type of
6	dollars and monies of how many people suing us?
7	Maybe not the categories, but the money.
8	MS. HEAGY: Yes. So we take into
9	consideration any outstanding legal issues. And
10	depending on the, if it's going to actually get to
11	the part of being settled or something in that
12	lines, we will estimate an amount to record on the
13	books.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That
15	MS. HEAGY: So that does include that
16	in these financial statements.
17	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
18	so much.
19	MS. HEAGY: You're welcome.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Quick question.
21	What, I think this question was asked earlier but
22	I want to ask it again, what is the main purpose

1	of performing an audit? What is the main
2	deliverable?
3	MS. HEAGY: Well, first you're
4	required. So, since you received federal funds
5	over \$750,000
6	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That's a reason,
7	not a purpose. What's the purpose? What are you
8	looking for?
9	MS. HEAGY: Oh, what I am looking for.
10	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes. As the
11	auditor.
12	MS. HEAGY: Okay. Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes.
14	MS. HEAGY: So, first of all, that you
15	have internal controls. So, you know, the numbers
16	can't be relied upon unless you have adequate
17	internal controls.
18	So, we spent a lot of time, during our
19	audit in the beginning, going through all your main
20	cycles. So we look at your cash process, you're
21	receiving money from the tenants, disbursing money
22	to the landlords, looking at your accounts payable,

1 looking at payroll. We go through all of that to ensure you 2 3 have proper internal controls, which means that there is, one person is completing a task and 4 someone else is approving it. So that's where we, 5 kind of when we talk about internal controls. 6 So that's our main, what I'm looking 7 for. And then if we have that, once we get to 8 9 looking at the numbers and making sure there's 10 support behind them, then that gets us to the end 11 where we can issue an audit report. 12 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, vou're looking at the mechanics of the operation and then 13 to make sure that all the money is where it's 14 supposed to be. 15 16 MS. HEAGY: Right. 17 SLOVER: COMMISSIONER Essentially. So it really isn't about efficiency or capability 18 19 or how the organization is running or whether it's performing its mission, it's really about whether 20 the money is where it's supposed to be and whether 21

they're doing the mechanical side correctly.

1	MS. HEAGY: Correct. We can look
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So you're not
3	putting out an opinion of whether we're a superstar
4	agency that's really clicking out efficiently,
5	you're just saying, our money is where we say it
6	is?
7	MS. HEAGY: Basically. Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. I heard
9	earlier that the report was delivered to the Board
10	on May the 8th.
11	MS. HEAGY: May 30th.
12	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: May 30th. You
13	said May 8th. May 30th. And how was it delivered
14	to the Board?
15	MS. HEAGY: Through the Board's
16	diligent books.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: In what form? I
18	mean
19	MS. HEAGY: Electronically.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: To the whole
21	Board, part of the Board?
22	MR. GARRETT: To the Committee.

1	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: To the
2	Committee, okay.
3	MS. HEAGY: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, one of the
5	problems I have is I wasn't able to review the audit
6	because I didn't get a copy of it.
7	MR. GARRETT: I'm sorry, correction,
8	Commissioner Slover, it did go to the Full Board.
9	Alethea corrected me.
10	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, it wasn't
11	part of the Board book so I wasn't able to review
12	it. So, I'm unfortunately unable to ask my usual
13	sea of questions.
14	So, in the future, I think we need a
15	little more efficient delivery method of this
16	important document. I think if you're going to
17	deliver a Board book with all the resolutions, so
18	you have this document available, you might want
19	to put it behind the resolution so that we have an
20	opportunity to review it.
21	Did everybody else on the Board see it?
22	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So, yes, it was in

1	Audit Income Finance Committee. I would encourage
2	all of our Commissioners to not just look at the
3	section of the Board book for which you are a
4	Committee Member, but look at all other Committee
5	sections and you'll find information that you're
6	looking for.
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Can I get some
8	agreement that we can put it as part of the lead
9	up book to the meeting where it's going to be
10	approved? The actual audit.
11	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: I'm not going to make
12	that decision now. I mean, we can look at our
13	processes and improve where it is, room for
14	improvement.
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay, I just
16	don't feel
17	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: But thanks for the
18	suggestion.
19	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes, you're
20	appreciated. Yes, thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Question.
22	Do you have over 50 public housing clients, which

1	include us, so we make your number 50.
2	Out of your 50 clients, how do we look
3	in comparison to the other 49?
4	And your other public housings, being
5	that we are only a municipal corporation and not
6	a state, however we are, and correct me as I stand,
7	the length, the largest housing authority in the
8	United States of American. So anything I just
9	said, correct me before answering.
10	And my question is, how do we look in
11	comparison to your other 49 clients?
12	MS. HEAGY: I think just comparing you
13	and looking at the number of findings you have
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
15	MS. HEAGY: because, of the top of
16	my head to be able to think about bottom line, I
17	can't estimate that, but this report looks real
18	good.
19	You have a couple of findings.
20	Everyone has these kinds of findings.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And what
22	kind of findings are those, would you put it on

1	MS. HEAGY: Calculations and missed
2	inspections. Unfortunately
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Which
4	calculations you mean?
5	MS. HEAGY: The tenant calculations,
6	for the rent calculations. So, having some of
7	those errors and then having a missed inspection,
8	we see that's very common across the 50 housing
9	authorities we do. Because there is an element of
10	human error that sometimes occurs.
11	So, we see other of the 50 that have
12	these exact findings. I see a lot of housing
13	authorities that have a lot more findings.
14	So, this audit report, since you have
15	an unqualified opinion, is very good.
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And what
17	is, for the record, give a definition, what is an
18	unqualified opinion, because the word, if I didn't
19	know any better, it sounds real nasty. So, make
20	sure that they understand what an unqualified
21	MS. HEAGY: Well, the nasty version of
22	it is qualified opinion.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.
2	MS. HEAGY: So, being unqualified
3	means that there was no issues noted that I would
4	have to basically put a scope limitation. There
5	was, everything was presented to us. We were able
6	to go through everything.
7	Where you would see a qualified opinion
8	is if we started seeing a lot of systemic issues
9	in your internal controls or we couldn't do an audit
10	over an area, stuff like that.
11	So, the unqualified opinion is what you
12	always want to see.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Last
14	question. Resident councils, there are resident
15	participation funds and there are vending funds.
16	Is it included in this report?
17	MS. HEAGY: It's very small in that it
18	would be included in the cash. But as part of
19	compliance, we will look at a sample of that. So,
20	we do, depending on the program we're looking at,
21	we will slice a sample.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And the

1	reason why I'm asking so, because you have so many
2	residents that live in public housing who are not
3	educated on the process of how the executive board
4	ascertain its thoughts. And most of our residents
5	say we all steal.
6	Okay, based, other than with our
7	internal audit, doing the letter, making it so that
8	that's understood, so, I wanted to put on the record
9	whether or not it was included in the overall audit
10	of the Housing Authority?
11	MS. HEAGY: It is in the overall audit
12	of the Housing Authority.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
14	so much.
15	MS. HEAGY: You're welcome.
16	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you,
17	Commissioner. I have a couple questions for you.
18	So, D.C. Housing Authority has a number of
19	subsidiary corporations. Do you conduct audits of
20	those corporations also?
21	MS. HEAGY: Yes, we do.
22	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And so, how are those

1	audit results incorporated into the report that
2	you're issuing today?
3	MS. HEAGY: So, we audit in addition to
4	DCHA, we do CSA, CVI, DCHE, DCHS and Northwest One.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So, would
6	you say that again, and slower, so that we make
7	MS. HEAGY: Yes, I'm sorry.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: sure
9	it's correct for the minutes?
10	MS. HEAGY: Yes. So, DCHE, CSA, CVI,
11	DCHS, CHP and Northwest One.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Now, could
13	you for record, let everybody know what CSA is,
14	because it needs to be in the minutes?
15	MS. HEAGY: Yes. Construction
16	Services Administration, Community Visions
17	Incorporated, D.C. Housing Solutions, Capital
18	Housing Partners and then D.C. Housing
19	Enterprises. That's DCHE.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
21	so much.
22	MS. HEAGY: That was a quiz.

1	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Right. And you
2	answered the second part of my question, so thank
3	you.
4	MS. HEAGY: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Any other questions
6	from any other Commissioners? Here none other, I
7	would entertain a motion to approve Resolution
8	19-09. Is there a motion?
9	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Motion.
10	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Motion. Second?
11	Is there a second?
12	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Second.
12 13	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Second.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.
13	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.
13	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.  MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. And I'd like
13 14 15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.  MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. And I'd like to let the record reflect that Commissioner Ortiz
13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.  MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. And I'd like to let the record reflect that Commissioner Ortiz  Gaud joined the Board after the roll call, so he
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.  MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. And I'd like to let the record reflect that Commissioner Ortiz  Gaud joined the Board after the roll call, so he is present.
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.  MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. And I'd like to let the record reflect that Commissioner Ortiz  Gaud joined the Board after the roll call, so he is present.  Commissioner St. Jean?
13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. Roll call.  MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. And I'd like  to let the record reflect that Commissioner Ortiz  Gaud joined the Board after the roll call, so he  is present.  Commissioner St. Jean?  COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
3	Vann-Ghasri?
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
5	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council?
6	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
7	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
8	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
9	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
10	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
11	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover?
12	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Based on the
13	fact that I was unable to review it I'll have to
14	vote no.
15	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert?
16	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
17	MS. MCNAIR: You have eight yes, one
18	no. The resolution is approved.
19	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you. Thank
20	you so much.
21	MS. HEAGY: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So we're next going

1	to move to Resolution 19-16, which is to authorize
2	modification for environment initiatives
3	contracts.
4	As Laurie comes forward, we brought a
5	similar resolution to this body earlier this year
6	that would have assisted us in aggressively trying
7	to fix some of the most egregious conditions that
8	we find in a number of our housing units.
9	There is a need for additional funding,
10	based on the scope of the issues. And we're coming
11	back to the Full Board to ask for permission for
12	additional funding. Did I sum it up?
13	MS. BARNES: You did.
14	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Great. Anything
15	else you would like to add?
16	MS. BARNES: I would just like to add,
17	again, for the Section 3 compliance, for the
18	contractors, again, Galaxy, which is a painting
19	company, they are local and they are women owned
20	and they have hired four Section 3 employees.
21	Lonch, another company, Lonch
22	Incorporated, they have hired three Section 3

1	employees that are all from the Housing Choice
2	Voucher Program. And they are local from Ward 4.
3	SALUT, which it stands for Soil and Land
4	Use Technology, they have hired a Section 3
5	employee that is low income resident from Ward 8.
6	And they are a local company located in Ward 5.
7	Tito Construction is a D.C. company
8	located in Ward 4.
9	And VCASS, Veteran Contractors
10	Assistance Support Services, is also a local
11	company from Ward 4. And they are a Section 3
12	business concern. So, again, 51 percent of their
13	business, or their company, is in fact Section 3
14	residents.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes. So, how much
16	additional money are you asking for?
17	MS. BARNES: We're asking for \$2.2
18	million.
19	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And how much funds
20	have we allocated to this cause before?
21	Previously.
22	MS. BARNES: So, in a resolution that

1	you all approved September 2018, we requested at
2	that time an additional amount of \$6.5 million.
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So this will bring
4	the total up to \$8.7 million?
5	MS. BARNES: That's correct.
6	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: All right. And
7	where are we getting these monies from?
8	MR. GARRETT: It's coming from
9	operating reserve. Well, this money was already
10	set aside, but it did come from operating reserve
11	previously set aside for budget shortfall.
12	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And how much money
13	did we set aside?
14	MR. GARRETT: Approximately \$16
15	million.
16	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Okay.
17	MR. GARRETT: Yes, \$16 million. But,
18	Commissioners, let's keep in mind that we are
19	moving through our whole entire portfolio with this
20	effort so there is probably an opportunity, or will
21	be an opportunity, that we'll need to come back to
22	the Board to discuss additional funding if

1	necessary.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: How much
3	money is Section 3 residents getting paid out of
4	all that money?
5	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: No, let's go in
6	order. Commissioner St. Jean had a question.
7	Let's go with Commissioner St. Jean.
8	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: So, for the NCI
9	communities that has environmental initiatives,
10	are we getting money for DMPED?
11	MR. GARRETT: For Park Morton, yes.
12	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: For Park
13	Morton, okay. And then, once a contract is over
14	for Section 3 employees, is it just done, they're
15	just fired or they're still in the company?
16	MS. BARNES: No, the goal is always
17	for, again, any residents who are working with the
18	contractor to continue on with the contractor. So
19	they can be able to be hired and have long-term
20	employment with that contractor.
21	And at the very at least, that they have
22	earned skills so that they can be able to work with

1	another company that does similar work.
2	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Okay, thank
3	you.
4	MS. BARNES: You're welcome.
5	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner
6	Strickland? I'm going to save you for the last,
7	how about that?
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That's
9	great.
10	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Save the best for
11	last. Commissioner Strickland.
12	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: You
13	mentioned they were local, but are they CBEs and
14	do you know that?
15	MS. BARNES: Those companies are not
16	CBEs.
17	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Okay.
18	MS. BARNES: They're all District
19	companies, they have not registered as CBEs.
20	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Okay. And
21	in the future, I know as we see these contractors,
22	is it possible, and I guess directly, just to have

1	that as one of the columns so that way we don't,
2	we're going to ask that same question, I'm going
3	to ask that same question every time there is
4	dollars spent on any contractor
5	MR. GARRETT: Not at all.
6	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: is the
7	money local, who's the money going to
8	MR. GARRETT: Sure.
9	MS. BARNES: Sure.
10	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: so, if we
11	can just add that the sheet, then that way we don't
12	have to
13	MR. GARRETT: Not a problem. And I
13	MR. GARRETT: Not a problem. And I think that will be a problem to add. But also
14	think that will be a problem to add. But also
14 15	think that will be a problem to add. But also remember, we have a requirement that, because we're
14 15 16	think that will be a problem to add. But also remember, we have a requirement that, because we're using federal dollars, we need to open it up not
14 15 16 17	think that will be a problem to add. But also remember, we have a requirement that, because we're using federal dollars, we need to open it up not only to just local but also other companies
14 15 16 17 18	think that will be a problem to add. But also remember, we have a requirement that, because we're using federal dollars, we need to open it up not only to just local but also other companies throughout the District.
14 15 16 17 18 19	think that will be a problem to add. But also remember, we have a requirement that, because we're using federal dollars, we need to open it up not only to just local but also other companies throughout the District.  COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: True, I

	$^{1}\mathbf{l}$
1	any chance we can use them I think we should
2	encourage it.
3	MR. GARRETT: No, we agree. We
4	totally agree.
5	MS. BARNES: Duly noted.
6	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Great.
7	Commissioner Slover.
8	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, how is the
9	work going so far, overall, in terms of what you're
10	seeing out there and how much of the portfolio
11	you've been able to touch with the initial monies
12	that we've provided?
13	MR. GARRETT: Chelsea, do you want to
14	come up and Chelsea, Commissioners, is handling
15	our environment efforts, so she's spearheading
16	with the taskforce. So she has those numbers.
17	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon, again,
18	Commissioners. So we have, as you know, completed
19	interim controls in our units with Children 6 and
20	under, with the exception of a number of families
21	for which we've identified as being families we
22	prefer to move with a voucher.

