THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

+ + + + +

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 13, 2019

+ + + + +

The Board of Commissioners met in the Boardroom, 1133 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, D.C., at 1:00 p.m., Kenneth Council, Vice Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

KENNETH COUNCIL, Vice Chairman
KEN GROSSINGER, Commissioner
BRIAN KENNER, Commissioner
NAKEISHA NEAL JONES, Commissioner
JOSE ORTIZ GAUD, Commissioner*
WILLIAM SLOVER, Commissioner
LEJUAN STRICKLAND, Commissioner*
FRANSELENE ST. JEAN, Commissioner
AQUARIUS VANN-GHASRI, Commissioner
ANTONIO TALIAFERRO, Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:

TYRONE GARRETT, Executive Director ALETHEA MCNAIR, Manager of Board Relations

COMMISSIONER(S) ABSENT:

NEIL ALBERT, Chairman

*Present via telephone

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Call to Order, Moment of Silence and Quorum 3
Approval of Minutes 6
Executive Director's Report9
Resolutions
Public Comment
Resolution 19-02
Resolution 19-03 40
Resolution 19-04 79
Resolution 19-05 99
Public Comment
Announcements
Adjournment

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1 2 1:15 p.m. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Kenneth Council. 4 I'm the Vice Chair of the Board of Commissioners. 5 6 I'm calling this meeting to order. It is now 1:15. 7 This is our regular meeting of the District of Columbia Housing Authority being held 8 9 today, February 13th, 2019 at D.C. Housing 10 Authority Headquarters, 1133 North Capitol, 11 Northeast. 12 Please silent all of your devices, 13 please and I would like to make a moment -- or have a moment of silence. 14 15 (Moment of silence.) VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you. 16 17 As you all know, the Board of Commissioners have a public decorum meeting. There will be no 18 19 outbursts, no cursing, no loud language. If you 20 do, we will ask you to leave. If you don't leave, we will ask our public safety to remove you. 2.1

Right now we'll call -- ask for a roll

1	call to determine that we have a quorum, please.
2	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
3	Grossinger?
4	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Here.
5	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Kenner?
6	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Here.
7	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
8	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Present.
9	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud?
10	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Here.
11	MS. McNAIR: Okay. Please let the
12	record reflect that Commissioner Ortiz Gaud is with
13	us via phone.
14	Commissioner Slover?
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Present.
16	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
17	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Present.
18	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Lejuan
19	Strickland?
20	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Present.
21	MS. McNAIR: Okay. Thank you.
22	Please let the record reflect Commissioner

1	Strickland is with us via phone as well.
2	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Taliaferro?
3	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Present.
4	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner
5	Vann-Ghasri?
6	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Present.
7	MS. McNAIR: Vice Chairman Council?
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Present.
9	MS. McNAIR: Chairman Albert?
10	(No response.)
11	MS. McNAIR: You have 10 Commissioners
12	present. You have a quorum.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
14	Today we will discuss some resolutions,
15	and we have two sign-in sheets. One is for to speak
16	on the resolution before we make a decision to vote
17	and the other one is your personal for residents
18	or non-residents to come and speak.
19	Non-residents, there is three minutes. Residents
20	have five.
21	Okay. We're going to start with Daniel
22	for Resolution 19-04.

1 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Mr. Chair, are we going to read the minutes and approve them 2 3 into the records, the Board Members? Do we have Board minutes to approve? I'm just asking a 4 5 question. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes, okay. 7 would like the Commissioners to consider the minutes of December 12th, 2018 and the 8 9 Board meeting of January 17th, the emergency Board 10 Member -- meeting. Are there any comments or 11 changes? 12 (No audible response.) 13 VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Do I hear a motion? 14 15 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I have a I'm reading something where there is a 16 17 December minutes, and on page 69 Petya is spelled incorrectly. It was spelled P-E-T-T-I. 18 It's 19 So would the records reflect spelled P-E-T-Y-A. 20 that correction to the minutes of December the 12th, 2018? That was one thing that needed to be 2.1

corrected.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Noted.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I move that
3	the minutes of December the 12th, 2018 is moved into
4	the record.
5	PARTICIPANT: Second.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Motion. All
7	in favor, say aye?
8	(Chorus of ayes.)
9	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ayes have it.
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Will we not
11	do that will you please do a roll call so the
12	minutes will reflect my name what Commissioners
13	voted yes; what Commissioner voted no?
14	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I don't think we
15	need to do a roll call for minutes.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Not for
17	minutes.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.
19	Well, sometimes I think so because even with the
20	roll call for the minute with Robin Rules of
21	Order
22	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Anybody vote no?

1	(No response.)
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: All right.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No, but
4	what I'm saying is being that they didn't do it like
5	standardly and if you're going to follow Robin
6	Rules of Order in the beginning, let's make sure
7	we follow it and not being and not be not in
8	compliance, for I have seen the star in the east.
9	So as I said, are you actually do I accept them
10	in? Yes, I do.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
12	Okay. We next have our director's
13	report.
14	MR. GARRETT: Okay. I'm going to ask
15	that Cheryl Robinson come to the front, please.
16	(Pause.)
17	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Robinson is going to
18	get us started with some employee recognition and
19	then I'll finish up with a quick summary of where
20	we are right now.
21	MS. ROBINSON: Good afternoon,
22	everyone.

ALL: Good afternoon.

MS. ROBINSON: I'll be recognizing our employee of the month for December 2018 and February -- I'm sorry, January 2019.

At DCHA we have a commitment to excellence. In my role as the Director of the Office of Customer Engagement I take pride in our core values that our executive director has set forth, which are integrity, responsibility and accountability.

For the past two months I have had the honor of recognizing two employees who have gone above and beyond in delivering outstanding service to our customers through the agency's monthly Employee Recognition and Awards Program.

In addition to the core values here at DCHA, the Office of Customer Engagement has a motto that says: We are obtaining customers through our service and retaining customers through their experiences. We are redefining how we serve our customers by offering exceptional customer experiences in addition to recognizing employees

for outstanding delivery. This recognition also serves as a peer-to-peer motivation for other employees here at the Housing Authority.

I'm pleased to recognize the employee for the month of December 2018. This staff person is a customer service representative in the Office of Customer Engagement. Recently an HCVP client presented a letter of commendation expressing her appreciation sincere help and for our professional, courteous and helpful service this staff person displayed to her while she was working to meet the deadline of completing all the required paperwork in order to receive her housing voucher.

client The shared that she was undergoing a great deal of stress to fast-approaching deadline and wasn't sure how to navigate through the HCVP process. This staff person worked with our client, providing her with exceptional service by contacting the HCVP specialist assigned to her case and they worked collectively as for days а team two completion. This client's sentiment: The staff

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and the other team players eased my stress and made 1 sure I had a happy Thanksqiving. Thanks again for 2 3 the extremely hard work. Please help me congratulate Ms. Tonia 4 Parker for employee of the month of December 2018. 5 6 (Applause.) Next up, January 2019 7 MS. ROBINSON: employee of the month. 8 9 This staff person is also a customer 10 service representative in the Office of Customer 11 This staff person went above and Engagement. 12 beyond the call of duty to assist one of DCHA's VASH 13 participants who contacted the call center in She explained that she had two children 14 distress. 15 and no heat for two days. This staff person immediately assured 16 17 the veteran that she would work diligently and 18 expeditiously to assist her. While placing the 19 on a brief hold, this staff veteran 20 contacted the manager in the HCVP's Inspection Division, explained the concern and connected the 21

call to the manager who provided helpful and

proficient attention to resolve her issue. 1 This staff person's remarkable level of 2 3 accountability and responsibility is reflective of the Office of Customer Engagement's 4 mission to work hard to obtain customers through 5 their service while retaining customers through 6 7 our experiences. congratulate 8 Please help Ms. me Valencia Gripper for a job well done. 9 10 (Applause.) 11 MR. GARRETT: Thank you, Cheryl. 12 Real quickly to the members of 13 public and to the Board of Commissioners, I just want to just discussion Resolution 19-01, which was 14 approved in January by the Board of Commissioners. 15 just want to point out that 16 Ι 17 discussing it further I just want to make it known and clear to everyone that no decisions have been 18 19 the repositioning of the made about Housing 20 Authority by any shape or -- any way, shape or form at this point in time. 2.1

What we are still doing is looking to

1	model a clear spreadsheet that we will be able to
2	present to not only the Board, but also the public
3	at large to discuss exactly what the next steps the
4	agency should actually take.
5	So I want to reiterate again that
6	nothing has been decided. There have been no
7	decisions made on our portfolio as it exists now.
8	And in addition, in going forward and
9	having those discussions further with the
10	stakeholders and the public, the advocacy
11	community will be included and we'll continue those
12	discussions and hopefully be able to supply and
13	also educate when necessary exactly how the Housing
14	Authority is going to proceed or why we need to
15	proceed in certain directions.
16	But again, I just wanted to reassure
17	everyone that there is no fait accompli that has
18	been created by Resolution 19-01 at this point.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: With that
20	being said, do the Commissioners have any
21	questions?
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes, I do.

When we're looking at 1133 and the relocation, I really feel -- I really recommend that you give the real history of 1133. And the real history of 1133; because remember, at one point this was a warehouse under Redevelopment Land Agency. The District of Columbia Housing Authority has never, ever owned anything. And it was the first time that this Housing Authority had the opportunity to own this building.

Many of you come here and see there's a enemy.

Well, it's not. Under Redevelopment Land Agency
when this building came about, it was -- came about
because of our leaders of the past who couldn't
read, couldn't write, but they could count. They
had common sense. And the degrees that we have in
2019 in comparance to the leaders in the past, I
can cry.

I wear this wreath today to honor them for Afro-American History Month. Our leaders of the past is the one that advocated for the District of Columbia Housing Authority to own this building,

2.1

as we own resident service. The only Housing
Authority in the United State of America that has
a resident service as we have today.

I don't know whether or not any of the Housing Authority own their building. You only got this opportunity because you're not a state, because you're a municipal corporation and you're in the District of Columbia. Now if you don't understand that, we will lose that as we're losing so many of our federal laws due to a lack of education, comprehension of leaders, and that is not our fault.

We are asking Tyrone Garrett as of today -- there will be a Commissioner's Learning Center, and that learning center is because of every Commissioner that set here before me and every Commissioner that will come here after so that the residents, not only the elect resident leaders, but residents who want to have the opportunity to sit where I sit or to be a president, a secretary, a vice president, a sergeant of arms, a recording secretary. You will have the skills

in 2019 to be able to sit in front of any hearing 1 and know the right terminology, the correct 2 3 vocabulary and you will know how to make a plan. Claudia and I will not tell you how to 4 We will only provide the tools. 5 record reflect. 6 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Commissioner? 7 (Applause.) 8 Commissioner VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: 9 Slover? 10 11 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I would like to 12 thank the Executive Director for reaffirming the intent and purpose of Resolution 19-01 and to 13 14 hopefully bring some people to ease that really it's an attempt to dig into the real work of trying 15 to reposition the portfolio, whatever that might 16 17 And so there will be opportunity and a lot of work ahead and we hope that everybody will chose 18 19 to participate in that process. And I want to 20 again thank you for reaffirming that nothing has been made, no decisions have been made on how to 21

Thank you.

move forward.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
2	Okay.
3	We're now going to have testimony on the
4	Resolution 19-01 by Daniel. I'm sorry, 19-04.
5	MR. DEL PIELAGO: If it pleases the
6	Board, I'd like to give my time to Shon High, who's
7	the president of the Park Morton Council.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay.
9	MR. DEL PIELAGO: And then I could go
10	after, if that's okay. Or
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Do you
12	want to do a joint
13	(Simultaneous speaking.)
14	MR. DEL PIELAGO: I would like to oh,
15	no, I want to give her her time. She's the
16	president. It is affecting her property.
17	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
18	so much for giving her that respect.
19	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Yes, ma'am.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Before she
21	starts am I to understand that nobody else wants
22	to testify on 19-02 or '3?

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: No, Debra
2	Frazier's going to do 04 and 05.
3	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. But
4	we're onto 04. I'm just wondering if anybody wants
5	to testify on 02 or 03.
6	PARTICIPANT: Yes, I'm raising my
7	hand. I have signed and I put that I was going to
8	give
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. I don't
LO	want
L1	PARTICIPANT: a summary.
L2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: All right. I
L3	just wanted I apologize if I
L 4	MS. HIGH: Good afternoon. Thank you,
L5	Commissioners for having me, and thank you, Daniel,
L6	for allotting me this time.
L7	I am Ms. Shonta' High, president of the
L8	Council at Park Morton. It is with great
L9	frustration and indignation that I am here to
20	testify with regard to the DCHA Board of
21	Commissioners' Resolution 19-04 to authorize a
22	Memorandum of Understanding with the Office of the

Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development to provide funding for Park Morton interim controls.

I am asking the Board to postpone the consideration of Resolution 19-04 until the Commissioners March meeting for the following reasons: DCHA has not given the Council at Park Morton nor the residents ample time to consider the consequences of Resolution 19-04 to our health and safety. This is contrary to the commitment to keep us well informed.

We have concerns given Resolution 19-04 seems to conflict with the urgency of Emergency Resolution 19-01 passed by this Board on January 17th, 2019. This resolution would subject Park Morton residents to interim controls with no explanation as to the potential risk to safety and health of this approach.

We are further concerned with the logic of spending \$.5 million on interim controls for the next four to six years to maintain the buildings which DCHA plans to demolish. This without fully

2.1

exploring other alternatives as suggested in Resolution 19-01. This seems to be a waste of taxpayer money. We may live in public housing, however, we are still taxpayers.

This is the third major shift with regards to the phased demolition and disposition of Park Morton since the summer of 2018 by this None have occurred with prior consultation Board. with the Council at Park Morton as envisioned in the DCHA Resolution 0433. Example: Board Resolution 1832 amended Resolution 1735 authorizing the submission of applications to HUD for the phased demolition or disposition of Park Morton, further eroding the confidence of Park Morton residents beyond our breaking point with DCHA and DMPED.

Further, we ask that the Board postpones consideration for Resolution 19-04 to allow the Council at Park Morton to present a more fiscally-responsible proposal to DCHA, DMPED and other partners given the availability of \$4.5 million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

We are still working through details as we urge the following approach: The Council at Park Morton has identified an alternative build-first site which would allow residents to begin relocation in 2020 instead of the likely 2023 waiting on the Bruce Monroe site, which I may add is still in litigation. That's supposed to go back to court tomorrow.

DMPED's 965 Florida Avenue, The Wren, site will include 129 affordable units. The Council at Park Morton proposes to work with DCHA to acquire approximately 70 of those units as our build-first location leveraging a portion of the \$4.5 million. We ask that the Resolution 19-04 be amended to allow this acquisition.

We as well ask that NCI -- ask that the NCI phasing be adjusted to begin demolition and construction on the Park Morton footprint, parcels between Park Road and Morton Street, shifting Bruce Monroe to later phases, then moving into the next phase of Park Morton footprint on Morton Street and Lamont Street side. Shift Bruce Monroe into the

2.1

final phase.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

the benefit This approach has lowering the timeline and cost of any required interim controls by two to three years effectively lowering health and safety risks to Park Morton residents. This approach removes and/or reduces legal and timeline risks related to the Bruce Monroe site, brings an end to the 10-year wait and anxiety related to the Park Morton NCI project, and it requires zoning could be resolved with a minimum impact of 965 Florida Avenue or Park Road, if any are required at all. It minimizes any expenditures of the 4.5 million that would be utilized on units scheduled for immediate demolition and et cetera. For DCHA this process frees units at 965 Florida Avenue and Bruce Monroe after Park Morton phases for other potential DCHA projects in Ward 1.

In summary, we ask the DCHA Board of Commissioners to delay consideration of Resolution 19-04 until March allowing the Council at Park Morton and the residents -- and the residents can

be fully informed on the health and other risks related -- and other health risks related to the six years of interim controls. The Council at Park Morton is to present an alternative oppositions to be considered as amendments to Resolution 19-04.

And lastly, to restore confidence in the process by being transparent, having regularly scheduled meetings with the Council at Park Morton and its residents and informing the Council at Park Morton of any and all decisions that will affect the council and its residents.

And in closing I just want to make this clear once again because in November I believe I mentioned that you all had passed a resolution that did not -- you all didn't come to us at Park Morton to address that. So I want to make this clear again. Please, this last line, on confidence in the process by being transparent, because I'm a very honest person; I'm not going to lie to my residents, having regularly scheduled meeting with the Council at Park Morton and all of its residents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	Mr. Garrett, you still haven't reached
2	out to me to schedule that town hall, and you
3	canceled that in August. I still await that.
4	And informing the Council at Park
5	Morton of any and all decisions that will affect
6	the council and all of its residents. Thank you.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
8	(Applause.)
9	MS. HIGH: And I know I'm out of time,
10	but I just want you all to know that I attached a
11	Park Morton equity resolution. I had an emergency
12	meeting on February the 6th. I had 31 residents
13	come to my meeting. I had a quorum. We voted on
14	it. All of my board members have signed it and you
15	all have a copy. I'm also sending a letter to HUD
16	to ask for a chance for the Park Morton residents
17	to be able to purchase at the footprint when the
18	opportunity avails itself.
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Ms. High?
20	MS. HIGH: Yes, ma'am.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I would
22	like to say this: I am very proud of you today.

