THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

+ + + + +

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY MAY 9, 2018

+ + + + +

The Board of Commissioners met at Fort DuPont/Stoddert, located at 155 Ridge Road, S.E., Washington, D.C., at 1:00 p.m., William Slover, Vice Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

NEIL ALBERT*, Chairman WILLIAM SLOVER, Vice Chairman KENNETH D. COUNCIL, Commissioner KEN GROSSINGER, Commissioner FRANK LANCASTER, Commissioner NAKEISHA NEAL JONES, Commissioner JOSE ORTIZ GAUD, Commissioner FRANSELENE ST. JEAN, Commissioner AQUARIUS VANN-GHASRI, Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:

TYRONE GARRETT, Executive Director ALETHEA McNAIR, Acting Board Liaison

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT:

BRIAN KENNER, Commissioner

*present by telephone

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

CONTENTS

Monthly Report of Executive Director
Public Comments on Resolutions
Resolution 18-06
Resolution 18-07 33
Resolutions 18-09 and 18-10 40
Resolution 18-11 75
Public Comments81
Adjourn

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 | 1:22 p.m.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good afternoon. I'm the vice chairman of My name is Bill Slover. the Board of Commissioners, and I'd like to call This is our regular meeting this meeting to order. of the District of Columbia Housing Authority, held 9, 2018, today, May at DuPont/Stoddert, 155 Ridge Road Southeast, The time is 1:20. Washington, D.C.

I apologize for the delay in getting started. As a reminder, would everybody please silence their cell phones and other electronic devices at this moment? I would also like to now ask for a traditional moment of silence before we get started.

(Moment of silence.)

Okay, thank you. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'd also like to now read our statement of public meetings. decorum for these The Board of Commissioners welcomes constituent input on matters of public concern and offers you, today,

an opportunity to express your view, including spirited debates of DCHA's decisions by its management and this Board during the public testimony portion of every meeting. However, we require that members of the public who attend our meetings abide by certain rules of decorum, to allow the Board to complete the business before it.

Members of the public cannot engage in any disruptive conduct or loud, threatening, or abusive language during the Board meetings. This includes loud outbursts or shouting during times when you have not been invited to speak.

Anyone who violates these rules will be asked to leave the meeting immediately and could be subject to arrest for violation of D.C. laws on disorderly conduct. Serious or repeated violations of the rules may result in you being barred from future meetings for a period of at least 60 days.

We do appreciate your interest in the matters before us today and thank you in advance for abiding by these rules of conduct. At this

1	time, I'd like to ask for a role call to determine
2	a quorum of the Board.
3	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
4	Council.
5	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Present.
6	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Grossinger.
7	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Here.
8	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Kenner.
9	(No audible response.)
10	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
11	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Present.
12	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
13	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Present.
14	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud.
15	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Here.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean.
17	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Present.
18	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
19	Vann-Ghasri.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Present.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Present.

1	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
2	(No audible response.)
3	MS. MCNAIR: Currently, Vice Chair,
4	you have eight commissioners. You have a quorum.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you.
6	Today's agenda is our regular agenda. First, we
7	will allow the public to come before the Board
8	twice, once to discuss any issues they may have
9	about the resolutions we'll be considering today,
10	and second, to discuss any personal issues related
11	to housing. We have two sign-in sheets, one for
12	the resolutions, and one for everything else.
13	Those who are residents of public housing will have
14	five minutes; others will have three minutes. At
15	this time, actually, I would like to approve the
16	minutes from the April 11th meeting. I'd just like
17	to
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair
19	(Simultaneous Speaking).
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you hold on one
21	second? I would like to point out that Chairman
22	Albert has now joined us by cell phone. Welcome,

1	Chairman Albert. I'd like to go over I have
2	a review of the minutes from the April 11, 2018
3	meeting. If there are any comments or changes to
4	those minutes, can you express them now?
5	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair,
6	being that I hadn't heard anyone speak up on the
7	minutes, I move that the minutes be accepted as
8	they are.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Do I have a second?
10	PARTICIPANT: Second.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All those in favor?
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Any opposed?
14	(No audible response.)
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: The minutes are
16	approved. Next, we will hear from the executive
17	director, Mr. Garrett, on his monthly report.
18	MR. GARRETT: Good afternoon,
19	Commissioners. Good afternoon to the public.
20	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Good
21	afternoon.
22	MR. GARRETT: Are there any questions,

Commissioners, in reference to our monthly dashboard? We're still modifying and trying to improve it, so that it gives you the information that you would like, but also gives us the information at a glance that'll be appropriate for other things.