We are now in the beginning phases of 1 going through the remainder of our portfolio. Ιt 2 3 started at Park Morton two weekends ago. And so, we are, of course, 4 fully 5 We spoke previously about the type of 6 white glove treatment that we aspire to provide to 7 our customers through this process. And so, we are seeing the first round of work that was done. 8 There was some challenges with some of 9 10 the units, with the work that was completed. 11 we were able to quickly and immediately address 12 those issues. It's our full expectation that 13 14 should be able to continue this process satisfactorily throughout the 15 rest of our portfolio. 16 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, I didn't 17 hear, how much of the portfolio has been, how much 18 work has been performed, percentage wise, on our 19 20 portfolio for the first, what is it, \$6. million? 21 PARTICIPANT: Ι that can get

information for you.

22

It's a little challenging

because in some instances we've done, and I'm able to speak to quickly, for example, what we've done with lead abatement or lead interim controls.

But we've also addressed mold issues.

As we've gone along, we've done a lot of relocating residents. We've also had to do lead dust cleaning.

And so, to be able to answer that question thoroughly, I'd like to provide a more detailed report to show you where the funding has been utilized to date.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, I'm just trying to get a ballpark figure. I mean, we're up to \$8 some million and if ten percent of the portfolio has been touched then it's a big number we're looking at. And if it's 50 percent, it's a different number.

And I'm just wondering, we have \$16 million that we've set aside for this, are we going to have to go find more money, are some of the other things you just talked about included in that 16 hotel stays and things like that, are not clearly

1	being provided by these folks. And so, I'm just
2	trying to get an idea of sort of as we venture into
3	this endeavor, what's the first feedback
4	PARTICIPANT: Right.
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I mean, who
6	big is this going to get from an economic
7	perspective?
8	MR. GARRETT: Chelsea, what was the
9	estimated dollar amount that we originally
10	PARTICIPANT: We estimated needing to
11	spend approximately \$35 million to get through the
12	entire portfolio. At the same time, as you
13	Commissioners know, we are engaging with you in
14	regards to portfolio stabilization.
15	If there are certain properties that we
16	move forward with the demolition disposition
17	application, we would be trying to move those
18	residents with vouchers versus spending the
19	Agency's dollars on doing interim controls at those
20	sites.
21	So, it will depend largely on some of
22	the decisions that come out of the Board.

1 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, that's a great seque into my next question which is, has any 2 3 of the work you've done to date, changed any of your strategies for 4 position on long-term portfolio? 5 In other words, you had an idea, we 6 7 looked at the whole thing and we said, we think we should do these things and now we're really pulling 8 9 back the covers and getting into it. Is there 10 anything that we've discovered so far that says, 11 well, maybe we should be doing something different 12 with that property? I think, Commissioner 13 MR. GARRETT: Slover, one of the things that we have to take into 14 15 consideration when we're, especially when we're doing interim controls, are the size of the 16 17 properties that we have to tackle. That will drive up the number of units at a particular site, will 18 19 drive up our actual costs. 20 What I think that we've identified, when we've been talking about repositioning and 2.1

what we can identify as a first set of properties

1	that we might need to move with. Those take into
2	consideration environmental needs or risk interim
3	controls that we would have to provide.
4	So we're trying to cut down as much
5	money as we have to, as we possibly can, on
6	particular sites that we know have these
7	environmental issues.
8	PARTICIPANT: And Commissioner
9	Slover, to add, it was the result of the
10	unit-by-unit inspection and these lead risk
11	assessments that lead to the recommendation of the
12	14 extremely
13	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right.
14	PARTICIPANT: urgent properties.
15	So it was essentially the findings from that review
16	that led our administration to make the
17	recommendation that we take quick action on the
18	2,600 units that were identified.
19	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So,
20	Commissioner St. Jean had mentioned that there is
21	some new communities' funds that are also
22	interspersed here. How are those being accounted

for?
In other words, this \$2.2 and this \$6.
whatever we've already spent, I mean, how is that
money, is it being directed just to the new
communities' projects?
PARTICIPANT: It is directed just to
Park Morton.
COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Just to Park
Morton.
PARTICIPANT: It is.
COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And how is Park
Morton going?
PARTICIPANT: So, we are, as I
previously stated, we are just completing our
second week, or are in the middle of our second
week. I'm certain there is some learning along the
way, but we do believe that we're postured to have
a successful completion of the project.
We've been engaging the residents. Of
course there has been some concern about having to
go to a hotel or concern about timing for when we're

doing these interim controls.

We have tried our best to make sure that 1 they're fully engaged. We've been providing all 2 3 types of services to try to assist those residents in this process. 4 So, at one point 5 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: 6 the Board had gone back and forth about Park Morton, 7 and specific about changing course and doing a singular demolition, clearing the property based 8 on the environmental issues that we've discovered. 9 The Board went back and forth, we delayed a couple 10 11 months, and ultimately we decided not to do that. 12 How are we feeling about that decision now based on what you've seen? 13 Is this still a good course of action 14 or do we need to reorganize and think maybe we are 15 spending a lot of money on a property when we have 16 17 all these other properties and we're ultimately going to tear these things down anyway? 18 19 So why are we spending all this money 20 on this and putting people up in hotels and doing all these things when we have all these other 2.1

issues?

And so, I'm just wondering, at this moment in time, based on this decision and this pivot that we made, do we still think we're heading in the right direction on that or do we need to rethink?

PARTICIPANT: And I can respond. I think that at this juncture we're having to make a decision in regards to being in compliance with the Lead Safety Act or not being in compliance with the Lead Safety Act.

And it's prudent for this Agency to take the steps necessary, especially with the funding provided by the District, to go forward with interim controls. At the same time, we are waiting for the TPBs that will be issued from HUD once they approve the partial demolition application.

It will be our immediate response to try to move those residents quickly, because as I've reported to the Board, the truth is, it's challenging for those interim controls to hold up at that property. So we need to do what we're supposed to do pursuant to the law. Also, receive

those vouchers and move those families.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm certain that at a time in the very near future there will be a recommendation to submit the application for the remainder of the property as well.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So, based on all we have going on and all the property conditions that we have and the bunch of stuff we need, with limited time and energy and staff and money, I would ask you once again, was this a good decision?

So, this decision wasn't MR. GARRETT: made in a vacuum. We took into consideration some issues. We also took into consideration, Commissioner Slover, some of the concerns of the residents in reference to the move also. Resident leadership also spoke out about having us mandatorily move individuals from the particular property, which we had to consider. When we talk about what we're going to be doing at these properties, whether it's now or in the future, that's one of the pieces of the puzzle that is in an equation. That's something that we have to talk to residents about.

Also, as Chelsea noted, there was a time frame in which we needed to perform interim controls. We still do not have vouchers in order to move residents out of those units, so we're actually -- if we waited, we will be in non-compliance because those units would still have led and not have -- and those risks would not have been addressed through interim controls of any way, shape or form.

So taking this action would have been -we would have had to take this action regardless
because we have no way of moving residents out of
those units.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, I would offer this because we spent so much time thinking about what to do. That might be part of the problem. But, look, we have a lot of buildings with a lot of residents and a lot of resident commissioners, a lot of people's opinions. And so we have to shepherd our resources and we have to be efficient with our resources.

And what I'm seeing what I'm starting
to see in this agency is what we refer to in the
private equity business as an Oldco/Newco
strategy, which is that we have an enormous
portfolio. We have limited resources, time and
energy and it seems that a significant
insignificant imbalance of our resources and
energy and time is being focused on a small number
of resources versus the entire portfolio. And
what I'm seeing is a starving out of the rest of
the portfolio. And that's a strategy that has no
business in the public housing space.
CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner, I
really appreciate your comments. What would be
your recommendation as to how we deal with these
interim controls?
COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So my
recommendation would be so on Park Morton the
original position of the authority was that based
on the environmental conditions that we should
change positions and then we should do a we

should clear the site and do a demolition of the

1	site. We spent three months going back and forth
2	on that, and because of outside influences we
3	decided not to. And so my recommendation on that
4	site would have been to do what the original
5	recommendation of the Executive Director was.
6	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And the rest of the
7	portfolio? We can have this conversation
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: We could, but
10	I'm really trying to focus on the issue at hand
11	right now, which is we could litigate this all
12	day long, but specifically what I'm pointing out
13	is that we are spending significant time, energy
14	and resources on a finite part of our portfolio when
15	we have an entire portfolio that needs attention.
16	And I'm getting really concerned about the amount
17	of time we're spending on very few assets.
18	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Appreciate that.
19	Commissioner Ortiz?
20	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes, based
21	on the findings of the CBE I can recommend just one
22	company, but I would not vote for the other rest.

I think with many -- there are many companies here that can definitely do that work, 100 percent, the CBE company, a local CBE company. Just wanted to say that.

MR. GARRETT: Did any other companies respond, Laurie?

MS. BARNES: No, none of these companies are CBE, but again we requested and went directly to the District's list of companies that are approved to be able to do this work. And again,

companies are CBE, but again we requested and went directly to the District's list of companies that are approved to be able to do this work. And again, based on painting and plastering, mold testing, remediation and environmental visual inspections so that we could be able to again focus and make sure we focus on those companies. Again, in every other solicitation where we don't have to have them restricted by those that are approved, every solicitation that we issue goes to the DSLBD, which is the agency that manages all the CBEs. So all CBEs receive our solicitations. But again, it's up to them obviously to respond.

But again, all of these companies are local companies. They are here in the District and

1	again have all responded and complied with our
2	requirements. But we do not have a requirement
3	that our companies are CBEs because again, based
4	on our requirements with regard to federal
5	requirements we cannot be able to do that and have
6	local set-asides or restricting based on that.
7	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I
8	understand. I understand there's no set-aside,
9	but at the same time I also know there's many great
10	companies that can do that here, and I think just
11	maybe just past history they don't even they
12	don't feel confident, but I think that for sure you
13	guys can do the best foot forward to make that
14	happen. So that's what I see. That's all. I
15	just wanted to put that's my sense.
16	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner
17	Taliaferro?
18	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes, I got a
19	question about these companies y'all got going out.
20	Only thing that's got me scared is about if they're
21	going to paint and do the molds and all that, I don't

want this to pop up back up in five or seven years

the same thing. And I don't see what y'all are telling me that -- I didn't know -- I don't know these people. I haven't seen no -- they qualifications.

So me that's funny because what will happen, they're going to do the -- they always are going to do -- y'all are going to do a circle, doing the same thing, same thing. And next five years or 10 years later, we're going to have mold and problems again. So we don't need all that.

CHAIRMAN ALBERT: How do we vet -- let me just add onto Commissioner Taliaferro's question. So how we vet these contractors?

MS. BARNES: So we issued solicitation. Again, that solicitation issued last summer. And again, this request here modify this -- these particular just they're all of the contracts. And not contractors. So we went through again and made determinations of which ones were the best ones that had been doing the work, again because some of the issues that have occurred again are not the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

results of the contractor, but the conditions in the unit.

And so based on that again, we're not going through again making new determinations about the contractors because we have as a staff reviewed and made determinations that we have followed up on their work and have been good work. But again, you all approved these contracts in September based on us vetting them and based on them meeting the factors and requirements of the solicitation knowing that they had the expertise to be able to do the work.

At this point we're asking for additional funds for contract authority so that they can be able to continue the work that they're doing, because right now we may have budget, but if their contract has a certain limit, they cannot continue to do the work. And in order for us to be able to obligate funds for them, we have to have contracts that meet that level.

COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2.1

commissioner vann-ghasmi: With that said, let me explain to some of us that do not understand how to do business of the District of Columbia. We're not a state, so therefore as a family commissioner, when I first came on board there is -- don't nobody have to do a RFP and quarantee Sections 3, period.

And Section 3 actually has been blooming since Commissioner Slover, myself, Laurie Barnes, Argren Krang -- and I've never seen so many RFPs coming in now really write -- And they didn't have to write the words. When they do a RFP in the District of Columbia you don't have to say I'm going to hire three Section 3. That's not a requirement here.

But this Board as a whole figured out how to do it so that when a RFP come through we actually see it in writing because we had a commissioner -- Commissioner Whitfield always taught me if it ain't in writing, it ain't there. So when I got on Board, the first thing I asked Ms. Barnes, can they actually write Section 3, what

they going to do and they do? Because the District is a very unique state.

Now how much money is the residents going to get out of all this money with Section 3? Are these people going to pay \$15 an hour based on our qualification or are they going to do -- try to 8.50 us?

MS. BARNES: No, all of the -- everyone is paid based on the federal rate. So again, just like every other worker on the site, they're paid based on again the federal rates. And that's part of our responsibility to make sure everyone is paid properly.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So now this is what I do have a concern with, because I'm a union girl: And one thing I do notice in all of our contracts; and that goes for small business, they cut us out. They cut the union out. So now how can this Housing Authority balance out its contract where, (A), even though the contract may be short term or longer term or permanent term, who has the union so the residents of the District of Columbia

2.1

1	can have that type of union protection versus the
2	contract?
3	MS. BARNES: It really depends on the
4	discipline. So certain disciplines like elevator
5	service repair, they have strong unions. And so
6	they're already intact based on again the type of
7	work that they do. So again, when we solicit, it's
8	not based on whether there's a union environment
9	or not, or the workers are part of a union. It is
10	not a requirement.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That would
12	be discriminatory, correct? Would it?
13	MS. BARNES: We cannot require
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Right,
15	because that would be
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MS. BARNES: part of it, but a lot
18	of times
19	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: that would be
20	discriminatory?
21	MS. BARNES: Correct.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.