1	I met you at 2018 at a retreat.
2	MS. HIGH: Yes, ma'am.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I
4	sincerely appreciate the fact that the hours we've
5	talked on the phone that you did your homework.
6	You never played on my intelligence. Any time I
7	gave you something to read or sent you read you did
8	it. I want to say to you, sister, I'm proud of you
9	today. You are one of the residents that started
10	out with the 2018 Resident Council is going to be
11	about. I salute you.
12	MS. HIGH: Thank you, ma'am.
13	(Applause.)
14	MS. HIGH: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Can I ask you a
16	quick question?
17	MS. HIGH: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What has your
19	discussions been with the deputy mayor's office,
20	in particular the New Communities folks, about the
21	4.5 million, because the money seems to be coming
22	from them. So my assumption would have been that

1	you guys had some discussions with them about this
2	prior, because it's because as I think you've
3	referenced in here, we were moving in a different
4	direction because of the hazards and now we're
5	moving in another yet back towards another
6	new direction. And I just want to understand what
7	communications you've had about the 4.5 million.
8	MS. HIGH: Okay. I was just made aware
9	of the 4.5 million and this is why I wrote my
10	testimony the way I did. I've been in contact with
11	Mr. Felder from DMPED's office and I've made my
12	wishes clear to him. And he has written me back
13	saying that DMPED will try to honor not with this
14	money, because like I said, I just found out with
15	this, but they're trying to honor the equity
16	agreement.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So you haven't
18	had any discussions with
19	MS. HIGH: Not about this money, no.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: About interim
21	controls?
22	MS. HIGH: No, I was just made aware of

1	this and this is why I'm here today.
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. That
3	must be frustrating.
4	MS. HIGH: It is.
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay.
6	MS. HIGH: Any other questions that I
7	can address? No? Thank you for having me. I hope
8	you all have a good oh, Mr. Council?
9	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: No, thank you.
10	MS. HIGH: Okay. Thank you very much.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Daniel?
12	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Good afternoon,
13	Board. I just to be honest, I did this as a place
14	saver for Ms. High in case she was she has to
15	run out and pick up her daughter, but I just want
16	to echo her sentiments. There has been so much
17	mistrust at all of these redevelopments that are
18	happening around the city. And then, you know, we
19	find out the day before by looking at the web site
20	that, oh, there's a resolution that's going to
21	impact our community. So we let Shon know and, you

know, we've -- we're coming up with these plans that

I think is the direction or a direction that we move 1 in as we reposition, right? 2 3 I think she's coming up with something that is viable, that makes sense, and these type 4 of plans have to be listened to by the Board. 5 Board -- really, Board, you are kind of the last 6 7 line of defense in preserving traditional public housing as we know it. That may not be -- you know, 8 that may be tough, but I think as long as resident 9 10 voices are included in this process and listened 11 to and not -- you know, they hear it afterwards, 12 I think that's the wrong direction. So I just 13 support what Shon is saying and I would hope that 14 this Board would open up opportunities to really, you know, work in cooperation with residents and 15 really be informed as you vote on repositioning 16 this housing stock. So thank you. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you. 18 19 Thank you. 20 Our next person will be Debra Frazier on Resolution 19-04 and Resolution 19-05. 2.1

MS. FRAZIER:

22

I'm Debra Frazier,

voucher holder. Hello, Board. 1 And hello, peers who came out in force. 2 3 Give yourselves a hand. (Applause.) 4 MS. FRAZIER: The previous speaker 5 6 was -- echoed my sentiments exactly. I cannot add 7 anything to her testimony. I support that. community supports that, all of her statements. 8 The two things that come to mind for us 9 are, the same rhetorical question: 10 Why fix a property if it's scheduled to be either demolished 11 12 or a subject of build-first? Twenty years ago, eighteen years ago at 13 Arthur Capper Carrollsburg HOPE VI redevelopment 14 we were in the same position. Two years before we 15 were approached with the HOPE VI, there was a major 16 17 700 units. renovation on our We aot refrigerators and stoves and all sorts of things 18 19 and two years later here comes a HOPE VI that's 20 demolishing our community because it's distressed. So we advocated and worked hard along 2.1 22 with other partners to make sure that we had regular

monthly, sometimes twice weekly meetings with the community to keep us abreast and aware of every step of the HOPE VI redevelopment.

What has happened to this Housing Authority that such things as happening at Park Morton can occur 15 years later? Have you forgotten; and I will remind you, that you do, at some point under some director somewhere, have a policy of transparency and including residents? I urge you and this Board and this director to return to those days of yesteryear where residents had a voice and an ongoing voice in what happens to their properties.

Second thing that's been in the minds of some of our activists for a long time is is there a conflict of interest with the DMPED being on the Board of the Housing Authority and the two entities sometimes have the same ideas about housing and sometimes different. New Communities may have emerged from the HOPE VI process. The mayor may have different ideas for housing, as she seeks, and probably so, to get revenue for the city. Housing

1	Authority ought to have a different idea of policy
2	as it supports the need for public housing for our
3	residents. These two issues look to be in
4	conflict.
5	Can I ask on behalf of the public, Mr.
6	Council and this Board, if we can look into that?
7	If maybe OGC can look into that conflict of
8	interest, or maybe the Office of Attorney General
9	may be interested in looking at that. Question
10	mark.
11	(No audible response.)
12	MS. FRAZIER: That's a question.
13	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: It's a question
14	that's been made many times, yes.
15	MS. FRAZIER: Okay. So making it
16	again. We haven't heard anything back from that.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Maybe they'll do
18	it for you.
19	MS. FRAZIER: But they should do it for
20	all of us, but we I'm going to do what our
21	Commission says: Let the record show we made a ask
22	about conflict of interest.

I don't have much for 05. 05, I will say this: The -- let's see. You caught me off guard. Urgent need to -- okay. So on 05 we talk about the urgent need for housing options and to give folks the -- to go to project-based housing. What's the urgent need?

MR. GARRETT: Okay. It would be those residents that are impacted by environmental concern or an issue that mandates that they have a mandatory transfer out of their particular unit. If you recall a few months ago they Board, I believe it was in December -- I believe it was either November or December where we passed -- the Board passed a resolution to allocate 272 vouchers towards our environmental effort.

This is also to supplement that because in some cases we cannot offer -- we're not -- if we're not able to offer a voucher to a particular family for a reason, not able to find another public housing unit for that particular family, we wanted to have at least a last resort of a possibility of relocating that resident to a quality unit. And

the use of a PVB unit might be that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As we explained, it would be the last option for us. We already go through a process now of identifying units for residents who need to move due to the environmental concerns in their unit. And we also go through that same processes also with the use of the 200 -- of a voucher possibly. We go through a panel. This would be another process that we would just add as a unit that would be made available to a family.

in Tt.'s different terms of this relocation from what we might have done for the And one reason I want to point that out is because we were dealing with residents that were single occupancy, meaning they either needed a studio or a one bedroom, whereas now in these particular situations we're dealing with families and it's harder for us to identify units within our portfolio for families along with the use of vouchers. It's the same thing.

So we're just trying to avail ourselves to every option that we might have to put residents

1	in a safe and healthy environment.
2	MS. FRAZIER: So as we talk interim,
3	it what are the parameters of project-based?
4	Once you get a project-based unit you're kind of
5	stuck there and you can't go unless you find another
6	project-based unit. How what's the timing on
7	that? If I'm a family
8	MR. GARRETT: I don't think that's
9	totally accurate.
10	MS. FRAZIER: Okay.
11	MR. GARRETT: I'll just let Ron just
12	talk on that if you don't mind, Commissioners,
13	because I don't that's not accurate about the
14	PVB unit and them not being able to having to
15	go into another PVB unit. Depending upon the
16	reasoning that they're being relocated or need to
17	move, there could be other options for them.
18	MS. FRAZIER: So interim would be case
19	by case?
20	MR. GARRETT: I'll let Ron go into it.
21	MR. McCOY: Hi, how are you, Ms.
22	Frazier?

MS. FRAZIER: I'm good. 1 MR. McCOY: The answer to that question 2 3 is it is -- will be a case by case. Specifically because you reside in a project-based unit does not 4 mean you are stuck. We transfer families from one 5 6 project-based unit to other project -- we have over 7 1,500 project-based units. So there is some -- a mobility aspect if families are in need, already 8 in a project-based portfolio to move from one unit 9 10 to another. 11 MS. FRAZIER: And would the folks be 12 available get -- this is a either/or, to 13 project-based or voucher? 14 MR. GARRETT: We've been exercising that option right now. It depends on that family 15 and their composition and what their actual need 16 So right now we only have the option to either 17 offer a public housing unit or a quote/unquote 18 19 "voucher" to them. But as another step we might 20 be able to offer a PVB unit that better suits them. 21 And lastly, as voucher MS. FRAZIER: 22 holders which -- who guard our things, we really

Τ	guard it, are the where are these voucher units
2	coming from?
3	MR. GARRETT: An example of a PVB unit
4	that we have in our inventory would be Parkway
5	Overlook that's coming online roughly April.
6	There will be PVB units in that particular
7	development that we have rehabbed over the last few
8	months. So over the last year, actually. So
9	residents would have the opportunity to go into
10	those units if possible.
11	MS. FRAZIER: Okay.
12	MR. GARRETT: And then Ron has a list
13	of other PVB units throughout the District.
14	MS. FRAZIER: Okay. That's all I can
15	think of.
16	Oh, do residents have a choice? If I'm
17	going to a I'm a family and I need to move and
18	I got an environmental issue and from Mr. McCoy's
19	list can I choose with PVB unit I get to go to?
20	MR. GARRETT: There is choice
21	involved, but remember it does come down to a
22	mandatory move at the end of the day. So we're

1	trying to ensure that but we want to work with
2	the family and PMO, Ms. Punter's, Carolyn Punter's
3	department. They engage the resident in the
4	beginning to try to identify what the actual needs
5	are and then it moves down the line for us through
6	the committee.
7	MS. FRAZIER: All right. Good enough
8	for the moment.
9	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
10	MS. FRAZIER: Thank you.
11	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
12	Thank you, Ron.
13	Thank you, Ms. Frazier.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Next
15	we're going to consider Resolution 19-02, the
16	authorization
17	PARTICIPANT: Didn't you want to
18	testify?
19	MS. BARRIGAN: Yes, I signed up so
20	that and I signed up for all of the resolutions.
21	PARTICIPANT: What's your name?
	TANTICITANT: What 5 your hame:

1	Garfield Terrace is the
2	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Come on up, but
3	we don't have you on here.
4	MS. BARRIGAN: I'm on the list.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes, ma'am.
6	MS. BARRIGAN: All right. My name is
7	Claudia Barrigan. I am the language access
8	coordinator for the Resident Council at Garfield
9	Terrace Senior. There are many seniors living in
10	public housing that do not speak English. They are
11	limited-English-proficient residents, District
12	taxpayers. Many of them are non-native English
13	speakers.
14	At the same time I'm here to
15	specifically give a summary of what Garfield
16	Terrace Resident Council wants for you for this
17	Commission to consider in terms of all of the
18	resolutions.
19	So I'll start with Resolution No.
20	19-20. It's a no for the resident council. Funds
21	can be used for direct resident needs, the funds
22	for that for the legal firms. And the

Commission and DCHA already has legal counsel sources within the agency, so the residents would like to make sure that those resources are first used before allocating such a large amount.

No. 2, Resolution 19-03. No response. Please postpone that particular resolution or voting on that resolution. Funds may be too excessive for that particular one, for that particular resolution.

No. 19-04, Resolution 19-04. Also postpone the vote on that. The funds have to be reported. As you heard from the directly impacted residents, they would like to postpone that vote. Garfield Terrace Seniors also agree in support that ask.

Transparency is important and DCHA has to be held accountable on how funds are allocated and this MOUs have to reviewed by the residents by law; federal, local, any type of law, at least 30 days' notice of reviewing -- for District residents to review that. If the residents haven't been allowed that time to review it and been able to give

2.1

1	their own feedback, then that should not be up for
2	vote.
3	19-05. Resolution 19-05. No. Also
4	postpone. Again, there needs to be at least 30 to
5	60 days of outreach, especially when you're talking
6	about removing residents, displacing residents.
7	There is very little information about these
8	transfers, even in the data that you provided, in
9	the report that you provided on the language of the
10	resolution. That language, again there are
11	readers, there are residents, public housing
12	residents who do not speak English. It is by
13	federal law you have to translate those documents
14	into at least five or six languages. You have not
15	done that.
16	(Applause.)
17	MS. BARRIGAN: So you cannot displace
18	residents without them even being able to read or
19	come in front of you with an interpreter and a
20	translator. I have privilege because I'm doing
21	that.

You need to have meetings at each

housing building about this particular definitions. Like Executive Director Garrett mentioned, there is clarity in the definitions. Well, he needs to go and provide those -- that clarity on those definitions. What does urgency mean in this particular case of the transfer?

The Tenant Selection Plan must explained because no one knows what the Tenant Selection Plan is. Example: The privatization resolution that was passed last month. That was a very important resolution. And while you're not going to take any action at this moment, you should have followed all of the law by also translating that particular document resolutions into at least five different languages of the people that you serve.

Overall all of these resolutions account in terms of funding in some sort of way \$12 million. You are going to vote today on \$12 million. And residents behind me have heard of these resolutions today. This is the first time that they heard about them. So you should not vote

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

This is the first I'm hearing about 1 on it today. them, so please don't vote on them. 2 Residents' 3 voices must lead resolutions. There is a difference between 4 5 including and participation and voicing. Resident voices must lead and the resident councils 6 7 are ready to lead. In terms of environmental efforts, how 8 about before displacing and relocating residents, 9 what if you were to fix the problems before they 10 11 even get to the point of becoming a public health 12 issue? 13 (Applause.) And that is something 14 MS. BARRIGAN: that you need to please -- again, by law there is 15 EPA law, environmental justice law that you have 16 to follow if you're going to have public housing 17 and public uses, and you are already mismanaging 18 a lot of these possibilities to fix these problems. 19 20 So please review that. So please postpone on all, if not all --21 22 on all of these resolutions or on Resolution 19-02

1	please vote no. Thank you. Gracias.
2	(Applause.)
3	MS. BARRIGAN: Do you have any
4	questions?
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Just one
6	moment. First of all, I would like for the
7	Director and the Co-Chair of the Board to recognize
8	this is Claudia who will be helping me with doing
9	these things throughout our public housing where
10	I said that the Commissioners will have a learning
11	institution.
12	And, Director Garrett, one of the
13	recommendations we already have because Mr.
14	Luther and I are already partners. And I don't
15	want to place myself in a conflict of interest, so
16	therefore Claudia will take the lead. And what we
17	will be doing is we will be going around well,
18	we already started on Facebook. We have several
19	residents that are willing to take our class. And
20	she's absolutely correct.
21	As we move in 2019 as I stated, this
	,

15 years. And it has been a pleasure exercising my experiences and my legal experiences and my educational experience. Now I'm ready to create the urban design fabric, and that is the 2018 -- communities such as Park Morton with the 2018 elect resident councils.

I would like to also say, to put on notice, that this Board is not in compliance with the City-Wide Advisory Board. It is one year late for electing an advisory chair. And when that chair get elected, it will be hopefully that their bylaws will be reviewed and there will be a policy that if you chair the City-Wide Advisory Board, as the chair of the City Advisory Board one of your duties and responsibilities would be being that the advisory board chair meets once a month, or once every other month with the general body. The general body is all elected and appointed resident councils that are recognized by this Housing Authority.

And I further recommend as we move forward if there is an election you cannot force

any resident to campaign. So if one person runs, I say and I'm requesting that all presidents in their bylaws state this: (A) The president of any DCHA resident council that does not have a full board have the right to appoint; (B) we have a right to run and campaign on a -- what is it called when you have a campaign --

PARTICIPANT: Slate.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: -- slate, because we were told you could only campaign individually, which have shown with the trends it causes confusion, it keeps division and it holds us back.

The second thing that we want to request that any resident council that has a CSC account that this Housing Authority take another look at their account and maybe we need a pot to support the learning center because the learning center is not just for elected resident council. It's for any resident who want to learn to be a resident council president with ethics, character, integrity.

1.3

2.1

1	(Applause.)
2	MS. BARRIGAN: If I may add, on
3	Resolution 19-02 you're spending \$6 million on five
4	legal firms, giving them at least \$250,000 maximum.
5	You can actually use some of those funds if you take
6	one of those legal firms out and actually fund this
7	particular much-needed much more needed center,
8	education center. So that is why there should be
9	a no vote on 19-02.
10	(Applause.)
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
12	Were there any questions?
13	(No response.)
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. All
15	right. We're getting ready to do Resolution 19-02
16	authorizing executive contract of general legal
17	counsel.
18	Mr. Garrett?
19	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, I'm going
20	to ask Lori Bonds to come up along with okay.
21	Mary Grace is going to come up and also we have Larry
22	Williams from Resident Services who will be

available to discuss any Section III issues. And Mary Grace will be representing OGC.

MS. BONDS: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Lori Bonds, Director of Contracts and Procurement. The resolution before you is to authorize the execution of legal service contracts for the Housing Authority for a period of two years.

In addition to the work that the Office of the General Counsel does the Housing Authority does have needs for additional legal services that range from labor and employment general contracts to litigation, and as a result we issued a solicitation in October 2018 with a closing date in November.

We received nine proposals based on our advertisement in the Washington Post, the Afro-American, District Chronicles, Washington Informer, El Tiempo Latin and East of the River to make sure that firms did see our request for We also sent it directly to DHCD for services. Section III vendors, as well as sent directly to several firms. Again, we received nine proposals

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

in response to our solicitation of which we had an evaluation panel that was from the Office of the General Counsel, as well as the Office of Capital Programs.

The request is for contracts for the seven highest scoring firms who were selected, which are Reno & Cavanaugh, Ballard Spahr, Saul Ewing, Butler Snow, Hawkins Delafield & Wood, Venable and K&L Gates LLC, in order to award contracts for those firms for an aggregate amount of \$6 million.

Each of the firms we have provided information with regard to their Section III commitment. Some of the firms were firms that we have utilized previously as far as contracts were concerned and provided their commitment that they made in the previous contract, as well as information on what they have proposed to do with regard to their services.

Any questions?

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: What is it -- I want you to explain specifically what is

Section III? 1 Let me refer the Section 2 MS. BONDS: 3 III questions to Larry Williams, who is Director of Resident Services. 4 5 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, 7 Commissioners. Section III is the regulation where the -- any federal contracts have to comply 8 with Section III as it relates to employment 9 10 opportunities, training opportunities for public 11 housing, immediate HCVP low income and 12 participants. Of these 13 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 14 companies listed, how many of these companies have 15 either contracted, employed public housing, no housing choice voucher residents? 16 17 MR. WILLIAMS: Five of them that have previously had contracts with us have been a great 18 19 partner as it relates to Section III and have 20 hired -- either hired or provided training to our resident base. There is two of them that are new 21

is

their

this

contractors

that

22

time

first

complying, so they've proposed what they want to --1 well, they proposed a compliance plan that we've 2 3 accepted. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 4 And what 5 was that plan? Could you be specific so it could 6 be in the record? So as it relates to the 7 MR. WILLIAMS: two firms that didn't have prior -- the three firms 8 that didn't have prior Section III relationships 9 10 with us, they have committed to a donation to the 11 Section III Fund based on their billable hours as 12 well as some of them have donated services for 13 specifically for when we deal with resident council 14 disputes and issues to appoint a moderator, and they will act as the moderator to work out the 15 issues between the resident councils. 16 17 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: How many resident councils have used that route, if any? 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: None at the moment. 20 This is something that we have just recently started to see really become an issue, and so when 21

we were meeting with the contractors to talk about

1 get that service from the attorneys. 2 3 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Well, I'll tell you what I really like about this resolution, 4 but I agree with Claudia, and maybe we may work 5 6 something over, but then -- what I like about then 7 the rule is that it is the only law firm who have given us as residents of the District of Columbia 8 who have CSC contracts in which the resident 9 10 council president is the authorized agent. 11 impacts every senior housing that has a CSC and it 12 only impacts -- when it comes to family properties it impacts Potomac Gardens, it impacts Lincoln 13 14 And that may be it because you don't have too many family properties that actually have the 15 laundry rooms. So when we do the math, if I voted 16 17 no, I would hurt them. However, if we rework this wherein 18

the Section III Plan, it was our recommendation to

these billable hours -- let me ask you a question: How will the billable hours work?

MS. FOLWELL: Commissioner, I can speak to that. So what they would do is they would

19

20

21

figure out what the total amount they were going to bill to the Housing Authority and then they would make sure that three percent of that total amount that we paid them they gave back to us in total hours.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And all these law firms, do you know each of them -- each one -- each law firm? Do you know their billable hours for specific work? Because in most law firms when you do billable hours it comes with a project. It's tagged to a project. Which means, if you're counting the math, I don't know what billable is this month up and down. It's like a CSC net check for those of us who operate a laundry room. They are the authorized agent. So that's not a good deal for residents.

Okay. So now -- but when we look at -- and it's not. Now if the person who wanted to do the billable hours for us would like to work out some new figures to contribute to the community at large -- and you know I'm very territorial, so this is a treat for me to speak, because now what I'm

seeing here is a way where we could bend -- and when I say "bend," I mean us because I also teach communities to be territorial, because you have to fabric design your own community.

So now if I -- now let's say if they gave up X amount of dollars, this would allow for all of the residents, whether -- all you have to do -- you won't even have to be 18 and over on the lease. Claudia and I are really willing to educate from three years old, go to day care. So we want to educate public housing residents, whether you're on the lease or not, because if you're not on the lease, you still living in somebody's unit and that unit may be turned over to you. So that person should have opportunity, too.

So if you look at that and factor it in, we already have the location, 1229 G Street, and we also have Park -- Garfield Senior where we would rotate. And we're also willing to go around the properties. And we're not trying to cut out the work of your navigators, but what we're trying to do is empower residents as the city and the system

with gentrification is dismantling us.

1.3

2.1

MS. BONDS: Commissioner, I just want to respond to your question with regard to the contracts. You're right, we issue our contracts based on task order. The task orders are not known until we know what the work may be. When the task orders are issued and signed, it's with a specific job that's required as well as an estimate of how much that may be. Once that work is done, then the Office of the General Counsel can provide that information to the Office of Resident Services. So they'll know how many hours it took, because it's itemized on their bills.

And then that's the way that we could be able to go back and be able to make sure the compliance is done based on the number of hours that were billed because we have to pay those bills. And then Resident Services actually makes sure again that they're setting up each of these commitments and then they're doing it based on the hours that were actually charged.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The

1	question is: (A) If we pass this with an amendment
2	saying that those billable hours dollars will be
3	earmark or per-line item to the residents of the
4	District of Columbia; we're already giving you a
5	target age, from three up, to be able to be educated
6	because we may need to recruit volunteers to go to
7	the homes and knock on doors because we're at a
8	crisis called gentrification in the District of
9	Columbia.
10	And we could knock on doors and we would
11	be able to talk and give residents the information
12	just like they and model it after the Census
13	Bureau. And when we go to your home, we won't have
14	residents
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Commissioner
16	Vann-Ghasri, can we stay focused on the resolution
17	in front of us?
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I am, but
19	I'm also
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: We have a lot of
21	people here who want to testify.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Excuse me,

1	who is the chair?
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'm asking you
3	if you could
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And I
5	understand that, sir, but I know what I want to get
6	on the record, like you do sometimes, too. So let
7	me just finish this. This is my last three years
8	after being here for 15.
9	(Applause.)
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So all I'm
11	saying, to put on the record, do you understand?
12	Thank you so much. Because there's a lot of
13	confusion and I'm here not to be confusion, but to
14	solve problems. I'm a problem solver for real.
15	And I'm done.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Any
17	more questions from Commissioners?
18	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes. This
19	just a basic question. Can you tell us more about
20	what specific kinds of activities these lawyers
21	perform, why our OGC doesn't have the capacity to
22	do this work, and why it costs so much?

Commissioner, there's MS. FOLWELL: two -- a couple of things I'd like to point out about these -- this resolution and the contracts. the amount of contract authority that we ask for is -- in this particular resolution is 6.6 million, and that is for specialized legal services. things like contracts and copyright things that we specialized specialized aren't in, sometimes litigation where we get sued and we don't have special environmental knowledge. So it's all things that are above and beyond our specialized capacity.

And one of the things that are the most expensive are real estate transactions and bond transactions. So it's good to farm those out to the legal firms. They take care of it more efficiently and more effectively than us. So it's specialized knowledge.

The other piece is we've asked for contract authority for 6.6 million and that's in case we get sued, that's in case all of our real estate deals go forward, but for example this past

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	year we had 6.8 million in authority, but we only
2	spent 2.3 million. So the actual contract
3	authority that we ask for is sort of a worst case
4	scenario. Unfortunately, we often spend much less
5	than that because we don't have the problems that
6	we fear that we have.
7	MR. SLAUGHTER: And in the real estate
8	transactions we are asking for the Authority to
9	spend
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Excuse me,
11	sir. Could you come to the and
12	MR. SLAUGHTER: I'm sorry. I'm Ken
13	Slaughter. I'm the general counsel. On the real
14	estate transactions we're asking for authority to
15	spend, but oftentimes, most times the contract
16	amount is not the net payout by the Authority
17	because the transaction itself pays for the legal
18	fees. We have to advance those fees and then at
19	closing we receive dollars out of the transaction
20	to cover that. So it's a net.
21	Again, this amount over two years is our
22	best guesstimate. We have four senior lawyers and

five junior, very junior, first second-year lawyers, and the variety of matters that come before us are hard to predict. a lawsuit saying that the city is creating a creative class. We have day to day real estate transactions. We have government contracts. my lawyers are excellent and they have a variety of skills, but there are specialties. Example is we're replacing the full computer system for the Authority that's specialized and а very negotiation between very sophisticated computer law firms and lawyers that represent us.

You should know that whatever you may the lawyers are against us or lawyers bringing matters before us are from the most sophisticated law firms in the city who often take matters involving our residents in pro We also have the full legal services matters. advocacy community who we're also. So there are hundreds of lawyers on the other side at the same time.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I just have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	two more questions.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: No, no.
3	Commissioner Slover?
4	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I don't know,
5	I'm can I just get an understanding of how much
6	money we carry on the books for real estate deals?
7	So I know we expend a lot of money on legal costs.
8	Are we keeping track, I assume, of all that
9	because
10	MS. FOLWELL: Yes, Commissioner.
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So it's all
12	really an effort to try to get people to understand
13	that these dollars are not actually going out the
14	door permanently, that they'll be coming back at
15	some point? So these numbers of 6.6, while they
16	seem big, in the grand scheme of the size of the
17	entity to which we operate and the volume of real
18	estate transactions they were involved in, hard to
19	say but the numbers aren't as aren't really that
20	big compared to what we're doing?
21	MS. FOLWELL: That's accurate, yes.
22	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And so and

1	again a fair amount of it does come back to the
2	Authority at some point, so I appreciate your
3	explanation.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Commissioner
5	Grossinger?
6	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Just wanted
7	to make a short comment about the work that the OGC
8	does. It's really quite extraordinary the team
9	you lead, Ken, and we appreciate the work and time
LO	that you're putting into this. It doesn't detract
L1	from Aquarius' commentary about needing to
L2	strengthen the Section III Program; we all know we
L3	do, but your work and your capacities and your
L 4	insight into where we need help are
L5	well-appreciated.
L6	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Commissioner
L7	St. Jean?
L8	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: So how many
L9	people take advantage of Section III?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, we exceeded our
21	Section III goals from last year about 50 percent.
22	I don't know the number offhand about how many

1	people have participated in the pool because I
2	didn't anticipate that question, but I can get that
3	information to you.
4	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes, I would
5	like that report for HCVP and public housing to make
6	sure it's equal.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Will do.
8	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: One
10	question. What is it for the residents?
11	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry?
12	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: What it's
13	we're doing all this for the lawyers on this, but
14	what does the residents have to say about it?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: So they comply each
16	firm has submitted the plan so it complies with
17	Section III, so there's various different classes
18	
	that they've proposed. They've proposed hiring of
19	that they've proposed. They've proposed hiring of an intern. They have also they are contributing
19	
	an intern. They have also they are contributing

1	councils to resolve some of the disputes that they
2	have on the council.
3	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Okay.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Is
5	there a motion?
6	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: So moved.
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Second.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Roll
9	call.
10	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
11	Kenner?
12	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Yes.
13	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
14	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
15	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Bill Slover?
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes.
17	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
18	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
19	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Strickland?
20	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Yes.
21	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Let the
22	record reflect that Commissioner Strickland voted

1	yes.
2	Commissioner Taliaferro?
3	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: No respond.
4	MS. McNAIR: You're abstaining?
5	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Abstaining,
6	yes.
7	MS. McNAIR: Okay. Commissioner
8	Vann-Ghasri?
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No.
10	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Grossinger?
11	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Yes.
12	MS. McNAIR: And lastly, Vice Chairman
13	Council?
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes.
15	MS. McNAIR: You have seven yes, one no
16	and one abstention. The resolution is approved.
17	MR. GARRETT: Okay. Thank you. And
18	I'm going to ask for the next resolution,
19	Resolution 19-03 I'm also going to ask Mr. Bass
20	to come up and also Carolyn Punter, Mr. Bass from
21	the Office of Finance and Ms. Punter from Property
22	Management Operations.

So we might have to pull up another chair, if we can find one.

And, Commissioners, this is case you have questions.

MS. BONDS: Good afternoon, Commissioners. This is Resolution 19-03. Again my name is Lori Bonds, Director of Contracts and Procurement, and we're requesting authorization to execute contract modifications for private management services.

The Housing Authority currently has with two management companies: contracts CTManagement Services and CIH Properties, Incorporated. These management companies currently manage seven of our properties. request is to extend the contracts of both of those companies for a short period of time, one for CT Management who manages Potomac Gardens and Hopkins Apartments. The request is to give the opportunity for a transition period for DCHA to manage those properties directly. The request for extension for CHI Properties is for us to be able

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to have the opportunity to issue a solicitation so
that we can be able to have a competitive process
for the management of those properties, which are
Claridge Towers, Horizon House, Regency House,
Sibley Plaza Family and Sibley Senior property.
Any questions?
MR. GARRETT: I just want to add,
Commissioners, this is something that we
originally talked I talked about it about 12
months ago in reference to taking the opportunity
for properties that were managed by third parties
and the DCHA looking to transition those back into
our management operations on a daily basis, and
this is the step in that particular direction.
We're going to start out with two
properties and then see where that goes, but we do
have proposals that will be coming in for the
remaining properties that are third-party managed.
COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Could you
explain that again? Two properties. Two
property managers or two properties?

MR. GARRETT:

Two properties. Right

now CT -- the properties managed by CT, which are -- Potomac and also Hopkins would be the two properties that we would transition back into our daily operational portfolio.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And what about the other properties?

MR. GARRETT: For the others what we would do is, as Lori mentioned and stated, we would be going out with an RFP for those particular properties. We haven't yet determined a time frame in which -- or how long that particular contract would be for, but that's something that would depend upon our actual transition on -- from the CT Properties to see how well we do and whether or not we have the actual capacity to continue moving forward.

done to improve our internal capacity, because it seems to me that it would be in our best interest to: (1) have a topnotch property management apparatus; and (2) manage all of our properties. So I would think that by extending this by a year

that you would be able to make that determination and not actually do an RFP to put these out to a third party, because I just wonder -- I thought the whole idea was to bring these back in.

MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir, but I don't think -- at this point in time from my vantage point I don't think we have the ability just yet to bring on the other properties that are managed by CIH, all of those properties all at one time. So I wanted to take a step in that direction by doing the two properties that were maintained by CT right now.

As far as changes that we've made in terms of PMO and the ability -- we've brought in We have Ms. Punter as the Senior a new team. Director for Property Management Operations along with -- and we shuffle the deck in terms of our Department Maintenance and also the **PMO** on leadership for area managers and other individuals on their team. So we're moving in that direction. And we're also hiring individuals going forward that have the ability to take on mixed-income and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

mixed-use properties and understand what asset 1 management really is. So we're taking those 2 3 steps. We understand that there is a dire need 4 in order for us to be able to deliver services to 5 6 our residents, so we're beefing up our staffing and trying to build that capacity by bringing people 7 inside, but also by bringing people on board, but 8 9 also by educating our staff, current staff through 10 our HR Department and also through Ms. Punter who's 11 up a series of programs and educational 12 components for the staff. So you think it 13 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: 14 will take seven months to transition the two properties? 15 MR. GARRETT: Well, yes, I think it's 16 17 going to take seven months to transition the two properties. And Ms. Punter can go into detail. I 18 19 can start it and if there's anything that I miss, 20 she'll jump on. But there was one piece that we found 21 22 in terms of our oversight, Commissioners. We were

1	somewhat deficient in some areas when we were
2	dealing with information that was supposed to be
3	transmitted to us from CT. So it's going to take
4	some time for us to get that information from
5	them. And also remember, we're going through a new
6	software process, so we have a migration going on.
7	So because some of that information wasn't
8	originally uploaded, now moving in that direction,
9	it may take us some time. I just believe that seven
10	months would be most beneficial to us.
11	I don't know, Carolyn, you could add.
12	I did ask for a shorter period of time,
13	but I was pushed back by
14	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: By?
15	MR. GARRETT: By Ms. Punter
16	MS. PUNTER: Absolutely
17	MR. GARRETT: just to give us more
18	time.
19	MS. PUNTER: because we want to have
20	a seamless transition. And so our thought process
21	is to go in gradually, assess the portfolio, make
22	sure our ITD division comes in and wire the

1	propers properly so that we could come in again.
2	The residents, we want to make sure that they are
3	aware of the transition. And we walked them
4	through the process.
5	We want to be transparent. We are
6	re-branding what we're doing in PMO, and so we are
7	very hands-on. I am myself with residents. And
8	so it wouldn't be a quick turnaround. More so it
9	will be a seamless transition.
10	MR. GARRETT: And, Commissioners, we
11	want to make sure we get it right. And so it may
12	seem in the future we may be able to do it much
13	quicker, but for this first step I agree that
14	I didn't at first agree, but I do understand what
15	we're dealing with and why we might do take
16	need seven months to transition.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What I would ask
18	is that you take another look at the secondary
19	piece
20	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: about doing
22	the RFP, because I would hope that and I have

1	faith in you that you will be able to build an
2	apparatus that will be able to accept those other
3	properties back into our own management system.
4	So I would hope that we could not close the door
5	to that.
6	MR. GARRETT: Understood.
7	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: With that I
8	move to vote.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I have
10	something to say. First of all, I want to put on
11	record about Potomac Gardens and Hopkins, and I'm
12	not going to be interrupted because I've lived
13	there for 40-some years.
14	At Potomac Gardens, as I stated, I
15	represented the minority. The majority of the
16	residents in Potomac Gardens requested change and
17	they understood what change meant. If you are
18	already under one management and you understood it
19	was private and you keep wanting change, change
20	meant they wanted to go back to DCHA. I can't speak
21	for Hopkins, but I can speak for Potomac Gardens.

And as a matter of fact, Director

Garrett, I'm more than sure that Director Williams informed you that there was a meeting in regards to me in Potomac Gardens because I'm for access management. And there was approximately 20 residents there. And I want it on record.

One of the avenues I have recommended to the director is this: If we go back to DCHA, we're going to think out the box because Potomac Gardens is not going to go down any differently, and I sat here for 15 years. So that's a change. In 2009 Adrian Fenty gave me the change agent, and being a change agent I have to accept that change in my community, and I do. But I'm going to extend it a little further.

Being that this is going to be a model, and Potomac Gardens have always been the model community, we are asking for us to have more than one resident council as we gentrify in the next 10 years. The residents of Potomac Gardens understand that they just got a new roof and that roof history is 20 years. Do any of you think that Potomac Gardens is going to be up 20 years as it

is today under DCHA?

I'm following suit with Ms. High and I'm not going to put myself in a conflict of interest. And that's why Claudia will be training residents in Potomac Gardens as we move towards gentrification. I know my single member district very well. I also know my Council member who I respect highly very well. I know my single member district and my ward.

But let me tell you what I'm asking you to know. Seven hundred will have its own resident council. Ms. Petya has come in front of you many times. Let Ms. Petya have a council on 13th Street. There is a vacant unit in Community Row in which Total Family Care is presently using. The 13th Street corridor can have that office.

Stephanie Van ran against me, testified against me, but she did get 15 votes. She lives on the 12th Street side. Let her, too, be her president.

And then we have a third person. And our community is already divided up and you already

have Ms. Carlson. Because if you keep us together you're making the same mistake that was made in Barry Farms. You don't -- you'll make the same mistake because we will be dismantled.

But 700 and the senior building are the two buildings in that 6B-07 Single Member District that has been up there and have allow for Jenkins Square and all of the other gentrifying zoning buildings to have its height based on Potomac Gardens.

So I'm asking the Chair and the Vice Chair and the Director of the Housing Authority, who has the ultimate power -- I'm requesting that you give that some thought so that you can make us a model as we think ourself out the box.