1	MS. BARNES: But a lot of times, based
2	on again the type of work, they may be part of the
3	union. And that also comes as part of a benefit
4	with and if they become if they start off as
5	an apprentice, which some of again Section
6	residents may start off with, or be helpers. And
7	then once they move up into the field then they
8	become union workers and they can be able to qualify
9	to again pay to be in a union.
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Are any of
11	these companies owned by females?
12	MS. BARNES: Yes, one is a woman-owned
13	company, and that's Galaxy. It's a painting
14	company.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you.
16	So I'm going to entertain a motion
17	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I just want
18	to say
19	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: after
20	Commissioner Ortiz
21	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes, yes.
22	This is one point that I'm really passionate about,

so I want to just want to be clear. One of the
requirements for me to be part of the Board is that
I live here in D.C. And I also know about what we
can do here. And I think that as a Housing
Authority and being here in the city I think we can
do some great things. And this is one of the things
that it can do. So that's why I believe this is
should be really looked at. These
trades here that we're looking at, they're not
rocket science. I just want to say that. So
and these are your specialties that many companies
can do. And that's perfect for any CBE companies,
local companies to be able to engage in and do just
good and great work. And I want to be very clear
on that. That's all.
COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And while
we're on that, Ms. Barnes, if it's already that
we have residents in public housing that owns their
own small business. So how can we be on the RFP
list? Can we have a sole source contract?

MS. BARNES:

we

that

companies

Well again, one of the

are requesting additional

1 funding for is in fact a Section 3 business concern, which means that they are again low-income 2 residents that have started a business, or 51 3 percent of the business. 4 5 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay. MS. BARNES: So anyone, any business 6 7 can respond --COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: But can 8 9 we --10 MS. BARNES: -- and we actually send 11 the solicitations to DHCD that manages the Section 12 3 business concerns so that they receive every solicitation that we issue. 13 14 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So, but in the near future; and I mean like 2020, can we look 15 at seeing how or if residents who are -- and this 16 17 where the resident is -- you live in a Housing Choice Voucher Program or you live in public 18 19 housing, you're 18 and over and you are on the 20 If I have a company, do you think that you all can look into seeing how can public housing and 21

Housing Choice voucher residents receive sole

1 source contracts? That's just something to look into. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Let's make that our general counsel is included and not --4 5 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Oh, yes, 6 with the general counsel, I mean. But, and you can 7 give us the reply at the next Resident Service Resident Initiative Committee meeting the end of 8 the month. But I mean, seriously, because at one 9 10 point in time the District of Columbia used to have 11 sole source contracts for minority companies that 12 register and their business was in D.C. And we 13 don't have sole source contracts anymore and I want 14 to know why. And if so, why we cannot bring that back to like real small business, et cetera, that 15 look into those sole source contracts. 16 And maybe 17 you don't have a 10-year contract with them, but 18 at least two or three years. 19 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Okay. So --20 Well, very few because we MS. BARNES: 21 want to have open and fair competition. So we want 22 the opportunity for others to respond.

So I'm going to have 1 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Strickland ask a quick question and then St. Jean 2 3 and then we're going to vote. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: All right. 4 So if we add this money to these 5 My last question: 6 different groups, how long will that money last? Like is that for six months, three months, four 7 I know it may vary, but is there a range. months? 8 And my reason for me asking that question, which 9 is really short, is does that give us enough time 10 11 to re-look at the bidder pool to possibly pull in 12 CBEs? Again, that will vary as 13 MS. BARNES: far as how much money or how far it takes us. 14 do anticipate coming back to the Board with a 15 And at that time we can be able to solicit 16 17 again for other contractors again and be able to show you the contractors, where they've been sent. 18 19 We actually also have a fair tomorrow, 20 as a matter of fact, to -- with a job fair as well as how -- doing business with DCHA encouraging 21

contractors here in the District to be able to come

1	out for an outreach event so that we can be able
2	to encourage those who have not worked with us to
3	be able to come out and do that. And that is
4	actually tomorrow.
5	So, yes, we this will be a shorter
6	term because we know more than likely it again,
7	with the work that we have upcoming that we know
8	it won't last that long. We know we'll be coming
9	back to you and we can be able to solicit again.
10	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner St.
11	Jean?
12	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes, I would
13	like to have Caroline Punter to come up to talk to
14	us about Boots on Ground on Sunday for the Park
15	Morton Families in the Marriott Hotel.
16	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, just one
17	other thing I want to add that just for
18	Commissioner Ortiz and Commissioner Strickland.
19	The individuals that we have or the companies
20	that we selected also have specialized a
21	specialized component, which is the RFP component,

which they have to be able -- it's not just the

simple painting and plastering. So I just want you to be aware of that. Everyone has to have that certificate when they come on board. Not saying that they don't, not saying that there aren't other companies out, but that is an additional component to this group.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: But I think what Commissioner Ortiz -- and I'm sorry to keep going on this, is that there's tons of old buildings in the District of Columbia. So you're not -- this is not the first lead, this is not going to be the first abatement project that's here. There's tons of companies that do it. I can name 10 off the top of my head right now that are CBEs that could probably fall into this category. And that's not our job to do that. Our job is just to make sure environment is there for that the them participate. And I think that's what we're trying to do.

And I know we don't want to tie up residents that are already getting these services, so that's why I'm asking that once this bucket is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

done, it just gives us enough time to look at the 1 market again. 2 3 COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: And also that's going to be -- and also based on the hires, 4 they're going to be a lot stronger for D.C. hires 5 6 than anyone outside of the -- that said, he's going 7 to bring that also. So for workforce it's ideal, which is our people, so --8 9 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Let's hear from Ms. Punter first. 10 11 MS. PUNTER: So Boots on the Ground is 12 comprised of the Housing Choice Voucher Program staff, which includes Relocation and the Mobility 13 Also the Office of Community Engagement and 14 Office of Resident Services Navigators. And what 15 we do is we actually provide white glove service 16 17 like the Deputy Executive Director Johnson spoke 18 about. 19 And so folks come to the hotel from Park 20 We greet them of course with a smile. have roses, snacks. We have help them check in. 2.1 22 We take their bags from their cars up to their hotel

1	rooms and make sure that they're fine and happy.
2	We go back a few times during the week just to
3	provide them with updates about what's happening
4	at their property and also to make sure that they
5	are comfortable and if there are any issues that
6	may have we can resolve. We provide them with
7	coupons for area restaurants and things of that
8	nature and we also let them know any amenities
9	that's in the area.
10	The day of check out we come and we
11	actually help them check out, get them back into
12	Uber or whichever way they came to the hotel so they
13	can go back and meet Property Management Operations
14	to go through the walk-through of their unit.
15	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you.
17	MS. PUNTER: You're welcome.
18	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Slover?
19	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I just want one
20	point of clarification about this discussion about
21	CBE, which I'm all for. What it sounds like I
22	thought I heard earlier that we don't have a

1	set-aside mechanism that allows a weighting
2	process for CBE. So there's really no way to do
3	what you're asking unless we as a board go that
4	route. And so it's a more complicated process than
5	just saying which I'm all supportive of. I just
6	think we need to put a mechanism in place that will
7	allow that, otherwise it's a pure process and
8	everyone's the process wins.
9	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And I think you're
10	right. I think we about a year ago we had these
11	conversations and I thought we were going to get
12	some recommendations back from management on how
13	we address those issues.
14	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes, I
15	thought yes. I mean, yes.
16	MR. GARRETT: We did yes, I'm
17	drawing a blank. What did we we couched it in
18	something, Laurie. What did we couch it in?
19	MS. BARNES: The Economic Inclusion
20	Plan
21	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
22	MS. BARNES: for any of our

1	development.
2	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
3	MS. BARNES: And that was where we put
4	a robust plan together
5	MR. GARRETT: We did it with
6	development, not for
7	MS. BARNES: with regard to
8	development.
9	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: We're talking
10	about
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I'd like to
12	see I just want to make sure we have a mechanism
13	in place that creates the opportunities that
14	everybody is supportive of. So let's do that so
15	that we can actually achieve the goal people want.
16	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I just want
17	to just add something just because there are boots
18	on the ground, someone on the ground constantly.
19	So one of the things I want to say, even just a
20	good-faith effort will create meaning not
21	even set-asides, mean it's that many companies
22	within the that's all I'm saying. So really

1	a really clear effort. Well, just not and
2	just to add to what you're saying that you're
3	simply based on these traits. I understand
4	elevators is different that's a different world,
5	but when it comes to this kind of traits, not that
6	one. So which these are the best.
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: You have to run
8	a process. The process has to be you can't pull
9	them out of the pool without violating the process.
10	So what I'm saying is if we want that, we have to
11	set up a mechanism that allows some weighting for
12	that, correct?
12 13	that, correct?  MS. BARNES: Correct.
13	MS. BARNES: Correct.
13	MS. BARNES: Correct.  COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Otherwise you
13 14 15	MS. BARNES: Correct.  COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Otherwise you can't just say, well, let's put one in for good
13 14 15 16	MS. BARNES: Correct.  COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Otherwise you can't just say, well, let's put one in for good faith, because then you get in trouble.
13 14 15 16	MS. BARNES: Correct.  COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Otherwise you can't just say, well, let's put one in for good faith, because then you get in trouble.  MS. BARNES: Correct.
13 14 15 16 17	MS. BARNES: Correct.  COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Otherwise you can't just say, well, let's put one in for good faith, because then you get in trouble.  MS. BARNES: Correct.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So let's revisit
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BARNES: Correct.  COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Otherwise you can't just say, well, let's put one in for good faith, because then you get in trouble.  MS. BARNES: Correct.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So let's revisit this in the Operations Committee. At least let's

1	likes to say, a robust conversation, discussion
2	about it and then bring our findings back to this
3	Full Board.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Mr. Chair,
5	did we get a answer or did you know how much our
6	constituents going to get paid, 15 or \$8 an hour?
7	MS. BARNES: Again, it's
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm
9	serious.
LO	MS. BARNES: federal-funded. So
L1	it's not based on the D.C. level, so it's usually
L2	it's higher because it's based on the federal
L3	level.
L 4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes,
L5	great. Now don't
L6	MS. BARNES: And it's based on the
L7	particular job, so
L8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Now,
L9	here's what my concern sometime with Section 3: My
20	concern is especially with DCHE Section 3s. And
21	if something happened there or their contract was
22	laid off, are you using the same DCHE Section 3 when

1	this opportunity come up or are we using brand new
2	residents, or you have a resident list? How would
	_
3	I know the residents are getting its fair share?
4	See as a temp agency you know, because as a temp
5	agency you have a template and at the end of a audit,
6	you could see which temp been working the most.
7	Now
8	MS. BARNES: Some companies only get
9	credit for new hires. So they may have Section 3
10	residents that are already working with a company,
11	but it's only new hires that we are recording for
12	each contract that they receive.
12	each contract that they receive.
12 13	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.
12 13 14	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So I'd like to
12 13 14 15	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So I'd like to revisit that. So I think it's important to record
12 13 14 15 16	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So I'd like to revisit that. So I think it's important to record new hires, but retention is also extremely
12 13 14 15 16 17	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So I'd like to revisit that. So I think it's important to record new hires, but retention is also extremely important. So we can spend the rest of the
12 13 14 15 16 17	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So I'd like to revisit that. So I think it's important to record new hires, but retention is also extremely important. So we can spend the rest of the afternoon discussing this. Let's put this back in
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	each contract that they receive.  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.  CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So I'd like to revisit that. So I think it's important to record new hires, but retention is also extremely important. So we can spend the rest of the afternoon discussing this. Let's put this back in Operations Committee. Come up with a series of

1	a motion?
2	PARTICIPANT: Eighteen?
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: 19-16.
4	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Motion.
5	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Roll call?
7	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
8	Strickland?
9	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Yes.
10	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Taliaferro?
11	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes.
12	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner
12 13	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Vann-Ghasri?
13	Vann-Ghasri?
13 14	Vann-Ghasri?  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
13 14 15	Vann-Ghasri?  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Council?
13 14 15 16	Vann-Ghasri?  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Council?  COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
13 14 15 16 17	Vann-Ghasri?  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Council?  COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
13 14 15 16 17	Vann-Ghasri?  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Council?  COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?  COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Vann-Ghasri?  COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Council?  COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?  COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.  MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?

1	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Slover?
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I vote yes with
3	the assumption or the agreement or the recognition
4	that we will work through this process to be more
5	inclusive in as a lot of Board members have a
6	desire to have CBEs more represented in these
7	pools. But based on the expediency needed here I
8	vote yes, but with that exception.
9	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
10	St. Jean?
11	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
12	MS. McNAIR: And, Chairman Albert?
13	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes, but I just want
14	to note that I will not be voting for any additional
15	increases unless we address the issues that folks
16	have raised here today, I think, which means that
17	we have to address them aggressively and quickly.
18	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. You have
19	eight yes and one no. The resolution is approved.
20	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you.
21	Thank you, Laurie and team.
22	So let's move to Resolution 19-18,

which will create a Standing Committee of the 1 Housing Choice Voucher Program. And I have to give 2 3 you a little bit of context for this. So we have a very active Housing Choice 4 Voucher commissioner, Commissioner St. Jean. 5 Ι 6 probably think I communicate with her more than I 7 communicate with any other commissioner. Ιt doesn't all 8 mean that you have to start 9 communicating with me as much as she does. 10 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Oh, we 11 won't. 12 (Laughter.) 13 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: But I want to give 14 credit to, where credit is due in addition to Commissioner St. Jean, Debra Frazier, who we hear 15 from almost at every Board meeting. She raised the 16 17 issue of Voucher Choice Program residents' voices being heard and being involved in the discussions 18 19 that we have here as a Board of Commissioners. And 20 a lot of those conversations occur at the committee level. 2.1

So, Commissioner St. Jean, myself and

Ms. Frazier had a meeting a couple weeks ago to really just hear some of the issues that she thinks we could be addressing as a committee. And subsequent to that, Commissioner St. Jean and myself talked with our Executive Director and our general counsel to see if it made sense to stand up a new committee.

And we're happy to say that we could stand up a new committee which we're calling the Housing Choice Voucher Program Committee. This committee will be like any other committee. We have a Resident Services Committee, just for the record. We're going to have a Housing Choice Voucher Program Committee. We'll have members of our Board of Commissioners as part of I'm going to personally sit on this committee. But it's really just to advance that committee. the voice of Housing Choice Voucher participants.

And so that's what the resolution would have us do. I would see if Commissioner St. Jean wants to add anything to this and then open up for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	questions.
2	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes, so the
3	Housing Choice Voucher Program Committee will be
4	chaired by a Housing Choice Voucher commissioner.
5	I just want to take the time out to say thank you
6	to Debra Frazier, Tracey Hooks and, I'm so sorry,
7	Ms. I don't know Johnson is back there that I gave
8	her the award, because you guys started this PAC
9	and went on forth to fight for a Housing Choice
10	Voucher chairperson to sit on the Board. So I just
11	want to give you guys thank you for that, because
12	I'm here and before me Shelore Fisher was here.
13	So, and I'm a voice on the Board to help others on
14	voucher participants. So thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Questions?
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Now with
17	that said, I would like
18	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: We reserve you for
19	last.
20	(Laughter.)
21	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: All right.
22	Commissioner Slover?