So by the time you tear down Potomac Gardens either two things will -- that's what I think about Trump. If you watched *The Apprentice*, because that's what we're going to have to do for the 2018 Resident Council. You're going to have to understand your land, you're going to have to divide it, if you have to, and you're going to have to allow your opponents to take a piece of that land

1	like the city and DCHA. We're using that model in
2	Potomac Gardens with the 2019 Move to Work. Thank
3	you so much. And it's on the record.
4	(Applause.)
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Is there a
6	motion?
7	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: I made one
8	quite a while ago.
9	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Second.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Can I get a
11	roll call, please?
12	MS. you McNAIR: Thank you.
13	Commissioner Neal Jones?
14	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
15	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Slover?
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes.
17	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
18	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
19	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Strickland?
20	COMMISSIONER Yes.
21	MS. McNAIR: Thank you. Let the
22	record reflect Commissioner Strickland voted yes.

1	Commissioner Taliaferro?
2	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes.
3	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner
4	Vann-Ghasri?
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
6	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Grossinger?
7	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Yes.
8	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Kenner?
9	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Yes.
10	MS. McNAIR: Vice Chairman Council?
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes.
12	MS. McNAIR: You have nine yes. The
13	resolution is approved.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay.
15	Resolution 19-04 authorizing Memorandum of
16	Understanding with the Office of Deputy Mayor for
17	Planning and Economic Development.
18	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, I'm going
19	to ask Deputy Executive Director Chelsea Johnson
20	to come up in case you have some specific questions
21	in reference to environmental.
22	There is one thing I do want to point

out about this particular resolution, Commissioners, in accepting funding from DMPED for \$4.5 million. I know that we've been bouncing around, and as Commissioner Slover indicated, ideas and concepts about how to handle the interim controls that are necessary at the site in addition to preparing for upcoming redevelopment — relocation, demolition and redevelopment of the site and the return of the residents.

There is one caveat to this which is also we do have a time frame on which we have to perform interim controls. So, and that would be no later than June 30th -- I believe June 30th, 2019, of this year, interim controls would actually have to be performed. So in our estimation we would need to begin those interim controls no later than March.

One other piece, when we originally did this and Ms. Johnson spoke to the public and the residents about the risk assessments and the findings, I believe she also discussed about exactly what actions we needed to take regarding

interim controls or full abatement. So there have 1 been discussions. 2 3 I'm not saying that we're perfect by any stretch of the imagination. And we do need to work 4 on our communication with residents as we move 5 6 forward. But this particular step, accepting 7 money from DMPED, is a step and an action to protect the safety of the residents as it exists now. 8 9 Other options that might be available 10 to us we want to pursue those at the same time. So 11 this doesn't mean that there wouldn't be 12 opportunity for possible relocation of а residents, but we do know that interim controls 13 have to be performed in the remaining units that 14 15 have not been completed by June 30th. So trying to relocate during this time frame still would 16 17 require some funding to be given to us or accepted 18 from DMPED. Thank you. 19 If you have any questions, I'll try my 20 best to answer them. COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'll go first, 21

unless someone else wants to go.

The resolution, you know, this thing has bounced around quite a bit. We've gone in a couple different directions, but in response to the resolution in front of us there's a reference in the resolution to an MOU with DMPED. Has that MOU been signed?

MR. GARRETT: No, it has not.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So you're asking us to approve a resolution for an MOU that hasn't been signed? So we really don't know what the MOU will say in it ultimately, specifically whether the funds are a grant, a loan, or do we have to pay them back, are they a gift, do they have to be used a certain way, do they require us to commit to a certain strategy phase versus multi-phase versus single phase? It references in there it's to support the Build First goals. So as far as Commissioner for me to approve this resolution, because I haven't -- I'm actually approving something that I haven't seen or do not understand the ramifications of.

MR. GARRETT: Okay. Commissioner

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Slover, the MOU was not signed specifically because 1 we -- I did not want to take the liberty of accepting 2 3 money or looking to accept money prior to your 4 approval. As far as the MOU itself there are no 5 6 contingencies in reference to how we move forward. 7 We do need to use the money specifically for interim There is no -- nothing tied to how we controls. 8 redevelop the site or what those steps are. 9 things were not included in the MOU itself. 10 It was 11 just accepting the money as a grant. It is not --12 there is no repayment required. And us proceeding with interim controls as quickly as possible and 13 then moving forward with any other redevelopment 14 plans that we possibly have. 15 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: But as a point of 16 17 reference it says that the money is specifically for completion of interim controls with the support 18 19 of the NCI Build First goal --20 Right, and we --MR. GARRETT: COMMISSIONER SLOVER: -- which would 21

lend one to believe that it is being given to

support the Build First goal, which would mean it has strings attached to it. Maybe the deputy mayor can tell us what his thoughts are.

COMMISSIONER KENNER: Well, so my thoughts are that -- and I think that from the city's perspective we're trying to be helpful here. I think the Housing Authority has identified what is a portfolio issue that they did in their interim controls.

Sort of for me this sort of also brings to light I think a growing guestion that this Housing Authority Board is going to have to continue to ask itself, which is; and I think we'll talk about this a little bit later, the portfolio issues that have been identified by the Housing Authority and written about in publications I think are going to be a significant financial burden, a significant financial cost for this Housing Authority to bear. And so additional costs, things like taking on 100 percent of the management of all the properties -- which I'm agnostic to as a Commissioner, but those are additional costs this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Housing Authority is going to have to consider.

And there's only I think so much money that the Housing Authority has. And so I just want for the Housing Authority to be -- for Commissioners to be cognizant that there's only a certain amount of money that the Housing Authority or frankly the city has to be able to support some things. And so I think that in this case we were trying to be helpful with the identified need for about \$42 million worth of interim control As the Executive Director has improvements. stated, HUD has said that there's sort of a ticking timeline for when these repairs need to be made.

The MOU that was referenced in the resolution is completely separate from our \$42 million. And so from that perspective the city has pledged this as a -- 100 percent as a grant, that there is no loan, there's no repayment for the Housing Authority. If the Housing Authority wants to revisit that and wants it to be a loan, or if you don't want the money, we're obviously -- we're open to that suggestion, but it seems like the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Τ	Housing Authority has an intent for now this money
2	would go to critically needed urgent repairs for
3	this property and we were trying to help fill that
4	gap.
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So I'm sorry,
6	the MOU and the 4.5 are not interconnected?
7	COMMISSIONER KENNER: They are not
8	interconnected in that we're as I believe the
9	Housing Authority right now is just considering
10	approving the \$42 million.
11	MS. JOHNSON: There's an MOU to accept
12	the \$4.5 million. And that's what's before the
13	Board in regards to
14	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right.
15	MS. JOHNSON: seeking approval.
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That's what I
17	assumed. It was the acceptance of the MOU.
18	MS. JOHNSON: There's another I
19	think that there is a little bit of confusion, but
20	yes, the MOU that we're referring to that's before
21	the Board right now pertains to allowing this
22	agency to accept \$4.5 million for interim controls

1 at Park Morton. And for the record, I'm Chelsea Thank you. 2 Johnson. 3 MR. GARRETT: And just one piece I just want to mention, Commissioner Kenner, just in terms 4 of our idea and our strategy for transitioning some 5 6 units back to us. In the case of Hopkins and 7 Potomac Gardens it was actually a half a million dollars in savings. So in some cases, in some 8 instances it could work in reverse for us. 9 10 COMMISSIONER KENNER: Which is great 11 because I think that that is a question that this 12 Housing Authority is going to continue to and 13 should be asking it for every single transaction 14 or resolution that comes up how much additional Is this Housing Authority -- is it going 15 money: to cost the Housing Authority, because there are 16 significant costs coming down the road. 17 18 MR. GARRETT: Right. 19 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, I figured everybody was -- the assumption was that the 20 21 property management would be a profit center,

otherwise we wouldn't do it. So thank you for that

1	clarification.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Do we have
3	limited questions? What is the process of asking
4	questions? Do each Commissioner ask one question
5	or two questions, so I can follow protocol
6	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: It depends on
7	the subject matter. It depends upon the subject
8	matter.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Well,
10	we're on the same subject matter. I'm sure you
11	would feel the same way if they were discussing
12	your VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Do
13	you have any questions, Commissioner?
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes,
15	because what I really want to know is we are on
16	19-04, correct?
17	MR. GARRETT: Good morning.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So on 19-04
19	the question I need to ask in regards with my
20	constituents was this, too: (A) With Park Morton,
21	the human capital piece, Section III is a human

1	into consideration that if there will be anything
2	in place for Park Morton that Park Morton residents
3	will be the first to be accepted?
4	And here's what I'm saying: You have
5	Howard University right in Park Morton's back yard.
6	You also have Howard University as a provider. Now
7	whether or not Ms. High requests Howard University,
8	I don't know. But what I would like to know is
9	being that Howard University is in Park Morton's
10	back yard, whether or not anybody in Resident
11	Service sought out any type of technical assistance
12	or any other assistance that Howard University
13	could give Ms. High?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Related to the
15	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay. Now
16	here's what I'm saying:
17	MS. JOHNSON: environmental or
18	just
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm
20	talking about environmental.
21	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Because

see environmental it's quite obvious that it's very hard to do it alone. So if you have Howard University in the back of you and you have students who are getting degrees in environmental studies, that would be one of the vehicles that the Housing Authority could use so that your residents could be fully educated about the environmental history issues. Not only with Park Morton. The recommendation would be any public housing that is near any university, especially if you have one on-site provider.

I would think that the MOU with that university as a part of the human capital would see whether or not you could have negotiated, get some residents from Park Morton to take environmental courses and use -- and you put them together as a team.

And the reason why I'm saying it, there's already a model. And I can bring you a And Potomac Gardens did it with UDC and book. book of how to they gave а do things us it's obvious some environmental. So of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	residents of Potomac Gardens have kept that skill
2	set, because we didn't have too many environmental
3	issues, did we? I'm asking you a question. Did
4	we?
5	MS. JOHNSON: I honestly can't speak to
6	that at this particular point. I don't recall what
7	the outcome was.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Because
9	the issue here, we are talking about environmental,
10	correct?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Right.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay. So
13	I wanted to
14	MS. JOHNSON: And so, Commissioner,
15	that's a great
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: bring
17	that up as a good idea
18	MS. JOHNSON: that's a wonderful
19	point.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: a
21	recommendation.
22	MS. JOHNSON: I will talk to my

1	colleague Mr. Williams about it.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes, could
3	we they do that every especially a university
4	that's in walking distance with us with to
5	with us. And being that you already have a
6	partnership with UDC at your Southwest Enhancement
7	Center, then maybe we could also talk with them and
8	they could teach something down there called
9	environmental.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I will definitely
11	speak with Mr. Williams about that. And just so
12	that the Board is aware, we do have the partnership
13	with Green and Healthy Homes.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
15	MS. JOHNSON: They're the leading
16	experts in the
17	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
18	MS. JOHNSON: nation on this issue
19	and they have been a wonderful resource for our
20	residents and will continue to be through this
21	process.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That's

1 good. But I will also talk to MS. JOHNSON: 2 3 Mr. Williams about your recommendation as well. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 4 5 strongly suggest with Park Morton that we cross our Is and dot our Ts --6 7 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: -- because 8 I'm asking Ms. High to do an audit, and the audit 9 10 is for the previous human capital pieces. You have 11 a collaborative that got paid off of Park Morton. 12 We have on-site providers that's supposed to provide human capital, which every time I say that 13 nobody in DCHA look at me like I'm lost. 14 15 whenever there is a property that's going to be dismantled, I know for a fact you have a human 16 17 capital piece. And let me tell you what that means for the record, if you don't know. 18 19 It means that there is a component and 20 you have your residents already know that they are 21 ready to be dismantled. So the process of

dismantlement is getting the

22

to

residents

self-sufficient position. That was one of the reasons why we have on-site providers.

Now once again I'm saying with Park Morton we have an opportunity to revisit that human capital piece because now since nobody followed my instruction, didn't about care mу recommendation --Ι did ΜV research the Committee on Resident Service Resident Initiative, and we had that collaborative in front of us. I looked like a fool because the collaboratives no longer provide that human capital piece. we would have done it five years ago, they would have received grants. Collaboratives are no longer giving grants to the public housing presidents. So that's something we need to look into.

The human capital piece carries money. You keep giving non-profit money to come in our communities. Now no one is checking, tracking or monitoring the human capital piece. Barry Farm's human capital piece was Perry Munes' community. My collaborative is Edgewood. So a good exercise

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	for the Housing Authority is to look at every public
2	housing, find out who our collaborative is, and Mr.
3	Williams will have those notes, because we only had
4	two that wasn't present. They need to be
5	revisited.
6	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Mr. Chair?
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That's
8	all.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: May I? I
11	sure wouldn't want to be in a position to not
12	to accept \$4.5 million from the city without any
13	strings attached. I think we the Housing
14	Authority would be crazy to do that. In fact, we
15	ought to be applauding the city for their
16	willingness to give us another 4.5 million knowing
17	we're going to go back to the city and we're going
18	to need more money. So I'd like to move that we
19	vote on this issue.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I agree
21	with you, but what I'm also saying, in the past
22	because we rush, and that's why Mr. Kenner who

1	cannot always say what I want to say because New
2	Communities does that human capital piece and the
3	city has put it in. But he can't say that. I did
4	my research and I'm saying it.
5	COMMISSIONER KENNER: I guess I can
6	just for that point and then I'd want to second
7	Commissioner Grossinger's you right, on the
8	human capital piece for the New Communities we
9	are we have our folks here. We're happy to give
10	you a tracking of how we spent the money, who and
11	what our outcomes have been because we could ask
12	that question at our oversight hearing by many
13	council members, so we are used to that.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Was I out
15	of place? Was I out of spoken at this crucial
16	time to put it on record?
17	COMMISSIONER KENNER: So I will just
18	say that we appreciate your passion around this and
19	we're happy to
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And I'm
22	glad that first you the first time that you ever

1	been on the record and said more than a sentence.
2	I thank you for
3	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Absolutely.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI:
5	stepping out of your line and collaborating with
6	me.
7	(Laughter.)
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: With that
9	being said, can I get a motion?
10	PARTICIPANT: There was a
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Second?
12	PARTICIPANT: motion and a second.
13	It was seconded by Commissioner Kenner.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Roll call,
15	please?
16	MS. McNAIR: Thank you.
17	Commissioner Kenner?
18	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Yes.
19	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
20	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
21	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Strickland?
22	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Yes.

1	MS. McNAIR: Let the record reflect
2	that Commissioner Strickland voted yes.
3	Commissioner Taliaferro?
4	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes.
5	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner
6	Vann-Ghasri?
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
8	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
9	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
10	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Bill Slover?
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'm going to
12	abstain. I just don't have enough information to
13	make an accurate vote.
14	MS. McNAIR: Thank you.
15	Commissioner Grossinger?
16	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Yes.
17	MS. McNAIR: And Vice Chairman
18	Council?
19	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes.
20	MS. McNAIR: You have eight yes, one
21	abstention. The resolution is approved.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Resolution
	1

19 - 05.1 That's going to be both 2 MR. GARRETT: Andrea and Mr. McCoy from Housing Choice Voucher 3 and Andrea from OGC. 4 afternoon, 5 MS. POWELL: Good 6 Commissioners and the public. My name is Andrea Powell from the Office of the General Counsel. 7 here with Ron McCoy to present Resolution 19-05, 8 9 is resolution adopt which to emergency 10 regulations to amend the housing choice voucher 11 local preferences in order to provide a way to offer 12 a person in the Public Housing Program a unit in 13 a project-based unit when there's a need for a mandatory transfer. 14 15 So for some background, we've been working -- staff has been working to place people 16 17 in public housing who have a need for a mandatory 18 transfer. lot of times these the Α are 19 environmental issues that we were speaking of. 20 They also may be a public safety transfer and in

Staff is looking at all options to be

some events it may be a relocation.

2.1

able to find an appropriate unit for the person currently in public housing. And this resolution would permit the Housing Authority to offer a person in public housing who needs a mandatory transfer a unit that's currently under a project-based contract. So that's a contract that's with the Voucher Program where we would enter into a contract with an order for a certain number of units for 10 or 15 or 20 years.

We would be able to match the person who needs that mandatory transfer when we are not able to find another unit in our portfolio and provide them with an opportunity to move into a unit that's already under a contract. So this is what this resolution would permit, the ability to allow a person to be referred to a unit in a project-based unit in order to satisfy a need for a mandatory transfer move for environmental, public safety and other necessary relocations.

COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I had a question.

MS. POWELL: Sure.

2.1

1	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: You all are
2	talking about the vouchers and so forth. You have
3	some of your residents that's complaining about
4	when they go to the management, the management
5	telling them they can't they are not allowed for
6	a transfer. And I hear that from my residents.
7	And I want to know what is you all doing. What's
8	going on?
9	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner
10	Taliaferro
11	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I would like
12	to know.
13	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner
14	Taliaferro, what we're talking about we're talking
15	about a different scenario. We're talking about
16	mandatory transfers where residents are
17	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Oh, they're
18	mandatory?
19	MR. GARRETT: These are situations not
20	with this is different from what your
21	constituents may be talking about. What we're
22	talking about are residents that have to have a

1	mandatory transfer because of an environmental
2	issue: that unit is unsafe or
3	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I
4	understand. Okay.
5	MR. GARRETT: That's what we're
6	talking about in this particular instance.
7	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Okay.
8	MR. GARRETT: We're not talking about
9	the a resident that just that feels
LO	uncomfortable
L1	(Simultaneous speaking.)
L2	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Right, it's
L3	more than one residence, but I understand that.
L 4	MR. GARRETT: Yes. Yes, this goes
L5	well beyond just the normal day-to-day transfer.
L6	this is really driven by our environmental issue.
L7	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: This is
L8	mandatory?
L9	MR. GARRETT: Yes, and driven but
20	driven by environmental. It may also be driven
21	by as Andrea said, it might be a public safety
22	issue that we might have to deal with and we don't

1	have any other unit to put that resident in also.
2	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Okay. I
3	understand. Thank you.
4	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I have a
6	hypothetical. So if I'm a resident council
7	president and I have to move for environmental
8	reasons and from what I understand that's a
9	temporary movement because it's a possibility that
10	you can remedy the problem, correct?
11	MR. GARRETT: IN these particular
12	instances, Commissioner, we aren't returning
13	residents to those units. Those units are being
14	taken off line because of the extent of the
15	environmental issue.
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay. So
17	what I'm saying is so I'm a public housing
18	resident and I'm the president of my resident
19	council. So now I have to move, not for public
20	safety, but I'm going to move for environmental.
21	So once I move for move due to environmental
22	issues, am I still the president of my resident

1	council? That's a yes or no answer.
2	PARTICIPANT: Yes.
3	PARTICIPANT: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I got to
5	hear that from the Authority, not from the
6	audience.
7	MS. POWELL: So once the person is
8	moved out of the public housing unit, they would
9	no longer be a public housing resident, so
10	therefore they would not be the resident council
11	president.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Now let me
13	ask you this question: If I move for environmental
14	reasons I'm a resident council president. I'm
15	the authorized agent on your CSC contract. I'm
16	also the authorized agent because at the present
17	time it's a possibility that my unit maybe it
18	was going to be a RAD, or the majority of the
19	residents, they want to dismantle and it's a
20	well-known fact. And I'm the president and I'm
21	moved off the property to a voucher community.

So with those contracts what do you do?

Do you remove my name off of the contracts? And if so, is there a policy to say how many days? Am I -- do I still have keys to my resident council office? Do I still have the right to communicate and find out information with the resident council president as any other elect resident council president? When I move to this environmental situation, do I have a letter that's telling me that I'm no longer the president, A, B and C? That's a yes or no answer.

MS. BONDS: So what would need to be done is through the resident council bylaws the next person who was elected to the president would take on those responsibilities. They would then become the president. There would need to be a transition probably working through the resident council, also through Barry Williams' office, Resident Services. So there would be a transition to make sure the keys got turned over and the proper next person took over the responsibilities.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So at no time you're going to -- at no time I can still remain

2.1

the president?

2.1

MS. POWELL: Once you move to the new unit or that person moves they're not the president any longer. They are now a resident in the new unit.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: How many public safety transfers do we have? How many have you accommodated and how many are still waiting and how do you know -- what is the priority of how do I get a public safety transfer? Based on your regulation how many days would you have to remove me from one location to another?

MR. GARRETT: Commissioner Vann-Ghasri, we would have to get you that information, but I do want to say one thing that did come up towards the end of the year and it was part of our overall look at how we were moving residential transfers was we had another scrub of the public safety transfers. Ms. Johnson headed that up where she went with Public Safety. Public Safety pulled all their records and they went back out to those individuals who had made those

requests for transfers to find out whether or not 1 those transfers were needed and still necessary. 2 3 So we've done that. We can get you the total numbers within a couple of days. 4 5 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you 6 so much. COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: How would this 7 impact our waiting list, HCVP waiting list? 8 The hierarchy would be 9 MR. McCOY: 10 still families already that are -- have emergency 11 situations that are already in our project-based 12 portfolio. By that regulation they have the first 13 right, but that very rarely ever happens to those 14 units, to those vacant units. The next tier normally would be to go to our waiting list to 15 refer -- get someone off our waiting list to refer. 16 Because of the sense of urgency for our 17 ability to move families that are impacted by 18 19 environmental, our waiting list would not be pulled 20 a second tier. That second tier will be as families who need those units that are matched in 2.1

our public housing portfolio to get them out of

harm's way into a unit that meets our compliance.

COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Okay. And
then do we have anything in place to recognize
environmental hazards?

MR. McCOY: Yes.

MR. GARRETT: Yes, through the inspections that we started last year going into the units we have a checklist that is utilized by the inspectors for each unit that we go into to identify exactly what the issues might be and then the team gets together and they create a process of escalating it to a point where repairs can't be made and where residents actually have to be moved.

Now I have to say in some other instances though, Commissioners, we have then gone in remediated the unit, meaning that there might have been mold or mildew and we felt that we had the ability to rectify that particular situation. But there are some extreme cases that we do run into sometimes that just -- it's just not effective to do it that way and we need to actually move residents to another unit or to allow them to

2.1

utilize a voucher.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

MR. McCOY: And to piggyback on the executive director, the executive director has asked that we expand our HELP to be able to accommodate those types of ongoing training and education to allow both our public housing and our Housing Choice Voucher participants on how to recognize things ongoing, like hazards and things like that. And so we will be ruling that out in sort order as quickly as we can to on the public housing residents that are now over in the larger side as well as the entire portfolio.

COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: So can you elaborate what HELP means?

MR. McCOY: HELP is our Housing Enjoyable Livings Program where we work with our program participants to train them on how to be a good neighbor as well some of the basic as housekeeping trainings and things that they need to maintain their units to be in compliance with both our rules and whatever rules that they may have for housekeeping with their private landlord.

1	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	MR. GARRETT: Any more questions?
4	(No audible response.)
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Is there a
6	motion?
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Moved.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Second?
9	PARTICIPANT: Second.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Roll call,
11	please?
12	MS. McNAIR: Thank you.
13	Commissioner St. Jean?
14	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
15	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Strickland?
16	COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND: Yes.
17	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Taliaferro?
18	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Yes.
19	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner
20	Vann-Ghasri?
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
22	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Kenner?
	NEAL B. CDOSS

1	COMMISSIONER KENNER: Yes.
2	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones?
3	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
4	MS. McNAIR: Commissioner Slover?
5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Yes.
6	MS. McNAIR: Vice Chairman Council?
7	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes.
8	MS. McNAIR: You have eight yes. The
9	resolution is approved.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Here's
11	the part where we're going to go into our I guess
12	you can tall it testimony or come before us and
13	speak.
14	First I have is Ms. McGill. Can you
15	state your name and the site that you're from?
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Can I make a
17	request before we start? Can you provide the
18	MOU
19	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: that we
21	just through the approval of 19-04 as soon as
22	it's signed to the Board?

1	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Thank you.
3	MS. McGILL: I'm Sheena McGill and I'm
4	from Colorado Senior Apartment. I was down here
5	before. I was at Ontario Road. I was your
6	president of Ontario Road.
7	I just came down here today to
8	personally thank the Board of Commissioners for
9	taking the take out to listen to me and solve my
10	matter within a timely manner. It was done within
11	the proper time frame.
12	I also want to thank you all also for
12	I also want to thank you all also for providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy
13	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy
13	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy Glynn. And we have an awesome HMA, Ms. Terri Goodwin.
13 14 15	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy Glynn. And we have an awesome HMA, Ms. Terri
13 14 15 16	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy Glynn. And we have an awesome HMA, Ms. Terri Goodwin. I also want to take time out to thank
13 14 15 16 17	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy Glynn. And we have an awesome HMA, Ms. Terri Goodwin. I also want to take time out to thank maintenance because maintenance has been working
13 14 15 16 17	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy Glynn. And we have an awesome HMA, Ms. Terri Goodwin. I also want to take time out to thank maintenance because maintenance has been working wonders. Mr. Ezell Little and my former mechanic
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	providing an awesome property manager, Ms. Dorothy Glynn. And we have an awesome HMA, Ms. Terri Goodwin. I also want to take time out to thank maintenance because maintenance has been working wonders. Mr. Ezell Little and my former mechanic Mr. Tim Parker, they're awesome as well.

1	on a Saturday/Sunday. They have picked up even
2	Ms. Debra Jackson has picked up their phones if I
3	needed them on a Saturday or a Sunday or reached
4	out to me in an email on a Saturday or a Sunday,
5	whether it was 9:00, 10:00, 11:00, 12:00 at night.
6	So I had to personally come down here
7	and make sure I put that on record that you all knew
8	about these staff members that you all have.
9	They're awesome. And I think that I want to stand
10	and give my own applause to all of them.
11	(Applause.)
12	MS. McGILL: And that's all I came down
13	here to say and just to say thank you.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you.
15	Ms. Edwards?
16	MS. EDWARDS: Happy Wednesday,
17	everyone.
18	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Hey, good
19	afternoon.
20	MS. EDWARDS: Good evening. Good
21	afternoon, Commissioners and Director Garrett.
22	The purpose of me being here today is to get

clarification on the way special election resident council voting procedures was done for Stoddert Terrace.

It was a week before election in April 2018. Mr. Frakella Tyler cane to Stoddert so that the residents could meet the candidates, which I was one of the residents running for vice president. He went over the dos and don'ts of the election and asked did everyone understand the election package that we had signed. It was a yes. Mr. Tyler then closed out this meeting and said it's in your hands to introduce ourselves to each other.

Well, on Thursday, April the 26th, 2018 at 11:58 a.m., which was election day, I saw one of the candidates, Kanika Brown, still passing out flyers with the whole board members on it saying we run as one. Vote yes.

According to ORS Campaigning Rules 3, 6, 8 and 9, on page 4, it states: Any complaint regarding violation of campaigning rules and regulations must be submitted to ORS in writing with supporting documents. I have with me today

2.1

1 documents to support what it states. My point is I submitted my grievancy 2 3 with proof to ORS on May 1st, 2018. I could not understand why it was taking ORS so long to render 4 5 a decision that matters so much to the residents 6 and I. 7 On Tuesday, September the 18th, 2018 I emailed Mr. Larry Williams to get updates on my 8 What a surprise, when I came home from 9 grievancy. work an old card was in my door with stretched out 10 words such as Chief of Staff, Office of Executive 11 12 Director from Mr. Knox. I called him to see what he wanted with 13 14 He replied he wanted to come by to find out me. about what took place with the election. Mr. Knox 15 came by a week before Christmas and stated he worked 16 I guess that's General Counsel Office. 17 for GCO. PARTICIPANT: 18 Yes. I kindly told him what 19 MR. EDWARDS: 20 took place. Не told me that he knew t.he candidate's mother Karen Settles. 2.1 His words was

I've been knowing Karen for a long time.

22

I'm going

to pay her daughter Kanika a visit.

2.1

Mr. Knox had a copy of the flyer I emailed, but it was dark so he came back to get a clear copy. Mr. Knox said Kanika Brown admit to him she was passing out flyers with all the board members' name on it on election day. I again reached back out to Mr. Williams on December 23rd, 2018 to get status on my grievancy process. His words were, Ms. Edwards, the matter is still being sorted out.

ORS is not responding to making a decision in this matter. As a concerned resident I feel I have been dis-respected for 10 months my grievancy is still on mute waiting for OGs to hold my grievancy in a professional manner and it's almost May 2019.

Question: Is this how Department of Housing
Authority handle business with their concerned
residents daily?

Lastly, I have dedicated my service to Stoddert/Fort Dupont and I will continue because I am a part of the village and the patience and

1	passion I have for children if I can repeat,
2	children and their families. I spare no pain to
3	do anything possible for the good of the people in
4	our community. Thanks everyone for your time.
5	Frieda Edwards.
6	(Applause.)
7	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Are there any
8	questions?
9	MR. GARRETT: I do have a comment.
10	Commissioners, I believe this is with the OGC and
11	they are investigating exactly what the next steps
12	should be. I don't know whether or not Mr.
13	Slaughter or Mr. Williams want to if they would
14	like to offer any comments on the status, but we
15	do know that it is being looked into by OGC
16	currently.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Do we have a
18	timeline on how long it's going to take?
19	MR. GARRETT: I have to defer to Mr.
20	Slaughter on that.
21	MR. SLAUGHTER: Yes, we did a
22	preliminary pass and we should be able to finish

1	our second pass later this week.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. All
3	right. Thank you, Ms. Edwards.
4	MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Carroll?
6	(No response.)
7	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Mary Carroll?
8	(No response.)
9	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Belt?
10	MS. BELT: All righty.
11	MS. CARROLL: I was kind of asleep back
12	there, but good afternoon.
13	I moved from I'm Detrice Belt. I'm
14	the chair of the Barry Farms Tenants and Allies.
15	I just recently move from Barry Farms about two
16	weeks or more. The move was very hard for me. I'm
17	still I still have things in my unit because I
18	was rushed to move. We had to move into my unit
19	by a specific time all the way on another side of
20	town. I'm a different now I'm in a different
21	ward, so I'm very upset about that. That I was Ward
	I and the second

8, and now I don't even know what ward I am now.

I still consider myself Ward 8.

2.1

But we had to move at a specific time. We had to be at my place. Then we had to put things in storage. The movers were -- I was told that HOU was going to move me, was going to put things in storage and we were going to be able to trash whatever. They would be able to get rid of everything else and put it in the trash, do a bulk trash. We were not able to do the bulk trash because we had to rush and get into my new place at a specific time, all this in one day.

So that was very hard, very stressful for me because I didn't want to move. I still feel that the -- everything could have been done a different way. I feel that we should have been able to just move on the property. It was very hard.

So talking with my property like receptionist and just expressing that -- because we had to do like a walk-through after the fact and I still have things in my house. So she's like there's going to be a charge. And I'm saying the

maintenance men that's at Barry Farm property are not doing any daily maintenance calls or having issues going on with other residents, why can't they move the remaining little teeny bit of things that are left in my house?

Why am I going to be charged a \$300 fee for the rest of the things that were -- that was told to me that in this move it will be so smooth. You'll be able to move your things. They'll be able to trash things and you'll be able to go to storage? We were not able to do all of those things.

So I don't know how I'm going to be able to afford to pay or it's going to go on my ledger. I already owe enough to the Housing Authority, which I think is unfair for me living inn those conditions in Barry Farms for so long.

Then our mail -- once I moved there's a mail system issue. The mail is not being delivered at Barry Farms no longer. I called all these places and they said that -- no one's there.

Nobody's -- I called like the Postal Service and

2.1

they're like no one's there so that mail person is not going to be delivering anymore mail there. I think that's unfair. A lot of residents were still coming back to check their mailbox even if they were gone. So they're like we'll try to -- you know, whatever I had to do with the Postal Service.

I only received like two pieces of mail.

I'm waiting on my -- all my information to be transferred over to my new place. It has not been done yet. I think that's very concerning. And there are still residents there. I had to tell the Postal Service that everybody has not moved, because even if you've taken a place and chose to go somewhere, it's still a process and there are still residents there. My mom still lives on the property.

So all of this is just very concerning. I don't want this charge. If you could talk to the people in Barry Farms. I don't know. They should not charge me because I was told that HOU or whatever, the relocation team will be able to -- it's still there. My apartment -- my place is

2.1

still open and it's just a little bit of things. 1 I know residents who have left before this whole 2 forced move-out thing took place over two years 3 There was families that moved on their own 4 ago. 5 and they have left their whole unit and I know they 6 were not charged \$300. MR. GARRETT: Ms. Belt, there won't be 7 a charge. 8 9 Okay. And then so I just MS. BELT: 10 want to know now like what's going on. What's 11 We haven't heard anything as far as happening. 12 what's the next steps, what's going on. I'm 13 worried. You all had an emergency meeting I didn't know about last month. I'm very concerned of the 14 15 future of Barry Farm. I want to get back as soon 16 as possible. I'm not comfortable living another part of DC. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Commissioner? 18 19 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Director 20 Garrett, here's my recommendation for the mail: 2.1 When this happens again maybe we can have a list

of all the names.

22

But we're going to have one

problem: I guess we won't, because if you have the list of all the names who relocated in that community and you give their address -- then with the United States Postal Service you can call the master general office. And being that residents may not want to take the responsibility, or they may not even know how to move from one place to another and that you have to do it through the Postal Service. So I think -- and then you have -- like I said, you have residents who don't know the system.

Authority through maybe the Office of Resident Service, being that you already know the names, you already know where the person going to live, just give the United States Postal Service a master list. So that would cover the DCHA and it would cover whether a person knew the process or didn't, because that's what's holding this up. And I don't want to see Park Morton and nobody else be hold up like Barry Farms.

So we have learned from our mistakes.

2.1

We're going to always make some mistakes, Ms. Belt, but I can assure you they will be new ones.

Now once we take your advice; and we may do this, but if a resident ask you why it's being done because they didn't want somebody to do it, I would appreciate it you'd tell them that you came to the Board meeting and it impact Barry Farms. And it would become a policy. That's how it become policy.

I really started that. Like I tell people -- I get blamed all the time with communities don't saying Commissioner Vann-Ghasri work communities. She's together with other territorial. I don't lie. I tell them, yes, I'm territorial. I tell the resident community be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

territorial. Identify your own issues. So that's not blamed on the Housing Authority. The Housing Authority is not territorial. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri is. So I make that very clear so you don't blame all of us.

And I really appreciate you coming here sharing that about the United States Postal Service. Thank you so much.

And I hope you take that into consideration. And we also may have people in Barry Farms that here help so. They could be hearing-impaired as well. So we may need to do that.

Thank you, Ms. Belt.

MR. GARRETT: So what we'll do is Commissioners -- I don't know if I made you aware, but we did internally start to create a -- we've created our own relocation unit now that will deal specifically with these situations, especially with the environmental coming up. So we have an internal unit that's going to deal with relocation. So I'm glad Ms. Belt brought that up about the mail

2.1

2	where we engage residents that might be something
3	that we add to make sure that and ensure that
4	they complete a forwarding mail address or
5	something like that so that their mail can be
6	delivered to them. I don't know whether or not the
7	postmaster will accept a master list or not.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: We don't
9	know. All you have to do is
10	MR. GARRETT: But we'll do that. But
11	we'll make sure that each resident knows how to ask
12	for mail forwarding if that's whatCOMMISSIONER
13	VANN-GHASRI: And not only Ms. Belt. I'm going to
14	speak for the rest of the constituents. Just like
15	you told Ms. Belt she won't have to pay \$300, make
16	sure that nobody else do either.
17	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
19	so much.
20	MS. BELT: It wasn't just about the
21	forwarding, Tyrone. It just would have been very
22	helpful that if like the postal or somebody, the
	11

delivery. So through our mobility counseling

Housing Authority, should have sent out notice to residents that your mail was no longer going to be there. Now I'm not -- I don't have any of my mail. Just two pieces of mail. So I'm very worried that I won't get orders. And I have my orders luckily to my new place, but I'm just -- and even with the new place they have a whole way of -- it's different.