1	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I think it's
2	a great thing to have this committee based on the
3	fact that almost, depending on the day, more than
4	half of our clients are in the Housing Choice
5	Voucher Program. So I welcome this committee.
6	We had a robust conversation earlier
7	about committee structures in general and I would
8	hope that we could advance some of the things we
9	talked about earlier. I think that a more
10	functional committee structure would go a long way
11	to making a more functional board and
12	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Could you talk a
13	little bit more about what we discussed?
14	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Something that
15	we talked about earlier was we have a number of
16	standing committees. We have Development
17	Modernization, we have Finance, we have
18	Operations. We have a lot of committees. There's
19	a lot of meetings that go on in between these
20	meetings.
21	And what we're trying to do is get a more
22	efficient flow of information from those committee

meetings to the General Board so that we can understand somewhat of where we are as a board on a lot of these important issues so that we have a more efficient and timely discussion leading up to these votes and so that we're better informed and we're more involved. And I just think it's generally a good practice for the Board to tighten up its committee structure.

CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes, I --

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I think everybody's in agreement. I just want to make sure I get it on the record that we're going to go ahead and do that.

and Commissioner Slover actually did raise this issue earlier along with some of our other commissioners, we're also taking a look at our bylaws. We haven't reviewed our bylaws in a long time here. We've been out of receivership for a while. I can't remember how long. Ken Slaughter was our overseer back then. He's our overseer now.

But we have to really get into the 21st

2.1

Century, and so we're taking a look at our bylaws,
which would include sort of frequency of meetings,
whether or not we should have term limits on this
board. And a committee structure, as how those all
those flow up to the big board of the General Board
of Commissioners. It's stuff like this that
organizations do on a regular basis and we want to
be doing that as a Board of Commissioners. And so
stay tuned. You'll be hearing more from us on
those efforts. And any other
Commissioners before Commissioner Vann-Ghasri?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Commissioner
Vann-Ghasri?
COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay. So
I want the minutes to reflect this because I'm the
commissioner that put the history down.
I really appreciate the Housing Choice
Voucher commissioner, because without her making
this move, even though the Resident Service
Resident Initiative Committee has been existing

commissioner to persuade this board and the OGC Kenneth Slaughter, who was here during the former director who told me it couldn't happen.

So being that she made it happen, public housing residents can give ourself a hand being a team player because now the -- there will be a resolution because I won't be passing this -- I'm going to pass this one. And in seven days -- seven, not calendar days, sir, because you had three, six, nine years you've been with me -- okay? And not saying -- and I've been on the board for 12. Okay?

And if it wasn't for LaRuby; and this is what I don't like about -- and everybody say, oh, oh, oh. See, every race know how to compliment who did what. And I'm one that know how to compliment.

If it wasn't for LaRuby May and Bill Slover there would be no commissioners chairing no committees, because the District of Columbia Housing Authority never thought or wanted us to chair. So LaRuby opened that door. And this is how we can pass it to deal as a team with the

commissioners. The resident commissioners are a 1 team. And we --2 3 CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Okay. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Wait. 4 We 5 complement each other. So when the resolution is 6 written up, sir, it will be read Resident Service, because the residents of the District of Columbia: 7 Kimmy Gray and all of them, Jackie West, Ms. 8 9 Burrell, Ms. Barr, all of them created the Office 10 of Resident Service that no other housing authority 11 in the United States do not have one like ours. 12 it was the residents who worked in that office controlled that office and worked this job. 13 14 (Applause.) COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 15 So know we're going to 16 therefore, you 17 resolution with a number, sir, and it's going to be called Resident Service because the resident 18 19 leadership and the residents of the District of 20 Columbia set the model. It's also going to be called Resident 21 22 Initiative because initiatives is what every

1	president in the United States make their federal
2	funds. And Resident Service and this housing
3	authority runs off of initiatives. It means
4	money.
5	So every resident who's on a lease; and
6	you don't have to be 18 and over to be on a lease,
7	remember, see yourself as a dollar sign. Stop
8	seeing yourself as a zero sign because we're worth
9	money.
10	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you,
11	Commissioner Vann-Ghasri.
12	(Applause.)
13	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: And before we call
14	the vote, I don't think this was heard, but Ken
15	Slaughter was indicating that he's known
16	Commissioner Vann-Ghasri for 40 years you said?
17	MR. SLAUGHTER: Longer than that.
18	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Longer than that.
19	MR. TALIAFERRO: It was 55 years. I'm
20	sorry.
21	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Fifty-five years.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: But now he

1	knew me as a investigator working for the defense.
2	MR. SLAUGHTER: That's right.
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Got it.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I was a
5	defense lawyer investigator.
6	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: All right. So let's
7	not go further down this road since I'm afraid of
8	where this will go.
9	So at this time I will entertain a
10	motion to approve Resolution 19-18.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I move that
12	Resolution 19-18 to create a Standing Committee on
13	the Housing Choice Voucher Program is voted and
14	accepted into the record.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Is there a second?
16	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Roll call?
18	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
19	Taliaferro?
20	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes.
21	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner
22	Vann-Ghasri?

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
2	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Council?
3	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
4	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
5	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
6	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
7	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
8	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Slover?
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes.
10	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
11	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
12	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Strickland?
13	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Yes.
14	MS. McNAIR: Chairman Albert?
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
16	MS. McNAIR: You have nine yes. The
17	resolution is approved.
18	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Thank you so much.
19	(Applause.)
20	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So before we go into
21	our public witnesses I know our Executive Director
22	had some other recognitions to make and then we'll

1 into our public witnesses. Commissioner Council will lead that section of our meeting. 2 3 MR. GARRETT: Oh, for the PAC we have --Ms. Tracey Hooks is here. So we just wanted her 4 5 to come up and receive her certificate. 6 (Applause.) 7 first COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Our witness is Ms. Valerie Flamer. 8 9 MS. FLAMER: Can everybody hear me? 10 PARTICIPANTS: Yes. I came down here because 11 MS. FLAMER: 12 I had a housing inspector that come to my apartment, and I mention it at the other meeting that I went 13 14 But after he got there -- he got there around 12:00. Inspector Clifford Crawford. 15 It was Well, soon as he got in the living room, he asked 16 17 me do I have chinches. So I looked at him real Chinches -- William C. Smith did not buy 18 19 that mattress. Chinches are mattress bugs. 20 attack you at night while you sleep. It comes from filth, children peeing in the bed. 2.1 They do not

make those kind of mattresses anymore.

So I had several of them ask me that.

Where -- I mean, where is this coming from? You can't accuse owners of putting chinches in the beds. That's the fault of the tenants. He's not supplying furniture for us. We moving in a empty apartment. And that's our furniture. I was very offended by that.

Plus he looked all around my place. I was telling him about a few of the complaints I had. He was more interested in what was in my drawers, underwear drawers and stuff like that. So he didn't even -- he ignored me. So you think he might be senile?

Please don't send him back to my apartment no more because what he -- I got two smoke detectors. One is for smoke and one is for carbon dioxide. I made sure that the maintenance checked that before he came. I alerted them. Is there anything that might have been -- might be fixed -- need to be fixed? He looked at them saying I'm coming back to your apartment again.

And I was getting ready to say why? He

1.3

2.1

said because they don't have a carbon dioxide in here, and they do. They lady confirmed it at the rental office. One of them is for carbon dioxide and one is a smoke detector. They get on my nerves doing that.

I had another inspector that went in my underwear drawer one time. I'm not a slave. Slavery over. I see you at the meeting. You be at the meeting all the time. I thought you worked here. I can go anywhere I want. If I want to come to a meeting, somebody invite me to a meeting, that's my right.

And the next thing I want to talk about -- this is old right here. I wanted to talk about discrimination. I went looking for a place with senior citizen. I got approve for that apartment, but then something else came up and I had to turn it down. So I went to apply for it again because this lease run out at the end of this month. They had on there I have to have Social Security card, a copy of my birth certificate, the Section 8 voucher, a brand new one, marriage certificate,

my dead husband -- the dead husband's death certificate. I mean, how is it -- everybody's saying what they want that for? Say I -- they trying to erase me with a new identity so somebody else can go and claim that money. That's not right. That's fraud.

So when I looked at my smartphone, first thing came up was fraud. Is Section 8 going to pay all of my rent if I don't have no fund and they pay my electric when I couldn't pay it when I ain't have no fund? Why would you need that information except for to defraud Social Security Administration?

And they didn't -- they're not married to him, never been married to him. It's a lot of fraud going on. They send me alerts from Social Security all the time. And that's what I wanted to say about the discrimination. That don't make no sense that I got to give y'all all my papers and my business like that.

And another thing I wanted to ask you all: Did you ask us -- could y'all put that on the

computer all I ever making? What about my privacy? Even though we receiving Section 8 vouchers, we still need our privacy. Nobody don't need to know If you got my Social Security number, everything. that's a lot, and the birth certificate. We don't mind it. And then you know where our money's coming from. But they making me look like I'm defrauding the government, really but it's somebody else trying to get me right in this building right here.

I came down one day and show my ID to that lady named Barnes in Security and the other girl that was sitting down there this afternoon. That lady almost stole my ID. If it wasn't for somebody seeing her do it — she had actually looked at somebody else's stuff, asked me for my ID and put it in her pocket, slick.

Now I'm from the old school. We're not stupid. I seen it when she did it. So somebody spoke up for me, and I appreciate that because she would have got away with it. Now they want my ID, my business about my husband. What else is going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to be next? When did I have my children and how I had them? And once somebody rent downstairs ask me one day do I use a vibrator? What you got to do with that? I'm saying this is awful.

So I asked of them who is standing up for our rights? We getting our rent paid, but nobody's standing up for our rights. Nobody don't have no business asking us all of that stuff. You need to know where your money coming from, the money source, and you do need to identify me that Social Security is identifying me and mу birth certificate. So somebody's trying to steal the people that's from D.C. identity. I don't like That's why I'm down here talking about it. Please do something about that.

And I still want to call a meeting with HUD so we can let them know what we going through behind the scenes with this. You say I don't -- I never hear -- I hear people talking about a got a nice place. It's really nice. And some of them ain't paid no taxes. They came from somewhere else and just set up camp here. And we see them -- they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	act like this is Hollywood.
2	MR. GARRETT: Excuse me, Ms. Flamer
3	MS. FLAMER: You see all them hair and
4	nails, but they not working. Why don't they try
5	to contribute to
6	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Flamer?
7	MS. FLAMER: the funds
8	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Flamer? Ms.
9	Flamer?
10	MS. FLAMER: by getting a job?
11	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Flamer, I'm going to
12	contact everyone who you've been in contact with
13	and find out exactly what's going on. Okay?
14	MS. FLAMER: I got proof at home.
15	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
16	MS. FLAMER: I document everything.
17	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
18	MS. FLAMER: I got his signature right
19	here. Don't send him back to my house.
20	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. FLAMER: If you not that's like
22	OSHA. They protect us on these jobs when we get

1	hurt. A housing inspector should be making sure
2	everything is working correctly. And I'm not in
3	any danger of any leaks, gas leaks and stuff.
4	Don't be looking in my I live here.
5	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am. I'm going
6	to
7	MS. FLAMER: If I didn't live here, the
8	rental office would be the first one to tell y'all.
9	MR. GARRETT: I'll speak directly with
10	them. Okay?
11	MS. FLAMER: All right. Thank you.
12	My God. Coming back to my house. I don't need
13	that. Uh-huh. Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Ms. Ross?
15	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I might be
16	out of order. I just want to I don't want to
17	be dismissed because I should have said this
18	before, but Commissioner St. Jean has been an
19	amazing commissioner and I'm very happy that you're
20	here a while.
21	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: So great

1	job. That's it. I just wanted to say that.
2	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Ms. Trelisha
4	Ross?
5	(No audible response.)
6	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Tamaysha Moore
7	and Ms. Petway?
8	MS. MOORE: Good afternoon.
9	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Good
10	afternoon.
11	MS. MOORE: Good afternoon,
12	commissioners, Resident Services and DCHA staff.
13	My name is Tamaysha Moore.
14	MS. PETWAY: My name is Misha Petway.
15	MS. MOORE: Collectively we have 46
16	years of DCHA tenancy stakeholders at Potomac
17	Gardens Family and we appear before you today to
18	address and resolve extremely dire issues and
19	concerns surrounding the duly-elected Potomac
20	Gardens Family Executive Board. This demand is
21	supported in two parts. Here follows part 1:
22	MS. PETWAY: The duly I'm sorry, the

1	Executive Board resolutions of Potomac Gardens
2	Family Recreational Resident Council, we the
3	assigned residents request the swift immediate
4	removal of the existing Potomac Gardens Family
5	Recreational Resident Council Executive Board
6	members; whereas:
7	MS. MOORE: The current operating
8	bylaws of the Potomac Gardens Family Recreational
9	Resident Council, according to CFR 964.115-B,
10	state the organization's sole purpose is to improve
11	life for the residents of Potomac Gardens in (1)
12	maintaining viable resident organization
13	representative of the residents who elected its
14	officers.
15	(2) Acts as a liaison between DCHA and
16	members to ensure adequate maintenance of all units
17	and common areas.
18	(3) Provide maximum employment and
19	training opportunities.
20	(4) Establish, monitor and provide
21	input into security and public safety programs.
22	(5) Promote programs which will provide

1	improved educational, recreational and social
2	service opportunities.
3	(6) Inform residents of their rights
4	and responsibilities under existing federal, state
5	and local law, as well as under Potomac Gardens
6	Family bylaws.
7	(7) Receive official recognition from
8	the Housing Authority and HUD as a Resident Council
9	pursuant to the HUD regulations.
10	(8) Institute economic development
11	programs for residents.
12	(9) Advise and assist the Housing
13	Authority in all aspects of public housing
14	operations.
15	(10) Cooperate with other Resident
16	Councils under the DCHA jurisdiction in
17	representing the interests of all residents.
18	So says CFR 964100.
19	MS. PETWAY; However, whereas, there
20	is proof that President Vann-Ghasri has paid a
21	resident in exchange for their vote, thus bribing
22	resident to win her presidency. Please see

## 1 Exhibit A. Whereas, the duly-elected Executive 2 3 Board has maintained a highly dysfunctional, dishonest and non-inclusive resident organization 4 with evidence of: 5 6 MS. MOORE: (1) Mismanagement of funds 7 and having insufficient, incomplete and obsolete to residents reports given after 8 treasurer 9 requesting corrected and completed and thorough 10 reports several times via email and at sporadic 11 Resident Council meetings. Please see Exhibit B. 12 (A) Gift cards are randomly given to families with no accounting system or financial 13 14 policies and procedures. 15 (B) The president and board has paid themselves with funds from the HUD TPA account and 16 17 have signed off on checks whereas the bylaws call for all checks to be signed by at least two 18 19 Please see Exhibit C and D. officers. 20 (C) Members, residents of Potomac