At Barry Farm we were able to get our packages put at our door and it was safe. For me. I know I got packages delivered. Now and it's like a whole situation where they may not deliver some things at the new place. I'll have to -- they don't even know -- I said, okay, well, where do I pick it up at? And they said, oh, that's a good question. We don't know yet. And it's nothing in my mail that says come -- like you normally get like a little card that says pick it up at this location. So I'm just very worried. And this whole move is just very hard and that's why we really were just trying to keep it --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Belt, if I
2	may
3	MS. BELT: hard for other
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: if I may
6	suggest something. Did you do a change of address?
7	MS. BELT: Yes. Yes. After the fact.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: If they're not
9	delivering anymore mail
10	MS. BELT: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: wherever
12	your main post office is at Barry Farms
13	MS. BELT: Yes.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: that's
15	where they will hold your mail until they get that
16	change of address. So that's where everything
17	should be waiting for you.
18	MS. BELT: Right, that's what happened
19	to even it was a woman that talked she they
20	were going to do that. Then I went up there to get
21	it and she had to call somebody and she's like now
22	it's going to be sent to your other place. And I'm

1	like I would prefer to pick it up here because when
2	you go send it somewhere else, things can get messed
3	up. And so far I only got two pieces of mail. And
4	I know I have a bulk of mail. And I it's tax
5	time. I want my W-2s.
6	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I got a
7	question for you. What property are you at again?
8	MS. BELT: Now I'm at Hayes.
9	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Hayes?
10	MS. BELT: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: 5201?
12	MS. BELT: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: Okay. One
14	think about the post office and I did a transfer
15	saying forward
16	MS. BELT: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: the best
18	thing to do, when you get that letter, you should
19	be doing your transfer at the post office at that
20	time, because if you wait
21	MS. BELT: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: two,

1	three months later after they give you that letter
2	and you're ready to move
3	MS. BELT: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: you
5	might you're going to have a hard time.
6	MS. BELT: No, the thing was Barry
7	Farms should've had gave residents saying like you
8	like or it was nothing. We were still able to
9	check our mail. And then all of a sudden just
10	nothing was in the mailbox. And then I just and
11	it was like nobody told informed residents, hey,
12	your mail will not be delivered here anymore.
13	COMMISSIONER TALIAFERRO: I got you.
14	Thank you.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Are there any
16	more questions?
17	(No response.)
18	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay.
19	PARTICIPANT: The lady from Garfield
20	wanted the one on this list that wanted they
21	got a ride. They want to know can you get them
22	next?

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Sure Ms.
2	Mitchell could come next.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Who's Ms.
4	Mitchell?
5	MR. GARRETT: Ye, she sure can. Come
6	on, Ms. Mitchell.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Come on, Ms.
8	Mitchell. Then after that everybody else come on
9	up.
10	MS. MITCHELL: Hello, everybody.
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Hey, good
12	afternoon.
13	MS. MITCHELL: I'm about to go to
14	sleep.
15	(Laughter.)
16	MS. MITCHELL: Taking you all so long.
17	And, Mr. Council, I heard what you said. If I lays
18	in the sand, you were going to put me up. Now, I
19	mean, you didn't say it exactly like
20	that
21	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Right.
22	MS. MITCHELL: but that's what you

1	meant. But don't try it with me.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MS. MITCHELL: Okay? Hold on. This
4	is the new secretary of the Resident Council at
5	Garfield.
6	(Applause.)
7	MS. MITCHELL: Mr. Garrett
8	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. MITCHELL: I really want to
10	thank you for making it so that we could have a new
11	election.
12	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
13	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you.
14	MR. GARRETT: You're welcome.
15	MS. MITCHELL: Give him a hand.
16	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
17	(Applause.)
18	MS. MITCHELL: And I want to bring my
19	new president up with me. Now I'm going to let them
20	talk. I'm not going to say nothing.
21	MS. NORMAN: Actually, no, I am the new
22	secretary of Garfield Terrace Resident Council.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: State your name and the site. 2 3 MS. NORMAN: Okay. My name is Angela Norman and I am the new secretary at Garfield 4 5 Terrace Resident Council. 6 Commissioners and Director 7 Garrett, we would like to thank the Commission for the opportunity to present the needs and requests 8 9 of Garfield Terrace residents. We would also like 10 to acknowledge Larry Williams for providing some 11 requested for of the items appropriate an 12 leadership of resident transition and your council. 13 14 The following demands are a result of evidence-based finding of an equity financial 15 16 transaction by our former vice president, 17 treasurer, and poor financial management by DC Housing Authority staff from Office of Resident 18 19 Services. 20 DCHA ORS staff under the supervision of Director Garrett did not have accurate control over 2.1 22 granted funds, did not establish appropriate

financial aid and project management logs of the vendors and orders, did not retain adequate accounting records, did not follow proper procedures and paid vendors for services not performed and/or of low quality.

For the reasons above we seniors and residents are here to demand immediate special for vice president and treasurer election the remaining transfer all funds, 29,040 29 --40 -- 29 thousand 40 -- 6.68 cents from the Niles Bolton Association Community Agreement, 57,558 directly to Garfield Resident Council. Four unit -- (3) four unit to be put off-line. assessed that likely over 30 units are available to be used for training on workforce and economic development programs. (4) For management provide us with a written policy for parking and that we want them reserved with the resident council at the table and addressing our needs. (5) An audit of the 2.5 million spent by Department of Energy and Environment two-year weatherization and solar installation project at Garfield Terrace.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The demands above will guarantee that our resident council/Garfield Terrace residents have the ability to manage our own housing project, provide for our own opportunity for economic development grants, grants us self-sufficiency and self-determination, improve our living conditions and enhance direct services for our seniors and residents.

MR. UMAR: Sincerely, Mr. Umar, president of Garfield Terrace.

We got sworn in the last of November and it was not a smooth transition at all by resident manager. At all by Mr. Tyler. It was not a transition at all. We had a dinner that I asked you yourself to come to our property because it was all messed up. Everything is messed up at our property. I asked personally Mr. Garrett. you a document of what we sent to Mr. Tyler. never replied. You gave a promise that you would come there. You didn't. I spoke to you -- I tried to talk to you several times. Always got diverted by Mr. Williams or somebody else to talk directly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to you about our problems.

2.1

For one, we had got, not a grant, a donation for \$67,558. At our first meeting I asked them to bring the paperwork of how much money was spent for that. When they -- we got this from Mr. Williams. It ain't even really a statement. It's not signed by anybody. It doesn't anything but Garfield Terrace. It said that we had 11 -- from \$67,558 they told us all we had was \$11,800 -- 900 -- I mean, 11,893.93 left. I said that's wrong. We need to audit it now. Right now.

You know what happened the next week? They came back and told us, correction, we now have \$29,040.68.

(Applause.)

MR. UMAR: Now also -- I didn't -- well, my folder right there, but it's cool. I don't need to break for that because we're already late. But my thing is is this: The information that Mr. Williams sent us was all discombobulated. It was all mixed up with Park Morton property and it said 68,000. It was \$67,000. When I added it up, it

was discombobulated because all of the count came 1 to \$82,000. How it got that a way, y'all fault. 2 3 My thing is that even the equipment that you ordered -- this is a senior citizen 4 Why would you order office supply for 5 6 a senior citizen building? We have a -- we have 7 two televisions in there that costed \$4,200. are not televisions. They are monitors. They are 8 monitors. We have furniture in there that -- they 9 10 pay for outside benches. If Ms. Mitchell sit in it, it's going to break. They that cheap. We have 11 12 a microwave that you pay -- a commercial microwave 13 you paid \$302 for? A microwave for a senior citizen place? 14 You also stated a lot of stuff that you 15 did that could have been done with housing that you 16 I have all the documents because Mr. 17 charged. Williams sent them to me. 18 Right? 19 And also the stuff that you supposed to have done in our unit was not done properly. 20 2.1 Right now we are requesting -- since you lied 22 about our money, immediately we want a check today for \$29,040.68 today before we leave this building because Mr. Williams told me and written me an email when I told him we need that money before Wednesday, before we got here. He prolonged again. We'll talk to you Thursday. Thursday is too late. We need our money today before we leave here.

(Applause.)

MR. UMAR: We want a vote on it. If not, we're taking it to the media. We're taking it to the media. Because all this information is inaccurate. We got documents from Howard University say one thing. Y'all say one thing. Is not right. You overspent our money.

Besides that, you -- we also found out from y'all opening up a can of worms we went fishing. Two point seven -- two point five million dollars signed by you, Mr. Garrett, that you completed in September, September the 17th, 2018, where you said that you installed solar paneling successfully on Garfield Terrace senior citizen building. For one, I'm going to ask any of

y'all -- do any of y'all know about solar?

Probably not. We read it. Installed it. You haven't told the resident manager, the president, nobody how that solar is install, how it operate.

We know how it do.

\$2.5 million on some shower heads that government give us already. I don't know how you spent on the thermostats that don't work in our building at all. They are not compatible with the system. They run on two levels: hot or cold. That's it. You have to turn it off at a certain because you will get a nosebleed. And you get too cold. See, my sergeant at arms couldn't make it today because she's sick from the heat because she couldn't turn it off. They got trouble turning it on and off.

And also we would like for you, all of you all to come in our building, and everyone else to come in our building and walk from the 10th floor down and you show us how you spent 2.5 million that don't exist.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(Applause.)

1.3

2.1

MR. UMAR: But you're saying that we — you sit there and saying that the housing is in trouble. I done been in your office. It's pimped out. I done seen the new trucks you got. It's pimped out. We need stuff done for housing. I know you can answer to that part real quick, but what I am saying today when we leave here we want a check for \$29,000. If not, we will meet the media out front because we want our money. We know how to manage it. And it's proven that you lied and said that it was 11,000, but when I said audit that, but it's 29,000.

And for the record to reflect, Mr. Taliaferro, you are the senior over the seniors. For the record I need you to help us get every single minute for our 250 -- for our \$2.5 million. If you audit records on us, we want them audit on you every single minute, every minute for this two -- and if you come up on the roof; you said you fixed the solar, it's leaking. It's leaking. It's leaking. It's leaking when you finished it and you sit there and signed it and said

that it was complete. It's incomplete, out of compliance, mismanage of money. And we want to see it. We want to see all of it.

And that -- and with that, that \$2.5 million was dedicated to Garfield Terrace. Guess what? When y'all finish this audit that we want, we want the remaining dollars spent on us. We would like to be able to govern our own money because it's evident that the money has been misappropriated. And if you need the proof, it's all right here. I got it from you all. Mr. Williams his self.

And I'm tired of you telling us to keep waiting. I've been in office since December. December. And excuse me for being loud. That's just the way I am. That's just the tone of my voice. So don't get that misconstrued at all.

But what I am saying once again for the record if we do not -- somebody come down and give us a check today, guess what, y'all will be on the news tomorrow. Whatever channel take it with all the information right here. Every single thing.

2.1

Because, Mr. Garrett, you are out of compliance. I asked you several times to come up there. Several times. Now the water -- if you go out -- not only that, if you look on top of that roof, they said they spent a million dollars. It's a disaster. The bricks ain't done right. And it wasn't even power washed when they was finished. And the rails are still weak. And they knocked down one light from up there that wasn't replaced. And you -- and they said they charged us a million dollars.

We don't want to sit here and keep waiting on no check that you keep saying that you got to go through another service and it's in the same building right after you sit there and told me that you had already had -- you had already talked to them. I'm going into a meeting right now to talk about this. Then I get a email wait until Thursday. We don't want to wait until Thursday. We don't want to no other days. We want our -- stop passing the buck. You opened up a can of worms, we fishing. We want to know about this and

these other grants.

2.1

And for the record I would like for everybody that's on these properties, senior and the families, check your web site. Go online to Facebook and type in grants for your property. I trust and believe you, they done put -- they done got grants on your property because they got one with us mixed up with Park Morton -- I mean Ledroit Park. So apparently you don't mixed up money with Ledroit Park, too, because this is more than enough that we supposedly had gotten. And then you mixed it up. So we want our money today. If not, like I told you, the news media will hear about all of y'all.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Mr. Umar, may I ask you a question?

MR. UMAR: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: First and foremost, I want to thank Larry Williams of the District of Columbia Housing Authority, Office of Resident Service. I chair that committee. And I appreciate the fact that you sit before us today

1	and you tell us that we have a director of resident
2	service who actually gave you all the information.
3	Let's give Mr. Williams a clap.
4	(Applause.)
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Is this the
6	first time that you experienced that you finally
7	got documents that you requested under for
8	Office of Resident Service?
9	MR. UMAR: Say again?
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Is this the
11	first time you requested information on the Office
12	of Resident Service prior to Mr. Garrett coming
13	here? Have you ever?
14	MR. UMAR: Well, no, first when we
15	first had the problem I spoke to I tried to speak
16	to Mr. Garrett, but I got being diverted back to
17	everybody else. But Mr like you say, Mr.
18	Williams did do his diligent job.
19	I commend you on that, Mr. Williams. I
20	can't knock you for that. Just that you got caught
21	in the mix of what was going on. But I appreciate
22	that. But no.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That's all
2	I wanted for the record, to make the record reflect
3	correctly. Thank you so much.
4	(Applause.)
5	MR. UMAR: Now could I get a reply back
6	about our money?
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Can I ask a quick
8	question, if that's okay?
9	MR. UMAR: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Can you tell me
11	what the \$67,558 grant from the Niles Bolton
12	Association what was the original intent for it?
13	What was the money supposed to be used for? Why
14	was it granted to you?
15	MR. UMAR: Well, it wasn't a grant.
16	Actually it was a donation, right? It wasn't a
17	grant. But it was I wasn't the president at the
18	time, but I lived in the building. It was for
19	to fix the ninth floor community room. Also the
20	second floor community room. And our ninth floor
21	outside porch deck, our outside community room

22

off -- outside.

1	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And who was the
2	money given to? In other words, it was given from
3	this association to whom?
4	MR. UMAR: It was never given to us at
5	all. It was always directed by them. It should
6	have it's supposed
7	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Who is them?
8	MR. UMAR: Mr. Garrett. His name is on
9	this.
10	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay.
11	MR. UMAR: Him and Mr. Fasad Taylor.
12	They directed this.
13	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. So, Mr.
14	Garrett, can you explain to me the money was
15	never given to the Garfield Resident council?
16	MR. UMAR: No, not at all.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Or was it never
18	intended to be given to them?
19	MR. UMAR: It should have been.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: But why?
21	MR. UMAR: Ask them.
22	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'm asking you.

1	MR. UMAR: Well, I don't know why. I
2	wasn't the president at the time, but it should have
3	been.
4	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, you're
5	coming and you're asking for the money, so
6	MR. UMAR: Yes, well, I'm asking for
7	the money because they lied and said it was six
8	I mean, \$11,000, but it's 26,000. We can govern
9	our own money.
10	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: But I'm
11	wondering
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI:
13	Commissioner Slover, he's the 2018 elect council.
14	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: What I'm asking
15	is
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	MR. UMAR: It was a special election.
18	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'll get I'm
19	interested more in how the money was awarded, to
20	whom it was awarded and for what it was awarded for.
21	MR. GARRETT: Both Mr. Slaughter and

Commissioner Slover.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Because you're demanding us give you a check and I'm just wondering what authority you would have to do that.

MR. SLAUGHTER: Ken Slaughter, general counsel. In fact I negotiated the transfer, the support of the community room improvements at Garfield with the lawyer for the developer. There's a new building across the street from Garfield and as a part of their effort to support — gain community support certainly from the tenant group at Garfield they also secured support from the Ledroit Park tenant group and that's why you see expenditures from both — for both enterprises.

The monies were never intended to go directly to the tenant group. They were directed to the Housing Authority to make the improvements, it included furniture and and some I didn't know about the improvements to that area. I certainly knew about the ninth second floor. floor. And so there is no -- whatever may have been accounting discrepancy discovered by Mr. an

1	Williams is after the fact. He was not here nor
2	involved in any expenditures, nor was Mr. Slover.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That's
4	correct.
5	MR. SLAUGHTER: So these all this
6	all predated, except me, the current regime. And
7	the effort of course is to make sure that we're
8	fully accounting for all expenditures and that
9	more importantly that the improvements are done as
10	contemplated in the arrangement.
11	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Absolutely.
12	I'm just trying to get to the bottom of the thought
13	or the feeling that there should be a check written
14	to the Garfield Resident Council Association
15	MR. SLAUGHTER: That's not the intent.
16	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: because
17	and the reason I asked when the money was given by
18	the developer, it was sounds like it was given
19	to DCHA on behalf of doing these improvements. So
20	as the controller of the money we really don't have
21	the right
22	MR. SLAUGHTER: We do not.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: -- to give the money to anybody else. And so really what it sounds like is we have to sit down and make sure that the resident council feels like we have spent the money efficiently and effectively and exhausted all those resources and just really not an accounting situation. And then whatever monies are left over, make sure they're directed to what they were given for.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And, Mr. Umar, please, may I say something, because you're not on the record, right? Beau Luther is the 2018 elect resident council president who has the right with the transition to acquire all monies, all door keys from the previous council, because they were just taught that at the 2018 resident retreat. So what he did was exercise his rights, but he didn't just say 2018. And so we was confused. A lot of -the Commissioners was confused whether or not you -- the previous president or the present. in your testimony always say you the 2018 elect president so that would clear that. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MR. UMAR: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And that's
3	all I wanted to say
4	MR. UMAR: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI:
6	Commissioner Slover because I know your mind like
7	you know mine.
8	(Laughter.)
9	MR. UMAR: Mr. Garrett?
10	MR. GARRETT: No, I don't have anything
11	to say.
12	MR. UMAR: I mean you should. I mean
13	you really should.
14	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Slover
15	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, that's
16	where I'm confused. So we're really
17	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Slover really
18	answered I mean Mr. Slaughter answered the
19	question in terms of the remaining money.
20	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: I'm confused as
21	to the demand for the money. As a Commissioner our
22	job is respond to these things. And I want to be

responsive, but -- and so I asked where the money was -- in other words, the grant was given to the DCHA. So the developer gave -- it sounds to me like, from what I'm being told here, that the money, the grant or the gift, or whatever, the donation, whatever you want to call it was given from the entity -- the receiving entity was DCHA, not the Garfield Resident Council.

MR. UMAR: It was part of Garfield -if was our -- it was also Howard's -- Howard
University also was involved with it, too. They
got pushed out, too, by Housing.