Gardens Family, have yet to receive a budget as per

how the 964 Tenant Participation Funds are being

2.1

1	allocated and who or where the votes are taking
2	place to account for such funds.
3	(D) Residents inquiries have about
4	frequent petty cash allowances in the amount of
5	\$200 have gone unanswered as to its usage and
6	eligible allowance.
7	(E) Not in compliance with D.C.
8	Regulatory Affairs Department and not filing the
9	annual fees and report year 2012, thus operating
LO	a business operation without a proper license.
L1	MS. PETWAY: Whereas President
L2	Vann-Ghasri
L3	MS. MOORE: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
L 4	One more.
L5	I added an (F) which you do not have.
L6	Funds have still yet to be totally distributed to
L7	residents. And I believe it's the TPA account for
L8	2018 and 2019.
L9	MS. PETWAY: Okay. Whereas,
20	President Vann-Ghasri has invited her niece Ms.
21	Mohammad to attend the Resident Council meetings
22	where she has input and opportunity to solicit

1	residents for various services.
2	MS. MOORE: (2) Since September 2016
3	the Executive Board the duly-elected Executive
4	Board has had three members, which is in direct
5	violation of CFR 964.115-B and has denied a
6	resident or residents access as to the process of
7	appointing new membership. One Potomac Gardens
8	Family interest has gone ignored.
9	(A) The president has recently
10	invited family members; her niece, to serve as the
11	secretary of the Resident Council Board and office
12	administrator. Note, she is not a member of the
13	council or a resident of the Potomac Gardens.
14	(3) Constantly denying the voting
15	members the right to be heard and/or have our issues
16	addressed during meetings.
17	(A) Bullying tactics and aggressive
18	techniques are used to shut residents' voices down.
19	(4) Having children, not head of
20	households, vote on various actions pertaining to
21	Resident Council's funds and its usage.
22	(5) Having no legible, clear and

and

1	thorough minutes/treasurer reports readily
2	available for each of the Resident Council meetings
3	for residents to read.
4	(6) Having no regular schedule of
5	meetings as per our bylaws and not meeting at least
6	once a month and with proper notice.
7	(7) Having no current signed and
8	amended bylaws to operate from.
9	(8) No consistent practice and/or
10	process for running the operations of the Family
11	Resident Council are being utilized.
12	MS. PETWAY: Whereas, it has been
13	alleged that the Family president has been escorted
14	from Potomac Gardens management office by the
15	Emergency Psychiatric Response Division and
16	thereafter involuntarily committed, which speaks
17	to the mental health capacity and challenges of
18	President Aquarius Vann-Ghasri.
19	Whereas, no communication of any
20	available economic opportunities for employment
21	and/or training have been offered to residents
22	specifically through programs such as Section 3.

1 Whereas, partnerships with program providers are only made through organizations that 2 3 work well and agree with the philosophies of the president. 4 Whereas, the partnerships that are 5 mostly supported are of one specific religion. 6 The council's activities are not inclusive of all 7 religions, faiths and beliefs. 8 9 partnerships Whereas, all with 10 organizations who do not agree with the presidents are not offered to residents of Potomac Gardens 11 12 despite the need for the services. 13 Whereas, residents' needs of security have gone unanswered. Several discussions about 14 security are always tabled and residents have been 15 threatened to be careful of what we ask for when 16 17 residents ask for security. Whereas, residents fear retaliation 18 19 against the powers of Aquarius Vann-Ghasri and the 20 many roles she operates within; i.e., commissioner of DCHA, president of Potomac Gardens Family site. 2.1

Be it resolved:

1	MS. MOORE: That the residents of
2	Potomac Gardens Family here we ask that we be
3	given at least one more minute.
4	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: We have.
5	We've done it. You've done over two minutes
6	already.
7	MS. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: But we have all
9	your information. We have all your information.
10	MS. MOORE: Okay. Thank you. The
11	residents have spoken.
12	MS. PETWAY: We fear retaliation.
13	Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: You're next.
15	MR. GARRETT: It's actually going to be
16	Joanne Wallington from Audit and Compliance.
17	Joanne?
18	(No audible response.)
19	MR. GARRETT: Oh, she's not here?
20	There was a review actually performed already.
21	She'll talk to them about that.
22	MS. HIGH: My apologies,

Commissioners. I just -- I am OCD with time management. So when people go over their time, it interferes with everybody else's time. So please be respectful at meetings. Thank you very much.

Anyway, my name is Ms. Shantay High.

I'm the president of Park Morton Resident Council

and I'm here to speak on the interim controls that

are currently happening at our site right now.

I have gotten a slew of phone calls and emails from my residents complaining about being — their lives being disrupted by this whole process. If DCHA had followed my Park Morton Equity Plan, we would not be moving families into hotels. We would be moving them into viable safe housing right now.

Now I can tell you right now I've been there 18 years and y'all can see my condition. I was recently in a very bad motor scooter accident that has left me a little debilitated right now. And I'm in no position to pack up 18 years' worth of life and move out for a week to come back to unpack, to repack again when it's time for this

1.3

2.1

redevelopment to happen.

2.1

Now we've had complaints of damage to property that is being addressed by Mr. Williams. I had a meeting with him on Monday. We had loss of food for one family that is being taken care of as well. But I'm going tell y'all right now we got residents right now that don't want to go through this because they've already been through abatement once before. And we don't want to have to uproot our lives and go and stay in a rinky-dink hotel to think it's a vacation on DCHA.

Vacation means going to Great -- what is that,

Great Wolf Lodge, going to the beach or something

like that. Being penned u in a hotel for a week

just to come back to a rinky-dink little fruit

basket is not my idea of how things should have been

handled.

Y'all took -- I really -- and I said this on Twitter, Facebook and I'm saying it now. I felt like y'all took advantage of the fact that I was down and couldn't argue with y'all about this situation because I was hospitalized. Even when

I was hospitalized I was getting emails, text messages and everything from my residents keeping me informed about what was going on. And even from my hospital bed I was advocating for them. I'm not going to stop now.

So I'm here to tell you whatever waiver y'all need to have in place for residents to sign because they do not want to leave their units, you need to make that come to fruition real fast, because I'm one of the ones who y'all are really disrupting my building.

Y'all are going to interrupt the free lunch program that we have every summer feeding the kids. On top of that y'all doing this right in the time that our block party is about to come up. So it's not just little things that y'all are interfering with. You have really disrupted families' lives. Folks have -- are just completely frustrated by this whole process.

And DCHA has assured me, with the meeting that I had with Mr. Williams, that our communications lines are going to be reset, so I

2.1

expect to be in the know all the time. I don't want to be blindsided and get angry about what has been done that I didn't know about. And then granted, like I said, I was down for the count for a minute, but your girl is back, wheelchair and all, walker and all. And I'm coming back more vicious than I was when I left here, so y'all better be on top of your game. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Any questions?

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: A quick question. I had mentioned this property earlier and heard from the Executive Director that one of the big considerations for not emptying and clearing the site was that the residents' voice -- I think I heard an opinion that they wanted to stay. Is that the case?

MS. HIGH: There are a lot of residents that do not want to pack up and move and leave home simply because now the residents who are returning home have found damage to their units, you know, and they -- people talk. The telephone game happens at Park Morton. People call each other,

2.1

1	tell each other what happened. And now that others
2	have found out that food has been lost, items have
3	been stolen, damage to their property has happened,
4	they definitely don't want to move.
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. Based on
6	the conditions of the assets and the requirement
7	that we're under which is to remediate and clean
8	and get rid of the environmental hazards, what
9	would be the suggested I know you presented this
10	other plan, but
11	MS. HIGH: Oh, yes.
12	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: would what
13	we're doing right now is that does that seem
14	efficient?
15	MS. HIGH: It's not, because half of
16	us are going through this now a second time
17	including my apartment.
18	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right. So now
19	we've learned that it's not really working. And
20	so do you believe
21	MS. HIGH: Rethink your strategy.
22	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: the residents

1	still think that this is what we should do or
2	maybe
3	MS. HIGH: No, they didn't agree to
4	this the first time.
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What was the
6	what would they want what did they want the first
7	time?
8	MS. HIGH: They want some of them,
9	like I said before, they want their vouchers so they
10	can leave
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right.
12	MS. HIGH: D.C. altogether. Some
13	were counting on Bruce-Monroe, but that's still in
14	litigation. We're still waiting on a decision
15	about that. But I have in turn been working with
16	a realtor company that has found viable housing,
17	including IZ units that go by your income.
18	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right.
19	MS. HIGH: And we could have been
20	moving families there instead of hotel rooms. And
21	I agree with something that you said earlier today,
22	but I can't remember right this second. But I

Τ	agree
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Just one thing?
3	MS. HIGH: that no, it's several
4	things, but I'm telling y'all right now this whole
5	situation, this whole instance is jacked up and a
6	lot of the families are not on board with this and
7	they could have like I said, if they had followed
8	my plan we found the rent. We could have got
9	people registered and squared away with the Renn.
10	So by the end of this year, first of next year they
11	could have been going. It's not our fault that
12	DCHA has neglected the properties this long that
13	y'all have to put us in an inconvenient you know,
14	in a imposition to cover y'all's behinds. That's
15	not our fault.
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I think
17	yes
18	MS. HIGH: And besides, living two
19	decades there with lead, I probably already got the
20	damage that's done.
21	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right.
22	MS. HIGH: And there's no reversing

1	that. So what you're doing now is null and void.
2	It's going to be torn down anyway, so why would you
3	use that money to intra-control things when it's
4	going to be torn anyway? You could have been using
5	that money to move families into RK Sunshine
6	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Talked to
7	the
8	MS. HIGH: the Renn and several
9	other buildings that I have lined up for my
10	residents.
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Have you asked
12	New Communities Initiatives what their position is
13	on this and why they've put money into doing what
14	you just talked about?
15	MS. HIGH: Of course I have.
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What did they
17	say?
18	MS. HIGH: Angie Rogers never gives me
19	an answer. Ears shoulders go to the ears,
20	uh-huh, and it's, oh, okay that's the answer I
21	get every time. And I'm not taking that from
22	anybody

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: All right.

MS. HIGH: -- else anymore.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'm just saying we're one of a couple players in this I would call an outlining deal, which is New Communities Initiatives. And so unfortunately, I'll probably get in trouble for saying this, but we do not have ultimate control of that property.

MS. HIGH: Yes.

needs to be a collective decision from all the decision makers on this and I think that we need to put a pause and think about what we've learned to date on this property and make sure that we're not just plowing forward based on some promise that was made a decade ago about building in place. Nobody even knew what that meant. Now we know what it means and we know the cost and we know the impact and ramifications coupled with what we have to do with the rest of our portfolio. Maybe it's time to think about this in the moment we're in right now.

2.1

MS. HIGH: I agree.

COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: I just had a follow-up question. I know a couple months ago Ms. High brought in the plan that the residents had created for Park Morton and we talked about exploring the feasibility of that. Have we done that since we last saw --

MR. GARRETT: We looked at it, but it required -- it did not work for us. One component of it required us to attempt to master -- well, one component attempted to master lease the building that was already in service, which was possible, but we did not have the vouchers to do that.

The other component basically resembled -- in the equity plan was ownership. And that was something that through your FSS Program usually takes about five years for residents to go through that particular program. So there were some pieces to the puzzle. Noble as it was, didn't -- wasn't anything that we could necessarily implement effectively right away. But we did review it and we did look at it. We didn't --

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I don't want to extend this game, but Bruce-Monroe has a lot of vouchers attached to it. Why could we not have repositioned those to deal with the void of vouchers you just talked about? It's just -- I mean, the problem is we've -- it goes back to this Oldco/Newco thing I'm talking about, which is we have all these assets that are tied and quarantined to specific projects and basically off limits to solve problems as they come along. Right. MS. HIGH: COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That's a great example of a problem that may have been able to be solved had we not been convinced to allocate these vouchers to specific projects. MS. HIGH: And you all abatement the lead. What about the asbestos in the floor tiles? What about the mold? What about the mildew? I mean, people have -- on my property have quit smoking cigarettes and we still have problems.

issue when you could have took that money and

So y'all only just dealing with one

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

allocated it to the residents to allow them -- even if you didn't go forward with the Renn, RK Sunshine and several other buildings that are marketable buildings that you could have given residents a voucher to go and live in right now instead of pushing them into a hotel, allowing them to stay there for a week, letting them come on and be more distressed about the fact that now their belongings have been damaged, something has been stolen or -one mother lost all of her food, a mother of six One of the contractors unplugged her children. refrigerator and she lost all her food. All of that stuff is apparently being dealt with at this time, but it shouldn't have happened in the first place.

of the Board, I would request that we be provided an update on the progress at Park Morton and where we were, where we are, and where we think this is going in terms of completion based on the strategy currently being implemented.

MS. HINES: Also, excuse me, and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

timeline said that you all would be done by July;
they all done pushed that all the way into August.
So more than inconvenience, so how are families
supposed to get their children re-ready for a
return back to school, school shopping, all of that
stuff, and they have to some of them are already
commuting an hour just to get back to our side of
town to handle personal business, because they've
been placed all the way out there on New York Avenue
at the Hampton, or they've been placed all the way
downtown over here by 1133, somewhere over here,
this Hilton Garden Inn. They have to get on the
bus and commute back up town; that takes anywhere
from 45 minutes to an hour and a half some days,
so like I said it is a big inconvenience. And I
can tell you right now, Building 602, Morton
Street, they have banned together and said you will
not push them out of their building. They're not
moving, so you might as well get your waivers ready.
And I already got attorneys on my side ready to take
a look at that and add anything which needs to go
in there. Because you all are just not going to

do that to us, you're just not going to do it.

MR. GARRETT: Thank you, Ms. Hines.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Ms. Hines, I'll take into consideration exactly what you said, especially being that you went through your strategic plan with me and all the hard work that you really did do by actually going to these apartment buildings yourself. And I really do think that when a resident goes that far, that we should take into consideration. in But all fairness also to the Housing Authority and to Director Garrett, I think it's a lesson learned. Every time you come up here and do anything, it's a lesson learned. So this is what the lesson learned is, so put this on the records. The Authority mistake that the Housing attempting to satisfy our customers with new communities, SO let Barry Farm, Richardson Dwellings, they may not have the opportunity that your residents have by going into a hotel. really do think that maybe if you, once you get a

little better, because you already told me that you
were going to start up with the telephone
conference calls, and an accident occur. And so
we had that conversation. So I think as soon as
you could do better, and Ms. Hines of Barry Farms,
she's sitting in the back, you can really get her
phone number because she would be very, very good
oh, she's not here she would be great she
is here raise your hand. Rhonda Hines can play
a very vital part with you because she, to my
knowledge, is the only president with new
communities that have her history and her paperwork
from Day 1. And that was when I say Day 1, I
mean Day 1. So Rhonda would be good for you all
to start you all could do the free conference
call and share information with each other and we
can directly we will look at that and use it as
a tool. A right can always be turned to something
positive, at best.

20 COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: A right can always be turned to something positive, at best.

1	MS. BELT: Good afternoon, everybody.
2	I'm Detrice Belt; I'm the chair of the Barry Farm
3	Tenants and Allies Association. I just wanted to
4	know from Mr. Garret, is everybody off the property
5	now at Barry Farms? I was hearing that from one
6	lady and with Ms. Hines. When is everybody going
7	to leave?
8	PARTICIPANT: Okay, so we have a plan,
9	the residents that we have ready to share with the
LO	board and we would like to meet whenever, and we
L1	can talk about it when we have we can even leave
L2	things after the meeting if so. That's all I have
L3	for today.
L 4	MR. GARRETT: Okay, thank you. Please
L5	do. Do you want to leave it directly with me?
L6	PARTICIPANT: Okay.
L7	MR. GARRETT: Shanita's right there.
L8	She will raise her hand, you can give it to her and
L9	I'll grab it right after the meeting. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Ms. Frazier?
21	MS. FRAZIER: Hello, again.
22	PARTICIPANT: Push the button.

PARTICIPANT: You love the mic. 1 Push the button. PARTICIPANT: 2 3 MS. FRAZIER: Yeah, I do. Two 4 concerns; many concerns, but the ones I will speak 5 about today are, number one, at the property, 6 Arthur Capper/Carrollsburg/Capital Corners which 7 is a HOPE IV program, we are currently experiencing some challenges which we have raised with this 8 Housing Authority as long as HOPE VI's have been 9 in existence in the city, and that is the division 10 11 between home owners and renters. 12 It's not racial; it's socioeconomic, and it is a function of perceptions. We have had 13 14 instances where, for example, when the community center was being built, home owners found out about 15 it and started an email chain talking about how 16 17 residents would be having a crack house, how we'd be selling meth, how there'd be prostitutes on the 18 19 street. 20 Segue to this year and the last year, 21 22 there was one incident where someone was running

through the neighborhood and there were emails from
homeowners, "Oh, that's them poor that's those
low income people. Oh, they need to be trained.
Oh, they need to be moved. Oh, we should move out,
anything that happens in the neighborhood is those
low income, scuzzy, expletive, expletive,
expletive residents." The Arthur
Capper/Carrollsburg community, committee or group
has met for the last five years every month to try
to have meetings and information and exchanges to
get together. Bottom line, settled. We reach out
to this Housing Authority to Mary Grace from OTC,
the Public Affairs Department, Cheryl Robinson in
the department she's in, and also Carolyn Padre in
different areas looking for a plan, an idea, a
strategy on how to bring us together. That might
be undoing racism, that might be the new issue, the
new catch word "racial justice," working and asking
all of these players to February if there was a
plan, if there was a strategy, did they have
workshops, did they have any experience in bridging
these gaps or any types of trainings that we could

attach, for example, to the regular PSA meetings, because everybody comes out of those PSA meetings. February, March, April, yes we have a plan. we've been meeting, there's a draft plan. last two months we have been asking all of these plans, is there a draft plan, where is the draft, can we see the draft plan. Answer, no. Just letting you all know that it's no response. another example of how our Housing Authority does not want to partner with residents, does not support residents who reach out in trying to improve their communities and many of the issues that you talked about today. But you are reticent, reluctant, I don't know, to participate with There isn't a draft plan, but tell me that there is.

So just bringing that to your attention because as we always do we have Plans 2, 3 and 4 for bringing communities together. The group is low capacity and tired, but we will continue that because our goal is to make this a community so that we all get to know each other and we eliminate these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And you need to know if you don't divisions. already that new community's initiatives, some of the remaining Hope VI centers will continue to have different this problem of perceptions socio-economic classes. And clashes in the department, years ago the folks at Henson Ridge almost came to blows because homeowners were running around telling renters that we're going to put you off the property. And renters did not respond well. So this issue you can address or not, we'll do it online, on the property, we'll do it on the ground. We would love to have some partners, but that's okay too because there are other players in the game who have different plans. Secondly, is there an Ethics Committee on this board?

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: No.

MS. FRAZIER: In view of recent events, perhaps this should be one. It has come to everyone's attention that the Executive Director is implicated in a sexual discrimination scandal related to his last -- related to one of his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

positions at a New Jersey Housing Authority where his deputy was accused of these behaviors and Director Garrett did not step to it.

I submit that public housing residents, Choice Voucher residents must Housing do community background check, and credit check and all of these things just to have housing. But this Authority, this board, this community wasn't clear enough to vet, or did you vet the ethics in the background of this directive? If you didn't, shame on you, fallout is coming. If you did, fallout is still coming. The trust, how small it was that residents have with this Authority, because what the director does, paints a bad brush for every single one of you. And your boots on your ground and every other thing you're doing in repairs and everything, it flies in the face of my trust, it's differential because if I have to go through a background check and look at my ethics, why shouldn't the director? This is troubling and very insulting, and if there was one, maybe you all should respond to this thing that's on in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

meeting about this implication.

2.1

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: We discussed this last time, someone brought this up. And correct me if I'm wrong, Executive Director, this issue came up after he came on board here, so it wouldn't have come up in any sort of background check, which I'm sure there was a thorough one done. And maybe, Ken, you can come up, Mr. Slaughter and sort of talk about where we are in this process. I think we've gone down the road of why it didn't come up before, was because it wasn't an issue at the time.

MR. SLAUGHTER: I'm Kenneth Slaughter,
General Council, to respond to Ms. Frazier about
ethical structure that this board operates under,
and this board is under the scrutiny of the HUD
ethical officers, Board of Government Ethics, big
scrutiny. The statute creates a structural
assigning the General Council as the ethics officer
of the Authority, and that includes ethical advice
to the board. With regard to our Executive
Director, there is obviously a vetting before he's

1	hired and there's been an inquiry and monitoring
2	of any litigation that might impact his performance
3	here, and our board has been fully advised on any
4	implications. So, with all due respect to my
5	life-long friend, I thank that most of those issues
6	are handled by structures in our investigation.
7	MS. FRAZIER: So say some more, Mr.
8	Slaughter, about what that looks like. If it's
9	been handled, he was vetted, where does it stand
10	now and how do we how do you plan to address
11	their, the public's lack of trust? How do you plan
12	to get its trust back again?
13	MR. SLAUGHTER: As you know, Ms.
14	Frazier, everybody can be sued about anything at
15	anytime, and my Executive Director has not been
16	found to be liable for any of the accusations that
17	may be outstanding in New Jersey, and nothing in
18	his ethical behavior independent of the board, as
19	far as I know, has impacted his performance here
20	on behalf of our residents and participants.
21	MR. GARRETT: And in fact, Ms. Frazier,
22	I've taken a strong stand in reference to sexual

harassment here at this agency. And that's something that I will not tolerate, nor will I stand for. And that's just my position on it. Any allegation made against me that I turn a blind eye is false and inaccurate, but people have the opportunity really to say whatever they will. But that's not my character and never will be.

MS. FRAZIER: Interesting response. I think -- oh, last thing; can we have a plan? promised us a year and a half ago a plan, a plan for how the Housing Authority was going to move forward with the units. You presented us with some deadlines and dates for environmental abatement and some deadlines and dates for other source of The community is really concerned about things. demo, dispo, and repositioning. And so please distribute and get a final and please present us with a plan that you want for the whole entire Housing Authority. And also, we're looking forward to your continued meetings with the public on different properties with demo/dispo and we expect to see those either in present -- either as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

flyers around the Authority or online.

MR. GARRETT: The information is going to go out. We'll probably start that process in about two weeks or so, if not before. But everyone will be made aware via website, via text, whatever process need to utilize so that people clearly understand that we're going to be talking about our repositioning. And Ms. Frazier, we did push the date back because we needed to do the community engagement, and that's something that the board really wanted us to dive down into. We brought on an entity to help us with that plan.

MS. FRAZIER: What date? You said you pushed the date back.

Push the date back for 1 MR. GARRETT: actually presenting a repositioning plan, a formal 2 3 plan to the board. 4 MS. FRAZIER: Okay. MR. GARRETT: We're asking for them to 5 6 make any final decisions. We've pushed that back 7 so we can get out to the community and discuss what it actually means, and what it might look like, and 8 9 what the actual impacts will be. 10 MS. FRAZIER: And last thing, around 11 the issue that I first mentioned about all these 12 department heads who are going to work with us on 13 a plan to address the socio-economic differences, would you -- although we're moving forward with 14 other things -- would you please see what's 15 happening with that? Is there a plan? 16 17 got it? Can we see it? MR. GARRETT: There's also another 18 19 piece of the puzzle; Urban Institute is an 20 organization that everyone is aware of in the 21 District and has worked with the Housing Authority

What I actually did over the last few

before.

weeks is ask Urban to come in and assist us to give I think Ken, Mr. Slaughter was on us an outline. a conference call, along with Larry Williams in reference to me wanting Urban Institute to assist us with creating the actual outline. Now, if my team has an outline that they want to present to me, I'm up for it, I'm ready to review it. did go to Urban Institute and ask for assistance, because I wasn't looking at what you were with the Hope VI site; I was looking at the Sedgwick issue that was going around and what's actually happening at all of our mixed finance sites and around the District. So I was coming from a different angle asked and engaged Urban Institute for and I assistance.

MS. FRAZIER: At any point in time feel free to include residents who will actually be impacted by your lofty decision.

MR. GARRETT: No, we weren't making any decisions; we were asking Urban to help us create the outline for how we would move forward with the interaction with residents on both sides, so that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	it's not excluding anyone; it was going to
2	the outline was going to include everyone, but I
3	wanted Urban to assist us with that.
4	MS. FRAZIER: Okay, and that will
5	include residents at some point?
6	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
7	MS. FRAZIER: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Jeanette
9	Curtis?
10	Angela Adams?
11	MS. STAUDENMAIER: Good afternoon,
12	Commissioners.
13	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Good
14	afternoon.
15	MS. STAUDENMAIER: My name's Anne
16	Staudenmaier. Sorry, I'm losing my voice. I'm an
17	attorney at Washington Legal Clinic for the
18	Homeless. I going to speak on behalf of my client,
19	Angela Adams, who waited here for two and a half
20	hours and unfortunately had to leave for a doctor's
21	appointment. I will quickly tell you that we are
22	here because of a Housing Choice Voucher issue,

not a public housing issue that we've spent most of the afternoon on. Ms. Adams has a Housing Choice Voucher, had a Housing Choice Voucher, she's a long-time voucher holder.

That was taken away from her when it allegedly expired because of the 180-day requirement even though she's in the midst of the process and in fact had gone to the Housing Choice Voucher office. They were processing her for inspection and then they said no your voucher is She requested a hearing on that, she expired. requested a file. It took more than three months to get her file, a not unusual amount of time in my experience. Getting files is not an easy task.

And she just had a hearing. So we're in the middle of an appeal process. The reason I am testifying about this is because there has been a change in policy in the last year or two that does not allow extensions of vouchers for any reason other than disability. Ms. Adams is a university law student and yet she has been homeless for about a year now, even though she was a voucher holder,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

because her voucher expired when she was in the middle of the lease-up process.

In the old days you could get an extension for good cause, and for some reason that policy has changed in the last couple of years. I'm here to tell you that that policy is harming many, many citizens with vouchers. Because as everybody here is probably aware, DC has one of the tightest and most expensive real estate markets in the country. It's virtually impossible for any of my clients, particularly any voucher holder, to find a unit within six months.

So what we're asking, and what my colleagues will do is send a letter on this, is that the Housing Authority go back to allowing good causa reasons for extensions of vouchers. In Ms. Adams's days there was clearly a mistake made because she was in the middle of the lease-up process which should have told that at that time that's being dealt with the legal process. But I want you all to know that this is someone who graduated from UDC, is in law school, and yet she

1	is homeless because of a policy that the Housing
2	Authority implemented recently.
3	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, I just
4	want to be clear thank you very much, ma'am
5	I just want to be clear, Commissioners, we haven't
6	changed the policy since my tenure. I think that
7	was actually reported in the newspaper at one time,
8	that we have changed some policies. We have not.
9	We have not changed any policies with regards to
10	it, so.
11	MS. STAUDENMAIER: I can tell you,
12	because I've dealt with Housing Authority for many,
13	many years that
14	MR. GARRETT: I'll have someone from
15	OGC come up and explain that.
16	MS. STAUDENMAIER: That would be
17	helpful, because I can tell you that it's
18	definitely changed. We used to be able to get
19	extensions for good cause and we can no longer get
20	those.
21	MR. GARRETT: Okay, but there's been no
22	policy change.

interesting, because a couple years ago I reached out to Ron McCoy and we had a long conversation when Ms. Todman was still here about this very issue, because I was getting a lot of folks from the organization reaching out to me saying that the people's vouchers expired and I thought to myself that seemed strange. And I come to learn that the Housing Authority at that time did not reach out to folks when they hadn't put their voucher into use over a certain period of time, which I found also odd.

were going to start a more aggressive outreach program to folks so that their vouchers did not expire. And if we were to do that, Executive Director, then we would know that someone was in lease-up or we would know that these situations were arising that someone wasn't just not actively pursuing an apartment, and we could avoid a lot of these circumstances.

I think that while there may not have

2.1

been a rule change, technically, it sounds like to me we are enforcing a rule that we otherwise did not. I think it's a technical difference that we're looking at right now. And so I think since the goal is for us to get people housed, it would make little to no sense to start over with somebody else, irrespective of the obligation we have to get people housed and to take away their voucher after six months of time.

It would, from a business perspective, make a little sense to then give it someone else to spend six months trying to find something. So in this instance it'd be nice if you could just resolve this issue very quickly. But generally-speaking it's very clear that we need to look at how we're running this program and how we're assisting people to find units and how we're interacting with them. So I hope that we can do that now.

MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir. And we also have a calendar coming up, but we also, commissioners will be prepared before our next

meeting to give you an actual report of how many vouchers actually fall in this particular category out of the over 16,000 that we actually administer, so.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I get that. I mean, it's always a small number, but one is enough, okay? It's one person's life and it doesn't need to happen.