COMMISSIONER SLOVER: But what I'm saying is that from a legal transfer perspective the money was transferred from entity A to entity B, entity A being the developer, entity B being The money is what we'll call earmarked to called perform the services as for by grant/donation. And so really the discrepancy is about whether all the money has been spent and whether it's been spent to the right place. It's not about cutting you a check for \$20,000. It's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	about making sure that all 67,558 have been
2	expended where they should be.
3	MR. GARRETT: Correct.
4	MR. UMAR: But it was already
5	misappropriated from the beginning when we first
6	mentioned this with all this stuff that was why
7	would you buy office furniture for a senior citizen
8	building from Staples?
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Well, those all
10	judgment calls.
11	MR. UMAR: They ain't judgments. They
12	facts. They got them.
13	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: No, but I mean
14	the money was spent. I'm saying you may not
15	MR. UMAR: It was uselessly spent.
16	Uselessly.
17	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Right. You
18	might I understand that that's
19	MR. UMAR: So why should we continue to
20	keep letting it be why should we continue to let
21	it keep being usefully spent I spent unwisely
22	when you just sit there they just sit there and

1	say that we had 11,000 until I spoke to Mr. Williams
2	and he made a correction and got it straight to
3	29,000?
4	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Okay. So
5	now
6	MR. UMAR: So you think we should
7	continue to
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: that you know
LO	that you there's 29,040.68, maybe I would suggest
L1	that you guys sit down and see how we could best
L2	spend that 29,000.68.
L3	MR. UMAR: We already tried that.
L 4	Every
L5	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Oh, okay.
L6	MR. UMAR: we keep getting a
L7	runaround.
L8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Mr. Umar
L9	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: And so that
20	would be my suggestion.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: don't
22	kill the policy.

1	MR. UMAR: I mean, what about our
2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Right now
4	you're winning, Ms. Mitchell. Don't lose.
5	You're winning right now.
6	MR. UMAR: What about our \$2.5 million,
7	Mr. Garrett?
8	MR. UMAR:
9	MR. GARRETT: As you identified, Mr.
10	Umar, those that went into improvements,
11	correct?
1.0	MR. UMAR: No, it wasn't improvement.
12	Mr. OPAR. NO, it wash t improvement.
13	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why
13	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why
13	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why I'd like for you all to come up there and start from
13 14 15	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why I'd like for you all to come up there and start from the 10th floor and go down. And you can itemize
13 14 15 16	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why I'd like for you all to come up there and start from the 10th floor and go down. And you can itemize and tell us how you spent \$2.5 million.
13 14 15 16 17	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why I'd like for you all to come up there and start from the 10th floor and go down. And you can itemize and tell us how you spent \$2.5 million. MR. GARRETT: Okay.
13 14 15 16 17 18	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why I'd like for you all to come up there and start from the 10th floor and go down. And you can itemize and tell us how you spent \$2.5 million. MR. GARRETT: Okay. MR. UMAR: I mean, that's what we're
13 14 15 16 17 18	It's a disaster. If you that's the reason why I'd like for you all to come up there and start from the 10th floor and go down. And you can itemize and tell us how you spent \$2.5 million. MR. GARRETT: Okay. MR. UMAR: I mean, that's what we're asking you.

1	asking I would like the record to reflect the
2	whole Board come, not just Mr. Garrett, not his
3	investigator, nobody from the sideline, the whole
4	Board.
5	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
6	MR. UMAR: The whole Board. I would
7	like that to reflect that. I would like for you
8	at least to give me a date or time that it can happen
9	or transpire. And we would like to get all of the
10	minutes from the \$2.5 million.
11	Mr. Garrett?
12	MR. GARRETT: Okay. We can go back and
13	look at the documents and identify exactly what the
14	expenditures were.
15	MR. UMAR: And when can you all get up
16	there and walk through there and we can explain to
17	you how it didn't work at all
18	MR. GARRETT: I can be there on Friday.
19	MR. UMAR: because we still
20	suffering. What?
21	MR. GARRETT: I can be there on Friday.
22	MR. UMAR: You or the Board?

1	MR. GARRETT: I can't speak for the
2	rest of the Board. I can speak for myself.
3	MR. UMAR: Well, we want the Board. We
4	don't want just you.
5	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
6	MR. UMAR: We want the Board. We want
7	everybody to see how our money was spent. I mean,
8	it's not hard.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Schedule a
10	date.
11	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I think
13	that's something you may have to schedule.
14	(Off-microphone comment.)
15	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am. And we did.
16	(Off-microphone comment.)
17	MR. GARRETT: And we did, because we
18	this first came up, if you recall if you recall
19	this first came up at a town hall meeting that I
20	had where I was told that there was additional money
21	remaining and that's when we initiated the
22	allocation to identify exactly what the

itemization of the remaining money was. And we got
that information over to you because the young lady
brought it to my attention at that town hall
meeting. So that's what actually initiated the
first document from ORS and then the subsequent
documents came after that.
MR. UMAR: Also, what about our special
election? We need a special election on vice
president and the treasure immediately.
MR. GARRETT: Okay. Your bylaws will
follow that. You have a bylaws.
MR. UMAR: Yes. Well, yes, that's
we followed the bylaws already that y'all wrote;
not we did. We didn't write these bylaws. Y'all
wrote these. So let the record reflect, too.
Y'all updated these. We didn't. They're not our
bylaws.
MR. GARRETT: No, we
MR. UMAR: Council didn't even write
these either.
MR. GARRETT: The pro bono attorney,
Commissioners, DL Piper

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay.
2	MR. GARRETT: created or worked
3	with this resident council on the bylaws, not
4	MR. UMAR: What resident council? Not
5	us?
6	MR. GARRETT: It wasn't the Housing
7	Authority.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: It was
9	the trust me, it was the previous resident
10	council.
11	MR. UMAR: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: My
13	recommendation is that the bylaws can be updated
14	every I would recommend for you to call DL Piper.
15	I work very good with the attorney. Let him know
16	who you are. Already have what you want your
17	bylaws to be drafted. I highly recommend that in
18	your bylaws that you would do a provision that
19	states that once a president is elected on your
20	board or anybody that get elected that the rest of
21	it say appointed. You don't need a special

election. You should be able to appoint the rest

1	of your Board. You've in office too long. We
2	already been here for a year. So I think that you
3	should request that you will be able to appoint your
4	executive board and resident service the moment
5	you're appointed. They have longer than five
6	calendar days to swear you in. That's my
7	recommendation.
8	MR. UMAR: Yes, ma'am.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And I'm not
10	your Commissioner.
11	MR. UMAR: I go by that, too. Thank
12	you.
13	(Off-microphone comment.)
14	MR. UMAR: Vice president. Vice
15	president, Ms. Mitchell.
16	MS. MITCHELL: Vice president. But he
17	was also acting as the president. And he just
18	he even had checks he was holding and he just
19	deposit them day before yesterday.
20	MR. UMAR: Thirty-two hundred dollars.
21	MS. MITCHELL: Thirty-two hundred
22	dollars.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: You're going
2	to want to put that on record, because I don't want
3	to put that on record unless you know for a fact.
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Allegedly.
6	You all cannot slander someone else. This is on
7	record. I know you all are angry, but certain
8	stuff you can't put on record.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: If it's a
LO	fact and you have a document in front of you, then
L1	it's not allegedly. If it was information that was
L2	provided by you, by a third party. But if you have
L3	a documentation that have DCHA letterhead and one
L 4	of the DCHA employee's name on it, it's not
L5	allegedly. The document that you presented to us
L6	wasn't allegedly documented. It was a fact.
L7	MR. UMAR: It's a fact right here. Now
L8	you're going to lie? It's a fact. Would you like
L9	to see? You want a copy?
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'll be
21	quite frank with you. I don't want to see it
22	because if it's in litigation, nobody on this Board

1	can respond to that anyway because it may be in an
2	investigation litigation perspective. So this is
3	what I always say when I'm winning: I say good
4	afternoon and I leave.
5	MR. UMAR: Well, I am finished.
6	(Applause.)
7	MR. UMAR: So who will we talking to
8	about our money, like yesterday?
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: You would
10	have to ask Mr. Council. He's now the Chair.
11	(Simultaneous speaking.)
12	MR. UMAR: Okay. Well, good
13	afternoon.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Our
15	next witness is Debra Frazier.
16	Rhonda Edwards-Hines?
17	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Good afternoon.
18	Can you all hear me because I got a real bad sinus
19	infection?
20	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Is your mic on?
21	Is it green?
22	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: It's green.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay.
2	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Oh, my name is
3	Rhonda Edwards-Hines. I'm the ANC Commissioner
4	for 8C-06 and I come to you on several issues.
5	On my document I stated I wanted to give
6	you guys an update of my previous statement in
7	October bout the issues that I was going through
8	in my on my property, but I just got a chance
9	to talk to Ms. Punter, so I'm going to hold off on
10	that until next month.
11	As of last night I went through the
12	property of Barry Farms and I've been in contact
13	with the I don't know, his name is Tony, but I
14	don't know Tony's last name. It start with a W.
15	MR. GARRETT: He works for POA,
16	correct?
17	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Yes, he works with
18	POA. And I've been constantly emailing him
19	complaining about and asking for light. But I've
20	been constantly texting him and spoke with him on
21	the phone previous conversations about the safety

of the property because there's no lights there.

1	Even though you're tearing down a property and
2	I said this to you most likely in December or
3	November that my concern was when I asked you, Mr.
4	Garrett, who is responsible for which part. Once
5	the fence come up, then that's POA. And then the
6	ones that's but everything is not fenced up. So
7	is Housing still responsible for those units that
8	the residents have already been relocated to, they
9	vacate.
10	MR. GARRETT: Relocated from?
11	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: I mean from, yes.
12	MR. GARRETT: Technically I would
13	I've got to defer to OGC on it, but I believe that
14	until the fence goes up around them it would be our
15	responsibility.
16	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay.
17	MR. GARRETT: So we haven't fully
18	turned over the site. Once it gets fenced in it's
19	turned over to the developer.
20	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay. Thank you.
21	MR. GARRETT: But I got to I probably
22	shouldn't answer that

1	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: I'm not going
2	to
3	MR. GARRETT: question that way,
4	but
5	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: All I'm going to
6	say to that answer is my reply to that is if it
7	is you guys, I'm asking you guys can you please make
8	sure that it's lighting there for safety purposes,
9	because we still have 10 units as of today still
LO	occupied and I believe it's either three or four
L1	that are seniors.
L2	MR. GARRETT: Okay. We'll look at it,
L3	because I know we had our I guess, chief, you
L 4	moved chief, did we move the light?
L5	(Off-microphone comment.)
L6	MR. GARRETT: It's still out there?
L7	Okay.
L8	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Not on okay.
L9	Not on Eaton. Eaton is not fenced in. I seen the
20	light on Stevens. I did not see no lights on Eaton
21	or at the bottom of Firth Sterling. It's not
22	fenced it.

1	MR. GARRETT: Okay. So let me
2	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay.
3	MR. GARRETT: check with the chief
4	and then we'll also identify where the residents
5	are on site, if there's I don't know because in
6	my mind I'm not sure if they're scattered
7	throughout or if they're in one central location.
8	So just let me check on that.
9	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Well, my
10	understanding is that it's supposed to be lighting
11	on each street.
12	MR. GARRETT: Okay. But I was just
13	saying we only have
14	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay.
15	MR. GARRETT: one tower, so
16	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Oh, only one
17	tower?
18	MR. GARRETT: Yes, we
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Oh, well, I'm
21	here to tell you then that's only on Stevens Road.
22	MR. GARRETT: Okay.

1	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: And we don't have
2	any fences up on Eaton
3	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
4	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: or Firth
5	Sterling.
6	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
7	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: So I'm asking
8	again the Commissioners can Mr. Garrett, can you
9	please see into that
10	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
11	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: for the safety,
12	not only for the residents, but we still have the
13	recreation center that's there also and our
14	children you know, we still have a school that's
15	still in action up there.
16	And as far as Detrice Belt had mentioned
17	about the mail. I have took it upon myself to call
18	the U.S. Postal Service and I spoke to a Ms. Vivian
19	Williams, which is a supervisor, and asked her what
20	was the protocol in getting the list, because I had
21	previously talked to someone about that, if I can
22	give them a list that they don't do that.

1	MR. GARRETT: Right.
2	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: So because it's up
3	to each resident, each person if they want their
4	mail to go to a certain place. Now that's my
5	understanding. They said that that was I can't
6	think of the word right now, but it's not it's
7	against the law. You can't
8	MR. GARRETT: Right
9	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: It's their Privacy
10	Act. But I don't know exactly what the term was
11	because I forgot.
12	And I spoken to Mr. Joe, which is Barry
13	Farm's community postal person
14	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
15	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: and Mr. Joe said
16	that he had no problem plus my mail was still
17	going there, too, and I wasn't receiving my mail
18	because I stated to you all back in May of 2018 that
19	I was having an issue. And so they just took it
20	the U.S. Postal Service took it upon their self not
21	to deliver the mail to the residents, because if

you -- even if you see a board -- because they see

1	a board up against the house they figure that no
2	one lives there, but that's but you don't assume
3	if we haven't done a change of address. That's
4	still legally our mailing address.
5	So I spoken to Mr. Joe last month. No,
6	I spoken to him in the either the end of November
7	or the beginning of December and he stated that was
8	still if the residents didn't do a change of
9	address, he was still delivering the mail there.
10	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
11	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: So what I'll do is
12	get back on the phone with the U.S. Postal Service
13	and see what's going on with that.
14	MR. GARRETT: Okay. And we'll look
15	into it from our end also just to try to reach out
16	to residents to ensure that they've done the change
17	of address.
18	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Right, because I
19	spoken to some and some of them say they did. They
20	have a P.O. box.
21	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
22	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: They didn't want

1	to change to their new because they don't want
2	to be recognized as a resident there because they
3	under relocation. So they just want to use a P.O.
4	box
5	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
6	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: like myself.
7	And then I have a constituents that is
8	homeless and she has three children:
9	seven-month-old, five-year-old and a
10	twelve-year-old. And she's been on the housing
11	applicant since 2006. Now we know that that's
12	the letter that I sent to you, Council Member
13	Council, that stated when the system this is
14	before your time, so, Mr. Garrett, that the system
15	changed over and a lot of applicants got lost in
16	the system.
17	So before you I was talking with Nathan
18	Pavelle; and I know I get his name his last name
19	mixed up, but I'm sure you guys know who I'm talking
20	about, and they couldn't find the information in
21	the system.

And I just came across another person

1	by catching a Uber that they was in the same
2	situation, and I just informed them to come up here.
3	But I'm just going to say her initials is A.F. And
4	so she doesn't want to come and testify in front
5	of you guys because she doesn't want to be on social
6	media. Okay? And she doesn't want her business
7	out on social media, because I did inform her that,
8	you know, it is individuals that and that's
9	doing, you know, the live feed on social media.
10	So I would need to know who can I speak
11	to once I finish my statement to talk to to get in
12	contact with her, because at this time she's going
13	from pillar to post. And because she was under
14	domestic violence, that's why she lost her
15	paperwork of which she applied for housing.
16	MR. GARRETT: Okay. I'm going to ask
17	you to speak to Mr. McCoy.
18	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Yes.
19	MR. GARRETT: And just we'll just
20	try to match up the dates and see whether or not
21	and he'll investigate whether or not they should
22	be put back on the list. I think that's what we're

1	talking about.
2	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay. To Mr.
3	McCoy?
4	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
5	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay. And then I
6	know that Housing has taken over the maintenance
7	issues for Highland where when we had that very cold
8	night I know I was trying to find it in my phone
9	that I called maintenance twice because I had no
10	heat, and they don't even show you how to use the
11	heat, the the what is that thing? Yes, the
12	thermostat. I don't even know how to use it. So
13	I mean, I don't want to blow up.
14	And I just I don't even know what to
15	tell you. It's just too much going on right now.
16	But my main concern is to make sure that those
17	residents on Barry Farms property are taken care
18	of, that they are secure. I can vouch that I do
19	see Housing police out there in the bright lights.
20	That's only on Stevens Road. But we got we have
21	a senior that's on Eaton Road
22	MR. GARRETT: Okay.

1	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: that I know of,
2	Ms. Williams. And it's no light out there. And
3	she's I think Ms. Williams is like 84 years old.
4	So I thank you for your time.
5	MR. GARRETT: Thank you. Just check
6	with Mr. McCoy
7	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Okay.
8	MR. GARRETT: before you leave.
9	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: All right. Thank
10	you.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Norman?
12	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Hines, do you have
13	heat now? You said your heat wasn't working. Is
14	it working?
15	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: No. There's
16	something called emergency something.
17	MR. GARRETT: Is it the okay. I'll
18	have somebody ask you about the thermostat
19	versus
20	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: I got enough
21	quilts and stuff.
22	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So as we're

1	waiting for the next person to come up, can you give
2	us an update on Barry Farm? It's been a while since
3	I've heard about what's going on there.
4	MR. GARRETT: We have nine families at
5	Barry Farm.
6	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: More about the
7	global effort there given the
8	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Claudia
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: court case
11	and everything else. It seems we're in a holding
12	pattern of some sort.
13	MR. GARRETT: Somewhat of a holding
14	pattern because a decision hasn't been made by the
15	developer as to if we're what which direction
16	we're going to go in dealing with the zoning issue.
17	So that's where we
18	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Carlos?
19	MR. GARRETT: seem to have made a
20	determination and a discussion with them, but no
21	final decision has been made about the next step
22	in dealing with zoning.

1	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: So is that am
2	I to understand that this decision lays in
3	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: A. Alexander?
4	COMMISSIONER SLOVER: totally with
5	the developer?
6	MS. McNAIR: No, sir. No, sir. Not at
7	all. Our OGC OGC and our legal counsel have been
8	in contact with the developer's counsel and they've
9	been devising what the exact strategy should be.
10	So it hasn't been just the developer on their own.
11	We have been involved and are involved in the
12	conversation.
12	Conversacion.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker?
13	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker?
13 14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That would be
13 14 15	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That would be great if we could get an update really soon
13 14 15 16	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That would be great if we could get an update really soon because
13 14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That would be great if we could get an update really soon because VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Mr. Bell, Sr.?
13 14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That would be great if we could get an update really soon because VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Mr. Bell, Sr.? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: it seems like
13 14 15 16 17 18	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Ms. Parker? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: That would be great if we could get an update really soon because VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Mr. Bell, Sr.? COMMISSIONER SLOVER: it seems like we've lost track of that a little bit, among other

1	you haven't, but I have.
2	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Non-resident,
4	Ms. Margaret?
5	MS. DWYER: Good afternoon. I'm
6	Margaret Dwyer. I'm here representing SURJ,
7	Showing Up for Racial Justice, standing with
8	Empower and Barry Farm Tenant and Allies
9	Association. And I don't have any comments to
10	make, but I have a couple of questions.
11	One was already raised, but it was
12	something we wanted an answer to as well, and I
13	didn't hear the answer to Ms. Frazier's question
14	about a request for an investigation of the ethics
15	of having DMPED as a voting member on this Board.
16	And we understand that that's in the bylaws, but
17	we question the ethics of that. And we I
18	don't maybe you said the answer, but I didn't
19	hear the answer to her request for an investigation
20	into that.
21	MR. GARRETT: No, we referred it to
22	OGC has it. OGC can look into that.