Absolutely. And we are MS. PUNTER: in the business of housing people. And so what we're implementing, we are actually going to do robocalls, text messages, emails monthly just We have mobility counselors here who are prepared to assist and also that your time, you have this voucher, we're going to get you housed within that six-month period. So we are ramping up everything that we're doing, but we will be sending out ticklers every 30 days as it relates to the voucher. We do not want folks to be in any situation that's dire; we want to keep you housed. So I just wanted to make sure you knew that.

MS. STAUDENMAIER: If I could just say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	that's great, if that happens. However, you can
2	send a tickler every day, but if somebody can't find
3	a landlord willing to rent to them when the market
4	is saturated with vouchers, within that six months
5	there should be an amendment.
6	MS. PUNTER: I understand that. So
7	we're teaming up with other agencies to assist us
8	when it comes to any discriminatory impacts that
9	may be thrusted upon folks out there looking, and
10	that's what our mobility counselors are here for.
11	We are engaging with landlords to make sure that
12	we have units that we can actually refer folks to.
13	We've been doing it and we've been quite
14	successfully.
15	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: You all need
16	to
17	MS. STAUDENMAIER: Yes, we're going to
18	talk afterwards.
19	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Thank you for
20	your testimony.
21	MS. STAUDENMAIER: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Collette

Matthews?

2 MS. MATTHEWS: Hi, everybody. How you

3 | all doing?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PARTICIPANT: All right. Hello.

MATTHEWS: I've got a headache It's been kind of stressful sitting in here. trying to come to these meetings and stuff, on me lately. But it's like right now I feel as though I need a class; I need to quite understand the difference or the pros and cons between a person who holds a voucher and a person who's in public housing. I heard Ms. Punter and she's good at her job, I admire Ms. Punter. I heard her speak of the boots on the ground. I want to know why the boots on the ground get roses and get these passes to go get dinner and all this type of stuff because I, I feel as though I'm a victim of the redevelopment of Barry Farms. I just want to know. We had an issue after being here so long talking about the bad situation, and thank God when I came in there because I had kids and I realized that that paint was so easy to come off, that I had the whole unit

semi-gloss. So I was told that it was because of those measures that I took probably alleviated the high numbers, if it was any, in my unit because of the lead situation or whatever the case may be. But even after moving, before I even moved into my unit that I just recently moved into, they tried to offer me a voucher -- not a voucher, a gift card to remove myself for several hours of the day so they can come and do some dusting, which they should have dusted before I got in there. Because even though I was moving in there in October, it was already understood that I had been there 21 years and was not going to be able to have 14 days for me to move out and they agreed to me taking my time.

But in the middle of all that as well, my lights got cut off over in Barry Farms, so when I came back to clean the unit out, I was unable to do so because nobody seem to know who cut the lights out. The power went, I had food in there that if they hadn't had done it, my food would have went bad. I never spoke with these people, when I sit in these rooms and I listen to everything I'm

2.1

hearing, it takes me back, and it takes me back to a very disturbing place. And even though people may think that I'm comfortable where I am, even that two-bedroom unit is smaller than what I actually had at Barry Farms. So I had to downsize from a -- I went from a two-bedroom to a four-bedroom to downsize back to a two-bedroom that's smaller than the two-bedroom that was in Barry Farms.

And so things have changed, and nothing is constant but change, and I'm learning how to adjust, but this adjustment process is very hard, it's also very stressful. At the same time I have stuff in my unit that I'm still trying to figure out where it's going to go. I have some stuff over here in the used shed, storage area where I don't know if half of my stuff is broke or damaged or not.

Some things that made its way through my house that were broke, I brought it to their attention that it's broke. I thought they were going to send someone for my lights -- I actually got my mother and my grandmother, these lights are like what I had gotten from them. I actually

2.1

thought that they were going to take the lamps and take them to a reputable place like where you would go to fix lamps. That's not the case. Once they brought the stuff back to my house, which I wasn't there, my son was there, which my son he could probably care less. It's just like when the maintenance people come and I ask them to do work, he'll let them come in and if they say the work is done, he'll accept it for what it is.

I come through there, it's not and I'm going to call for her. So this is how the lamp situation went, you understand what I'm saying?

Then I also said there's some things that I'm missing. I'm being told you might as well say that I took it and that I want the housing authority to replace it.

So, I'm just saying that everything is just so wishy-washy. And then I'm hearing you all talk about expiration dates, there ought to be some type of expiration, because you have so many people that was in Barry Farms that was really going through I don't know what -- I don't want to say

the words -- but this voucher thing, because it's a time limit, so time limit's meaning expiration.

And we all know how things are going nowadays, and it is easy for somebody to tell you no, maybe not for the true reason, but that reason looks like you don't get in to that time-adjusted spot. Then you're pushing people to make quick, hasty decisions and at the end of the day they got to deal with it, they got to live with this for ten years, or five years, or however long it takes.

You all should put them back where they need to be, so personally it doesn't make a difference whether or not I end up at Barry Farms or not.

just, I'm Washington, а D.C. I would just like to be able to afford resident. decent place to stay because safety everybody's concern. You know, you can't pick a really good neighborhood nowhere, it's like everything that's going on is nationwide. think that we need to change our thought process on how things are done because everybody thinks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that because it's been happening for so long, it's the right way it's supposed to be done. And there's no way to really think that when there's all these people coming here saying all these different things time and time and time again.

And you're making it seem like it's the best interest for us. I don't feel it, I don't see it. I'm trying to get along with it and work with it, but there's just so many different things that I have to accept, or I have to move due to what you all think is a good method or a good way of how you all have it planned for somebody to be relocated.

So, I mean -- and I heard this week there was a block party. We've got our residents all scattered. A lot of people have died. Since then we find out afterwards, it's like you all made the announcement. We never know about the residents that we considered our family, that we cared about, that we might have watched their kids, and all that. Nobody's really thinking of the feelings and the compassion and the stress that the individuals have to go through. So I had to take a loss on my food,

2.1

they had changed the locks, and nobody seems to know nothing.

But I'm saying as long as you DC Housing Authority, there's no way nobody should have been able to come on your grounds, cut your lights off, and you cannot tell a resident why their lights was cut off or why their locks was changed. And they tried to tell me that my locks was not changed. But my keys -- I know the time is up -- when I say there's not enough time in the day for all this going on, and then you got residents who wants to come here.

You all might need to consider because you can have a whole room of DC Housing Authority people in this room and you all can go through all the resolutions in the world that you all would like to go through. You got to be ever mindful because these residents cannot get in these rooms and speak, so maybe you all need to consider, at least once a month, having a meeting on a Saturday or something, or being considerate of the other residents who cannot make it into these rooms to be able to discuss it and have to have somebody come

1	in here and be their voices. Because I've been
2	coming here for some time and the changes that I'm
3	seeing is not all that good for everybody that's
4	been concerned, but even though you all think the
5	picture is good. I don't know which way you all
6	going to fix it, but I
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Collette?
8	MS. MATTHEWS: But that doesn't mean it
9	just has to constantly keep happening the way that
10	it happens.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Collette?
12	MS. MATTHEWS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: If your
14	group had your resident's phone numbers, in order
15	for you to keep up with residents and if the board
16	did do that, our next problem would be to
17	logistically think about it. So you will need
18	somebody from your group that notify all residents,
19	probably a month before so they can get Metro access
20	or whatever their public transportation is, or
21	maybe a solution could be direct.
22	You know, just like you all always have

your resident council meetings at the rec; maybe they could have what you're speaking of, because you know how to get to that point, because that will be how do you get all these people to the point. Then the next problem is I can't get there, don't have money, I do want to talk. So a lot of us have Metro access and all that, but they have to be notified ahead of time.

MS. MATTHEWS: We've talked about that, but see I'm not just talking about Barry Even those at James Creek, I have Farms. girlfriend there that's the same conversation. she was here right now, she'd say it. But because she's at a doctor's appointment and there's some other issues going on, she cannot do it. Far wise as our residents train on white, it's been nice enough to be able -- when I call them, being able to have our meetings in his office at the rec because they was taking us through some challenges, also, as far wise as getting the rec too. worked with me to be able to do that.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: What about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	what he see? Will they let you all use the space?
2	MS. MATTHEWS: First of all, let's
3	understand this; I'm not knocking nothing or trying
4	to nothing under the bus. But ONE DC is ONE DC
5	and Empower is Empower.
6	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm not
7	talking about Empowerment DC; right now we're
8	talking about Barry Farms, whatever your group is
9	that is not Empowerment DC, that's you all group.
LO	MS. MATTHEWS: Barry Farms Tenant and
L1	Allied Association.
L2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Right, so
L3	you that
L 4	MS. MATTHEWS: Them are the resident
L5	council of Barry Farms, but it's Barry Farm Tenants
L6	and Allied Association. Please and thank you.
L7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Barry
L8	Farms Tenants Allied Association. Okay, so this
L9	is what I'm saying; you're not coming at ONE DC in
20	that space because what I understand that space can
21	be used for
22	MS. MATTHEWS: Part of that, I know

1	that.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Isn't it?
3	So, that couldn't happen?
4	MS. MATTHEWS: I'm talking about you
5	see how you all are sitting up there; I'm talking
6	about with the presence of you all sitting right
7	here. We can book for the group anytime, I can use
8	ONE DC.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, then
10	what I'm saying is if you get all that together,
11	then we should be able
12	MS. MATTHEWS: Just residents in
13	itself, I'm talking about you all
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I
15	understand that, okay. And do understand this,
16	I'm looking for solutions.
17	MS. MATTHEWS: I am too.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And here's
19	the solution; remember there's 11 of us, so if all
20	11 of us can accommodate you, that's fine. What
21	I'm looking at is this; those are the resident
22	commissioners that would be willing to meet you and

1	accommodate you halfway, because only if you do
2	your part, you already got your residents, you
3	already know the date again, this should be our
4	part we're worried about the other properties
5	right now because see, James Creek, that's one of
6	my constituents, and the same person you're talking
7	about made the phone call with me. So A don't have
8	nothing to do with B. We focusing right now on
9	something that we maybe can work with.
10	MS. MATTHEWS: Mm-hmm.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So what you
12	think about that?
13	MS. MATTHEWS: That'll be fine.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, so
15	then the board itself, that will be up to the board.
16	And don't you think that's fair enough, that could
17	be on a Saturday or something?
18	MS. MATTHEWS: Yes, and please. Thank
19	you.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Then you
21	got to remember, now see here's the power; the power
22	is you have three residents on this board and if

1	they want your votes, what will they be doing being
2	when they tell us they'll be here, what time and
3	what date? Because it's your mouth that get us
4	elected, we're not appointed. That's your power,
5	use it.
6	MS. MATTHEWS: And I wanted an update
7	on Barry Farms.
8	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Thank you, Ms.
9	Matthews.
10	MR. GARRETT: At this time, Ms.
11	Matthews, we don't have an update for Barry. We
12	did go to Office of Planning to discuss what the
13	next steps would be, I think the Office of Planning
14	gave us approximately is Alex left I think
15	they gave us approximately 60 to 90 days to come
16	back to them.
17	MS. MATTHEWS: 60 to 90 days from when,
18	because you already have a blueprint for some time
19	now on your website if that's like in concrete,
20	which really is not. So it's up there for people
21	to see and think that it is what it is, it might

not be what they see. So now you are saying that

1	you all are going to meet again, I guess, because
2	I think I spoke to you about two months ago on the
3	phone, you and I, about and you said that you
4	would make certain that we know so you're saying
5	that 60 or 90 days after this conference or
6	whatever's going on right now, that you will be able
7	to meet with us?
8	MR. GARRETT: I'll be able to give you
9	an update. We met with Office of Planning today.
10	MS. MATTHEWS: Where will we have the
11	meeting? The meeting will be more important than
12	the update. The meetings you can get more detail;
13	updates you can just say, da da da da and move on.
14	When we have a meeting with you, how many days after
15	this next meeting that you'll get ready to go to?
16	MR. GARRETT: We'll coordinate the
17	meeting for the second week of August; how about
18	that?
19	MS. MATTHEWS: It sounds good. If it
20	happens, it'll be wonderful.
21	MR. GARRETT: Okay, Janita will put it
22	on the calendar.

1	MS. MATTHEWS: I appreciate it, thank
2	you.
3	MR. GARRETT: Okay, and whatever
4	information I have to offer to you.
5	MS. MATTHEWS: Thank you, appreciate
6	it.
7	MR. GARRETT: You're welcome.
8	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Rhonda
9	Edwards-Hines?
10	How you doing today?
11	MS. HINES: Good afternoon. First of
12	all, I want to say thank you to DCHA staff for
13	sending their condolences as my mom had passed last
14	month. I mean, April passed. And I wanted to give
15	some updates as I had met with the developers for
16	Barry Farms, and I need some clarity, and I set up
17	a meeting with you. So before I go in front of the
18	board, I'd rather speak with you first before I make
19	it on record.
20	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
21	
22	MS. HINES: And to give me an update of

what one of my residents has stated about the last
resident that moved out of Barry Farms property.
It was Ms. Smith and she moved on May the 25th, and
at this time being as though you all commissioners,
you know where she went and all that, so I don't
want to really get into that. And also, the
concerns of some of the residents, I've been
getting calls about the storage that POA offered
to the residents and they say for only a year, but
that was under the understanding that we were
coming back in 2020. Residents don't have the room
where we relocated from and we do understand that
when the relocation is available for us to come
back, that those units are not going to be the same
size. We do understand that, but they don't know
what they're going to look like and how much space
we going to have, so they don't want to lose their
items that they have. And I'm not saying that
they're hoarders; these are things that are
probably family, have some great value to them.
And that's a concern that maybe DCHA and POA can
collaborate together to work this out with the

storage, because some of the residents lost some of their items because they wasn't able to afford the storage. And our -- would I deal with Ms. Gina with that, because --?

MR. GARRETT: You could deal with Ms. Gina, but I'm going to contact her and we'll talk about it.

Okay, so then hopefully MS. HINES: when we meet, that you can have some update for me. Okay, and my initial plan was to come up here and to invite you all to Barry Farms Day, which is the second Saturday of August, which is August 10th. It's from 12:00 to 6:00. Just because I want everyone to understand, because I have spoken to staff here, understand -- and it was clarity that my understanding that just because Barry Farms are under relocation, we still are a functioning community, okay? So and they said that they was going to come to you, Mr. Garrett, and get clarity So I really need ORS to understand that on that. we are still functioning. I communicate with my residents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. HINES: And so we are, and I will love to see you guys there. And as far as the last meeting that I was here asking you guys, the commissioners, if you all would be willing to come to the ANC, my commissioner's meeting the first Wednesday of the month, but unfortunately my mom had got sick and she passed. So the open date if for us when we come back from recess in September, so if you could clear you all calendar for the first Wednesday of September, and I'll get with Mr. Garrett to see if that date is good. I'll lock you all in for that date and -- one more thing -- the buzzer threw me off -- oh, and yes, I had asked could someone assist me with the Barry Farms Newsletter because I know that I was told that I couldn't get an update of where the residents are to reach out to them because I don't have -- I have like 30 percent of the information on how someone -- I asked for someone to assist me with reaching out to them.

MR. GARRETT: We'll work with it.

I'll talk with ORS on expenses.

1	MS. HINES: Okay, and you will have
2	everything else?
3	MR. GARRETT: Yes, I'll work on
4	everything else and try to get all the information
5	before our meeting, okay?
6	MS. HINES: Okay. All right.
7	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Ms. Hines,
9	I've got a question; anytime you do any kind of
10	event or urbanization, whatever you want to do, see
11	I don't get your email, I will come.
12	MS. HINES: You just you new?
13	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I'm new, but
14	I would come.
15	MS. HINES: Because I do and I come up
16	here and I send the invitation out to the
17	commissioners.
18	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I gotcha.
19	MS. HINES: And this already down and
20	etched in stone that every August it's Barry Farms
21	Day and it's always before the babies go back to
22	school, but unfortunately others wanted to ride our

tail and wanted to book, and we've been having that problem for the last years.

COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Okay.

MS. HINES: So that's why we moved it up.

COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I gotcha, I understand.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I had one question, it's about Goodman; so I want to know whether or not the Barry Farm community and the Barry Farms Tenant Alliance, are you going to organize it? Well, I don't know who this go to. Well, we're going to start with our director because I support Goodman; Goodman been around a long time. And in the planning of Barry Farms, I know when you and Ms. Carille did it, you knew it included Whitman in the scheme because I've got showing that. paperwork But Director some Garrett, in the development of Barry Farms I highly recommend that with the developer you be the deputy ear, someone needs to re-evaluate so Goodman is still a part of where it's at, because Goodman --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	it's like Barry Farm and Goodman, Goodman was on
2	the news, so Goodman seems to be having a real
3	serious problem with the gentrification. And
4	Goodman needs to know, because I'm going to ask Ron
5	Moten and them to back that up because we need to
6	make a movement in this city just like D.C., need
7	Goodman where it's at or is still in that community.
8	MS. HINES: Okay. I don't
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I mean,
10	some people what can we do?
11	MS. HINES: I'm listening to you, but
12	that's over on DPR and that's the DPR's property,
13	so the Goodman is not on anywhere because that
14	basketball court is DPR property. The alley on the
15	left-hand side is federal, on the right-hand side
16	that's DCHA problem. So none of that will be
17	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: But I still
18	feel like we don't know because from what I heard
19	Mr. Goodman actually say, he just need to know that
20	we got his back with Parks and Recreation.
21	MS. HINES: That's a whole different
22	story.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That's a
2	whole different story.
3	MS. HINES: I mean, because the
4	turn-out don't come out like they used to, and what
5	is he doing for the residents, okay. So you put
6	this on record and I'm going to refire to your ass
7	when you ask your question.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I can only
9	go by
LO	MS. HINES: Exactly. Because if you
L1	not on the home front, you don't know what's going
L2	on. Just like they had on Miles Day, and Miles Day
L3	is for what?
L4	If that's the case, then they need to
L5	have a Rhonda Hines Day because I did more work than
L6	he did. Before her predecessor was, what's her
L7	name, help me out Bunker, Ms. Gladys Bunker was
L8	our president before. So that's how far I go back,
L9	because that was the resident council that I
20	initially was first on with Ms. Bunker. So that's
21	way back and, yes, I look that good. Praise you,

Jesus.

1 Did I answer what you needed? COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 2 Yes, you 3 answered the question. COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I wanted to 4 5 take a quick moment here, I wanted to bring this 6 up last month; and it's specific to this building, 7 1133 North Capital, I wanted to get an update last month on the building, but I didn't ask. Τ 8 requested a bunch of information between meetings 9 10 and I want to get on record a question, where we 11 stand with negotiations on 1133 North Capital? 12 have in my hand an article that was published November 12th, 2014 announcing the selection of a 13

development partner for this building.

MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, in terms of 1133, I am making every attempt to -- I'm borrowing the best and attempting to make the best deal in favor of the residents and the agency

that came out the other day, where do we stand on

that deal, and now what's the issue, what's the

To my understanding, there was an article

problem?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

We're now

1	itself. So it's taking a little more time than
2	what I actually had anticipated. But we've put
3	forth our best offer as to how we'd like to proceed
4	with this project, and we're waiting for a response
5	from the developer.
6	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Why would you
7	take what could possibly take five years?
8	MR. GARRETT: Well, I wasn't I can't
9	answer you.
LO	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What could
L1	possibly take the year and a half you've been here?
L2	MR. GARRETT: I've been going back and
L3	forth with the developer.
L 4	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, I guess at
L5	some point in time it's at some point in time
L6	you have to suggest that the parties are not going
L7	to come together. And why I get really concerned
L8	is that we have an enormous task in front of us.
L9	We have very complicated transactions that we have
20	to do, an entire portfolio that needs to be
21	repositioned. This is easy, okay? 1133 North

Capital is not a complicated transaction; it's a

straight-up real estate deal. If it takes us five years to figure this out, I'm really concerned about our ability to manage the processes in front of us, and there's got to be something else going on. I just don't understand why we can't make a transaction here. And what could possibly it be waiting for?

MR. GARRETT: So you mentioned five years Commissioner Slover, and that's this deal three years prior to me coming on board, it could have been closed.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right.

So now I have it and now MR. GARRETT: I have to negotiate the best deal that I possibly can on behalf of the Authority, and I'm going to There is nothing else going on other than That's the approach that I'm taking and that's where I'm going to close. As far as whether or not we have the ability to undertake other tasks, I believe that we do, we're building capacity and I think we're going in the right direction. As we've talked and I've mentioned to you,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Commissioners, there are several transactions and several deals that were prior to me getting on So I haven't had the ability to start a deal from beginning to end. I have a different approach as I've stated to dealing with transactions, especially development transactions. So this is one of those situations where I am trying to take 1133 in the best position for the Housing Authority, understanding what a valuable asset it actually is to us, and that's going to be done. So hopefully within the next few days or next few months. Well, I shouldn't say that -- that's too long of a period of time for me -- within the next 30 days we should be able to give you a final definition or a definitive answer of what's particular actually transpiring with this property.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And so last month, as you guys may remember, I wanted a change made to the resolution which is basically the last paragraph of these resolutions give blanket authority to the Executive Director to negotiate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

deals without actually bringing them back to the board. And so what I get concerned with is, I carry this file around when I call it the Hall of Shame; it's like Resolution 1808, Resolution -- what do I got here -- the 30 units we gave away in Arthur Capper, the 250 M Street. I mean, these are examples of deals that are terrible. And I get concerned because these deals end up coming back to us because we never get to look at them along the way. And when they come back to us, we have no choice but to move forward with these things. And so the reason I was so excited to have you come on board, Mr. Garrett, was because I thought you would bring a different look to these deals.

MR. GARRETT: And Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Let me finish. And what I'm concerned about is what possibly could change? And what I have, we don't even have an agreement with this developer; like there isn't a legally binding agreement that has us -- there's not reason for us to not just move on. What could possibly change?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	MR. GARRETT: So Commissioner Slover,
2	I offered my answer.
3	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What was the
4	answer, I'm sorry?
5	MR. GARRETT: The answer is I'm making
6	an attempt to negotiate the best deal with the
7	valuable asset that we have. That's what I'm
8	attempting to do.
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What's the major
LO	hold-up?
L1	MR. GARRETT: In showing that we
L2	receive the biggest benefit possible.
L3	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Where you are
L4	today, so where you need to get?
L5	MR. GARRETT: So this is where I'm
L6	going to stop because now we're going into the
L7	aspect of negotiation; that's something that we
L8	said that we would not do openly and publicly, so.
L9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So what I'm
20	concerned about, can you give me a list of all the
21	deals that are like this, which have five-year old
22	authority where we have an Executive Director who's

giving a mandate five years ago that basically gave him blanket authority to negotiate a deal?

MR. GARRETT: I wasn't given five years ago, I just -- I showed up on October 22nd.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Executive Director, I didn't say you. I said the Executive You weren't even given any of this Director. authority, the Executive Director prior to you was given authority. You don't have to come back to the board only because of a resolution, only because I pushed a while ago on Barry Farm do you have to bring this back to get disposition of land. That's the only thing you need approval from us; that is not us doing our job. This board is not functioning appropriately if in 2013 we issued a resolution that said, you know what, go out and do the best deal you can and just let us know how it That is not doing our job. And I've said that time and time and time again behind the scenes, I can't continue to not say it, I have to say it in public. I cannot continue to sit on this board and come here month after month after month and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	listen to the same stuff that's the definition of
2	insanity. Things have got to change, we have got
3	to get control of our destiny, and this right here
4	scares me. Like I said, this is not this is easy
5	compared to what we have to do, and if we can't get
6	this done, how are we possibly going to get
7	ourselves out of the crisis we're in. So what I'm
8	saying to you is I would hope that you're not going
9	to execute a deal without bringing it back to the
10	board. That's what I'm asking you.
11	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What does that
13	mean, okay?
14	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And did you
16	answer? Okay is not an answer.
17	MR. GARRETT: Well, you know what;
18	we've had this debate and you've talked to OGC about
19	what I'm required to do, correct?
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right, I'm
21	asking you are you going to take that five-year
22	authorization and run with it and not bring this

incredibly important deal back to this board who has fiduciary and moral obligation to garner all of our resources to solve this incredible problem we have in front of us? So what you're saying is okay?

MR. GARRETT: No, what I'm saying is we're going to bring something back to the board, okay. That's what we're going to do. Now, Commissioner Slover, this predicament, this issue that the Housing Authority is looking at right now, it didn't just pop up. So what we have done and what I can say that I've done is I've taken the bull by the horns and identify the issue and we're going to figure out the solution for it.

And again, it did not just surface today; it was here prior to my arrival. But I'm up for the challenge. Now, you may disagree with my approach, which is fine because we never all agree on everything, but I still have a moral and a fiduciary responsibility just as every member of this board to do the right thing on behalf of this agency.

2.1

1 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That bull by the horn comment is really hard not to jump on. 2 3 MR. GARRETT: That's fine. COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I mean, all I'm 4 5 saying is I just went through a couple of deals that 6 really are, did not end well for us, and it would 7 really be disappointing to see 1133 North Capital fall into that category. The board finally pushed 8 9 back on Resolution 1808 on Score 769, and what 10 happened, we ended up with public housing units 11 without any subsidy because we pushed back. 12 creative thinking here, we need people to be aggressive. This right here is your moment. 13 1133 14 is your moment. 15 Yeah, you've said that to MR. GARRETT: me clearly and you've expressed this moment and if 16 17 I don't take this moment, what it actually means. I totally get it, I've heard you loud and clear by 18 19 what you told me, so thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: We have one last one which is a non-resident, Ms. Bertina 2.1 22 Ruffin.

MS. RUFFIN: Good afternoon. 1 Good COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: 2 3 afternoon. Excuse my voice, 4 MS. RUFFIN: it's coming back. 5 I'm here as a representative of 6 Tenant Barrier's Work Group; it once was under the 7 We are not an independent body, but I am --ICH. it's my understanding that everyone on the board, 8 including DCHA staff received a letter last week 9 10 of our policy updates and concerns with the voucher extension policy that my colleague earlier spoke 11 12 about on behalf of her client who was here who had 13 to leave earlier. So I'm aware that everybody was 14 emailed a copy of that last week, everyone should 15 have that copy. And so I just want to briefly -- I'm not 16 17 going to read the entire letter, whole letter, but I will read a portion of it. Of course the policy 18 19 we're speaking of is the voucher extension policy being granted only for reasonable accommodations. 20

And of course Director Garrett had stated that the

policy had not been formally changed, but there's

2.1

clearly been some kind of change and implementation of the policy recently. So DCHA's draconian policy denying all requests for extensions, except those for reasonable accommodation, fails to consider the many reasons that a family may try its hardest, but still fail to find a suitable home within six months.

Families face many barriers when trying landlords place vouchers; often impose irrelevant and unreasonable credit score requirements on voucher holders or have a blanket policy against renting to voucher holders. Though, source of income discrimination is still illegal in the District, it still remains common and is difficult to enforce in a meaningful and Application fees and other fees timely manner. associated with applying for apartments are often prohibitively expensive. In our increasingly expensive city, units that fall within the HCPB payment standard are almost becoming scarcer and harder to find. Families with children are left to find suitable housing in a city with a dearth

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

ofunits with three ofmore bedrooms. Additionally, a history of domestic violence or interest in avoiding disruption in education of places further children often geographical limitations on voucher holder's housing services. And these are just some of the barriers a family may face while looking for safe and stable housing, and they're all reasons why DCHA should consider when deciding whether to grant a family's request for voucher extension.

While we're aware that DCHA employs a few housing navigators, our understanding is that they're primarily assisting with public housing residents relocating and that they're accessible to voucher holders in a way that would substantially reduce these barriers. DCHA's mission is to provide safe and affordable housing to low and no income District residents, but its refusal to grant voucher extensions has caused families to lose perhaps their only chance at affordable housing after waiting decades on a wait list. Our proposed solution is a fair way for DCHA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	to determine when to grant extensions and will
2	ensure that families trying to find suitable
3	housing are not penalized for circumstances
4	outside of their control. And at the conclusion
5	of the letter we requested a meeting to discuss the
6	policy in detail and to talk through our
7	recommendation; we do have a policy recommendation
8	that can be used to apply good cause to matters.
9	Of course, it's not in any HCVP
10	participant's best interest to let a voucher
11	expire; one they come off the list, they're pretty
12	much with 40,000 people on the list, they will never
13	get another opportunity to get another voucher.
14	So it's always in their best interest to use their
15	voucher and they want to use their vouchers. So
16	we would request a meeting on that.
17	I'm sorry if I didn't state at the
18	beginning, I'm Bertina Ruffin and I am an attorney
19	with Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless, but
20	I am representing Tenant Barriers at this time.
21	MR. GARRETT: Thank you. We can

coordinate something with OGC for you.

1	MS. RUFFIN: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Okay, it is now
3	4:14 p.m. and the Board of Commissioner's meeting
4	is scheduled next month for Wednesday, July 2019
5	at Fort Lincoln, 3400 Banner Drive, Northeast,
6	Washington DC.
7	PARTICIPANT: July 10th.
8	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: July 10th,
9	okay. This meeting is adjourned.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
11	went off the record at 4:15 p.m.)