1	MS. DWYER: They're going to look into
2	it?
3	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. DWYER: And then how will we know
5	what they find?
6	MR. GARRETT: They'll be able to
7	produce a response to the Board of Commissioners.
8	MS. DWYER: And it will be shared
9	publicly?
10	MR. GARRETT: We'll figure out a way to
11	share it, yes.
12	MS. DWYER: Thank you.
13	MD CADDEMM. Olasar
	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
14	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is
14 15	
	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is
15	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is that we understand that there's a lot of
15 16	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is that we understand that there's a lot of uncertainty now with the portfolio and all the
15 16 17	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is that we understand that there's a lot of uncertainty now with the portfolio and all the properties that are in jeopardy, but we're looking
15 16 17 18	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is that we understand that there's a lot of uncertainty now with the portfolio and all the properties that are in jeopardy, but we're looking to you at the as the Board of Commissioners and
15 16 17 18 19	MS. DWYER: Then the second thing is that we understand that there's a lot of uncertainty now with the portfolio and all the properties that are in jeopardy, but we're looking to you at the as the Board of Commissioners and the Executive Director as the keepers of the vision

that number or increasing that number of units. And we wonder what your target number of units is for 2024. Five years from now where do you want to be in terms of the number of units of traditional public housing that you maintain?

MR. GARRETT: I think, Ms. Dwyer, when we opened up the meeting I -- what we were talking about was our actual strategy going forward and how we had given the Board a preliminary observation of where we were. We haven't completed that. And so from finishing that up with the OCP, our Department of Planning, we'll be able to produce a spreadsheet that will identify exactly what we should do with each property. That will also entail whether or not we're talking about public housing and if we're going to be able to actually increase our numbers in the coming years.

So we're in the process of doing that.

What I don't want anyone to think that -- as I said,

that we made a decision about what we're going to

do or how many units we're going to have here or

how many units we're going to have there. We're

still doing the due diligence, trying to get it 1 right, because time is of essence in trying to 2 3 produce a plan --MS. DWYER: 4 Yes. MR. GARRETT: -- and a strategy so we 5 6 can get started. So again, that's going to involve 7 the advocate community because we're going to discuss it and then we're going to bring it back 8 to the Board of Commissioners. So we're on track 9 10 to probably be able to give some diagnosis of 11 exactly what we want to do in terms of numbers, but 12 we're not there yet. And in your heart what 13 MS. DWYER: What would you like to see? 14 would you like to see? I mean you're the leader of public housing in the 15 District of Columbia. 16 17 MR. GARRETT: We alwavs want preservation of housing and we also want the safety 18 19 of our residents to be at the forefront. We also 20 have to consider some of the nuances and some of

the things that are going on in the industry across

the board and also across the nation.

21

22

So when we

do our deep dive as we're doing now, there are going
to be decisions that are going to be made based on
what we're facing and how we can improve the quality
of life for residents. So my in my heart I want
to preserve as much housing as I possibly can as
feasibly as possible.
MS. DWYER: And what would you ask of
advocates to help further that goal of preserving
or extending the number of units of traditional

MR. GARRETT: I just want everyone to be understanding and come to the --

(Laughter.)

public housing in the District?

MR. GARRETT: -- table with an open mind about exactly what we're facing, because the -- what we're dealing with is a dynamic that is probably foreign to some, and to have a full understanding would be really great. I don't think this Housing Authority, at least not under this administration, is trying to displace or do any harm to residents, but to protect them, to put them in the best situation there are going to have

be some decisions that are going to have to be made 1 and also might -- there might require some changes, 2 3 some deviations from the way we've done things in the past to try to better the lives of our families 4 and our children. 5 6 MS. DWYER: Thank you. 7 Thank you. MR. GARRETT: COMMISSIONER SLOVER: Can I offer one 8 thing really quickly for that? 9 What I think is 10 important to understand is that the Board has asked 11 the Executive Director and staff to put through a 12 prism of trying to maintain as closely what we have 13 today in terms of structure --14 MS. DWYER: Great. Great. 15 COMMISSIONER SLOVER: -- and capacity. And then deviations from that is really what 16 17 Resolution 19-01 was intended to relay to the advocate community, to the residents, to everybody 18 19 else that really the intent is to keep as much of 20 what we have and explain why -- if and why we

deviate, why we did it. Make that justification

and be as transparent a possible.

21

1	So I would like to say thank you to the
2	Executive Director for really making an effort to
3	be as more transparent than I think the Agency
4	has been in the past.
5	So thank you for that.
6	And hopefully you guys will appreciate
7	it as it rolls out.
8	MS. DWYER: Thank you.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Edward
10	Daniels?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. OTTEN: Are you taking residents
13	still?
14	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Yes, come on
15	up. Have a seat.
16	MR. OTTEN: Good afternoon. My name
17	is Chris Otten. I am a Section 8 project-based
18	resident at the Champlain Court Cooperative. I
19	was here in September with some issues like mold
20	and various infrastructure problems with my unit
21	in the building. I've been in touch with Mr.
22	McCoy. Due to those issues I've gotten really

sick. I've also broken my arm and my family has kind gone through some health issues of their own. And so I haven't been able to resolve a lot of the issues with my personal unit, but I'm striving to do that.

But as a -- soon. We're going to try to get that solved soon. As a public housing resident though I am kind of a bridge to some of these other situations happening around the city. And just for the record I just want to point out Commissioner Grossinger is not here anymore and so-called Commissioner Kenner is no longer here even though this is a once-a-month meeting and it's obviously really vital to the future of our city how people live in their housing.

I found it -- I just want to note also for the record this Commission just passed a resolution about \$4.5 million in spite of hearing how some other monies may have been mismanaged or misspent without the MOU before you all.

And I think, Commissioner Slover, it was a good point that you raised and that you

2.1

abstained from that because we need to have this information before us before we start making these decisions, clearly. And so I want to make that point on the record.

And it was also in spite of President Shonta' High's objections to passing that resolution because none of the MOU and that resolution itself was never even presented to the people it will affect at Park Morton.

And so I wanted to bring a statement today on behalf of the petitioners that you will probably see tomorrow at the DC Court of Appeals, the highest court in the District of Columbia. These are three residents that live around Bruce Monroe. I've been a bridge between Ms. High and these petitioners recently. I was brought in to kind of create a dialogue there because I am also a public housing resident and I'm also somebody who appreciates good planning.

And so here's the statement: We, Ryan Cummings, Anita Chaudary and Mark Poe attest to receiving and reading the Park Morton list of

2.1

concerns and demands that was approved by Park Morton residents and the resident council last week. As litigants in the Bruce Monroe case we recognize the Park Morton residents' concerns and demands and sign on in solidarity with them and in support of Park Morton residents' social needs, as well as consideration of basic planning impacts regarding any public property dispositions and deals that are before you and us.

For example, we are wholly supportive of Park Morton Resident Council seeking home ownership and equity in any future development deals around public housing, whether it be at Park Morton, at Bruce Monroe or at any other site chosen by and in collaboration with Park Morton residents.

We also stand in complete solidarity in the concern that none of the current plans have any family service-oriented amenities like day care, affordable food co-ops and grocery, job training, let alone any meaningful number of units that are family-sized three-plus bedrooms. This is out of character with the neighborhoods around Park

2.1

Thank you. That is their statement. I wanted to bring it to the attention of the Commission today about the appeal on the Bruce Monroe case and in solidarity with the Park Morton residents by those petitioners. Thank you. I can answer any questions if you have any.

VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Thank you. Any questions?

Yes, I have a 1 COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: question for you. Yes. 2 3 So with the issues that you're having in your unit did you get any inspection from Mr. 4 5 McCoy or had any talk with Mr. McCoy? 6 MR. OTTEN: Yes, so I think we're 7 planning in early March. COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Okay. 8 MR. OTTEN: Yes. Yes, there was a --9 10 just for the record again it was -- there's been 11 mold issues since I moved into the building and 12 flooring problems and a really nasty under crawl 13 space where the origin of these issues are, and 14 they're coming up through the broken floor boards making a lot of us sick. There's kids in our 15 16 building that are sick. And the management 17 company just can't seem to get its act together. recently there 18 And then was an 19 unannounced and improper construction project on 20 the mortar of the bricks in the building. 21 windows -- the apartments in the windows -- excuse

me, the windows in the apartments -- I mean in the

1	co-op were opened and all the mortar dust went in
2	through my unit from the kitchen all the way to my
3	bedroom and there's dust literally on everything.
4	I have not been in that unit since August and I've
5	been sleeping on couches and beds, and through the
6	kindness of my friends I've been able to stay in
7	various places. Sometimes my car.
8	But this is nothing unusual. That's
9	what I want to point out here. this is happening
10	around the city in these conditions. And so I'm
11	not raising this up as a unique situation at all.
12	This is very much a commonplace thing and the
13	mismanagement of money and the mismanagement of the
14	actual repairs is a serious issue. Very serious.
15	But Mr. McCoy and I are going to work
16	together to try to solve this once and for all.
17	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN; Okay. Thank
18	you.
19	MR. OTTEN: Thank you.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Any more
21	questions?
22	(No response.)

1	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	The next Board of Commissioners meeting
4	and annual meeting will be held Wednesday, March
5	the 13th, 2019 at
6	MS. MATHEWS: I don't know. Wasn't
7	nobody there when I came in, and I was not late for
8	sure.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Come on.
10	MS. MATHEWS: Hello, everybody. How
11	y'all doing?
12	First of all I would like to say thank
13	you, Mr. Garrett and also Ms. Punter. In spite of
14	all the things that go on in the world I feel as
15	though there are still angels here on earth. We
16	don't have to go to heaven to be able to and right
17	now that's where I'm at.
18	But by me leaving Barry Farms it has
19	been stressful. The unit that I am in presently,
20	that was a little but things are getting so much
21	better. Every now and then a person has to go
22	through a thunder storm or a tornado, but I'm

learning how to work through the process. And even though, like I said, it's been a little rough, I can see the sunshine coming. So I just want to speak on that.

But at the same time by me being a former review of Barry Farms and to know that you only have one spotlight, because the day that y'all gave those two officers that award and everything from the little boy, that incident, well, that night when I left I saw the guy right there across from the rental office in that parking lot space, because I was going to my mailbox at that time.

And I was questioning him about that because the spotlight was on Eaton and I knew it probably was dark on Stevens. I hadn't gotten there yet, but I kind of knew it because I knew that you all were -- you all had one light. And at one point the light got broke or something. The last time we were here there was no lights.

So my thought process is that you know that you got two streets over there that -- if you got to get it from Homeland Security or the Naval

bases or somewhere, or the National Zoo. They got a lot of them. I know that because I used to work there. Like you need to partnership with someone because that is necessary.

And also, you know, we had several meetings at Barry Farm Rec and these plans that you all had come together with saying that we were all for it or whatever -- I don't know about that part, but what happened is on that very last day we couldn't see the video of it, but yet you have it posted throughout the DC Housing Authority social media page. And so that gives people the thought process that it's a done deal.

I don't know how this stuff plays out or how it actually goes, but I know that we went to the court of appeals and we had to wait a year for a decision and when that decision came it was in our favor. And I don't know. I mean, do you all go back, because it was said to go back to the blueprint, change it around, redo some 'figurations and all that.

So have it been approved to that much

2.1

of ability through them and through the residents of Barry Farms to have had it be put on the social media page, to have people thinking that, oh, they going to have it looking real nice and duh, duh, duh, duh, duh, duh, duh. Is that okay? Is that something that can be done?

I know you can to do anything basically you want to do in America except for certain things, but that was okay for you all to feel as though that -- like the thought out there is if it was a actual reality of still of even saying this is what we, you know, would like for it to be or something like that.

Because have y'all -- have we gone back to court? Once they gave that decision and told y'all to go back to the blueprint, I mean, where do we go from there? I'm like lost. I still haven't figured out like they cutting down trees. First I think it was like a mental thing for everybody to rush out for the first part of the demolition, so I want to know where is the money? What's the next phase? How you all going to do it?

Recause see, they done went from knocking down the homes to cutting down trees. They cut down the weeping willow. I feel some type of way. It's like -- it's a lot of trees that had to history to it. You understand what I'm saying? And they just cut it down. For what reason? You're not even over there dealing with the homes right now. And then when I left, I come back one day to clean out my unit and I -- it's cold in there on top of the lights don't work. But I'm still attached to that unit until I was to sign off on that paper.

So I go in there and it's cold. I said, well, I'm going to go get me a light bulb, bringing back a fresh light bulb. Still don't work. So just as quick as -- but I remember when we had a blackout all the lights where the people were gone, had went on and left like months ago -- they're light -- and ours were the ones that was off and we was actually on the unit.

So I'm just confused to how quickly do -- who has the authority to tell you, because

if I haven't signed off on that, my lights shouldn't have been off. They -- I mean, they should not be off, period. Like I don't understand. You just do it that quick and haven't signed off on it? So I'm just puzzled to where we are right now.

I'm lost to where we are in this development, whether there is any money or how do we jump from buildings to start cutting down trees. Why it's only one light. We do know that we have elderly people that's around there on that neighborhood and what are y'all going to do about it, because these are the same problems that we had before and y'all always say it's not enough police on the force to accommodate stuff. Now we don't have enough lights for the people that's there. And it's good to see the police ride around and up and down, but if something happen, they might not get there fast enough.

MR. GARRETT: Okay. We'll take your suggestion, Ms. Mathews, about asking for a donation of a light --

MS. MATHEWS: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	MR. GARRETT: see whether or not we
2	can borrow another tower
3	MS. MATHEWS: And get the one that
4	MR. GARRETT: full time for the time
5	frame.
6	MS. MATHEWS: you can't just throw
7	something at it and break them.
8	MR. GARRETT: Okay. I can we can
9	check to see whether or not
10	(Simultaneous speaking.)
11	MS. MATHEWS: They have some.
12	MR. GARRETT: So that's one piece.
13	MS. MATHEWS: They might give us
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	MR. GARRETT: As far as Barry goes,
16	we're still investigating as I was telling
17	Commissioner Slover before he left exactly what the
18	process would be and how we would move forward on
19	actual design and actually with the zoning
20	component what the what concessions would be
21	made or how we would approach the planning itself.
22	So we're still not there.

I can tell you that we want to be there as quickly as possible, just like anyone else, so that we can start to have residents return, but unfortunately we're still taking -- it's taking a minute for us to get --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MS. MATHEWS: It might sound crazy, but what is their logic of cutting down these trees?

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Because it

wasn't a part of your community benefit agreement.

That's why I ask everybody that is going through any things like I just told Ms. Hines -- and that's why I'm so glad she listened to me from the beginning. If you pay attention over there at Sursum Corda, a part of the community agreement included their trees, because you would see where the wood is to protect their trees.

When you really know that your community is going to be -- well, let's start with this: If you want to save the trees, this is just my off-the-brains, listen, let's Google -- there got to be some organization, environmental, save

the trees. You can tell them about Barry Farms. Trust me, those people who are environmentalists, they have no problem organizing, doing what they want to do. So you may have seven days to try to do that because it was not in your benefit agreement.

MS. MATHEWS: Well --

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: And you may not have been there to come to the table, so --

MS. MATHEWS: Right, it's too late. They're gone now. That's my point exactly. Like how did you jump from -- first of all, we got to understand this: Regardless of whether it's historical or not or they wanted to make it historical, it was historically just by free slaves living there and that was one time property &42825 land. Regardless of what they want to do and how they want to do it.

So therefore, these trees were there. They wasn't bothering not a rabbit soul at this point in time in this development. So why are you cutting down trees? Like I said, it has history.

1	You understand me? They had people from the
2	Smithsonian to come and they was digging. See,
3	when the first started digging I thought it was the
4	redevelopment. It was not the redevelopment.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: It was the
6	trees.
7	MS. MATHEWS: Yes, it was. And I seen
8	when they pulled different stuff up. I even took
9	a picture, put it on Facebook. It was a bottle.
10	It was a beer bottle with George Washington on it.
11	And it's going to be in the Smithsonian Museum. So
12	all this history it's history all throughout
13	there. You understand me? It's prime and very
14	importantly regardless of anybody want to accept
15	it or not, that's a historical site.
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: It's a
17	historical ground.
18	MS. MATHEWS: Ground. However you
19	give me they ain't got the site, they ain't got the
20	ground yet either, but they know it. But they
21	never did it. Because first of all, they don't
22	want us to own homes. They own the land. You hear

1	me?
2	So if you ain't think that's
3	historical, there's already a problem. But I'm
4	done. The trees is gone. I was just wondering.
5	They're gone. I spoke of these trees beforehand.
6	And then again, once upon a time I was not into this
7	like this. But that's because I could consider
8	myself brainwashed to the system. My eyes is open
9	now. I might have got on the boat a little late
10	or whatever, but my eyes is open now and while a
11	lot of people that sit on the
12	(Simultaneous speaking.)
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: My
14	recommendation is that it
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	MS. MATHEWS: are holding these
17	positions act like they don't understand
18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: My
20	recommendation is Empowerment DC get a committee
21	real, real quick so that you can when it comes

to many of our communities with these trees and

1	learn from our mistakes.
2	(Off-microphone comment.)
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Right, but
4	what I'm saying is Empowerment DC could take that
5	on as an initiative, maybe get some grant money to
6	around to different communities of public housing
7	and maybe we can save the rest of our trees, because
8	we lost a big one with Barry Farms.
9	MS. MATHEWS: Yes, I hear you.
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you.
11	By the way
12	VICE CHAIRMAN COUNCIL: Our next Board
13	of Commissioner's meeting is an annual meeting and
14	will be held Wednesday, March 13th, 2019 at 1:00
15	at DC Headquarters, 1133 North Capitol, Northeast,
16	Washington, D.C., 20002. The time is 4:32. This
17	meeting is adjourned.
18	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
19	went off the record at 4:32 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	