I know Commissioner Vann-Ghasri's often talked about being prepared and ready to have information for individuals who may come to our Agency asking for information and statistics. It's very important for us to maintain this. The version of the dashboard that we're trying to utilize and continue to modify should do that for us. It is still a work in progress, I must say, though.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Director Garrett, when it comes to tickets issued, I would like to see several other categories. One category would be -- many of my constituents have what they call CNAs, certified nurse assistants, and they drive, and they get \$250 tickets.

Many of my constituents also have

elderly parents who live in other public housing communities. They, too, get \$250 tickets when they park legally, out of their zone, and run in to either take the parent or the guardian back to their residency or to deliver groceries. We need to tweak that out. Thank you so much.

MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am. Also, Commissioners, we are at that point. I'm seven months into my tenure here. talked As Τ start previously, we were going to off with assessing the organization and Agency for the first 90 days. The second 90 days would be an opportunity for us to develop strategies. Then in the third 90 we would start to implement several days, programs and several new ideas or enhance current programs with appropriate strategies that better suit where we are in today's industry. I'm happy to say that we're starting to move direction. You will start to see internally and externally within our organization, to the betterment of the Agency.

COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	I may.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Go ahead.
3	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Director
4	Garrett, this dashboard report of yours is a great
5	tool for us, as commissioners, but I would like
6	to advise you, going forward, to take and highlight
7	certain elements of that dashboard and make it known
8	to the public exactly how you're moving this Housing
9	Authority forward.
10	MR. GARRETT: Okay, yes, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Because they
12	don't get a copy of this.
13	MR. GARRETT: Right. We'll start to
14	make a copy available.
15	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you.
16	MR. GARRETT: At least on a monthly
17	basis.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: One other
19	comment on the dashboard. This goes for my
20	constituents, being that I am the elect family
21	commissioner. The Virginia Williams Center and
22	the Community Partnership for the Homeless are two

organizations which provide emergency assistance to my constituents.

I would like to see some type of trendsetting from those agencies, any and all agencies that provide any type of assistance to my constituents. My constituents are taking the burden if they have anyone that live in their household over 18 years of age.

One of my constituents could lose their job in between waiting for unemployment, and would get an eviction notice. Because what I'm understanding is that my constituents will no longer be able to get assistance from either Virginia Williams or the Community Partnership for the Homeless, due to the fact that they do not have minor kids in their homes. That's something that needs to be looked into based on my constituents.

MR. GARRETT: Thank you, Commissioner Vann-Ghasri. Commissioners, I just need to end my report with what we do on a monthly basis, which is recognizing outstanding service to the organization and to the residents.

At DCHA, we've changed our philosophy, to some extent. We have a strong commitment to excellence. Currently, my role, as executive director, is to try and recognize our DCHA employees who are delivering that type of service to our constituency.

Every month, I try to do that by regularly recognizing employees who have come forth, have put forth a great effort, and who actually, many times, are recognized by the residents, themselves.

don't take these presentations lightly because they mean a lot, not only on your behalf, as the Board of Commissioners, but on behalf of the residents and the other employees who support For their outstanding delivery and service, employees want other to emulate we I talked about it a couple of months individuals. I want my employees to be contagious. them to rub off on one another, so that what we're giving to our residents is what they expect from Our employee of the month for May 2018 is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Anthony Brackett.

Mr. Brackett is a mechanic in the Department of Property Management Operations. (Applause.) If we could say this about every employee that we actually have (Applause.) I don't know if he knows these things, but what his supervisor has said about him is that he defines the meaning of a dedicated employee.

He has the role for addressing 215 units all on his shoulders, himself, alone. According to his supervisor, he addresses all of his work assignments without hesitation and never complains. He tackles everything with determination and a smile.

His dedication and loyalty is truly among the great assets to this organization, and he always seeks solutions versus just bringing us problems. That's something that I prefer, having someone bring me a solution to an issue versus a problem that I have to figure out how to solve on my own. A couple of weeks ago, he dealt with a major issue of a water main break. He dealt with

it in such a way that it was fixed within several hours. He's consistently guided not only himself, but other employees, to provide new skills and new opportunities to work on behalf of the residents.

Again, can we congratulate Mr. Brackett on his service and a job well done? (Applause.) Thank you, Mr. Brackett. Now, as I mentioned at last month's Board meeting, we were going to also recognize the Apprentice Training Program, our modified apprentice program that we put in play about two months ago.

It began, again, March 12th, where we have DCHA trainees that go out with our maintenance staff to try and help us with our initiative to revitalize, rejuvenate some of our properties.

That's one of our mechanisms that we're trying to do something a little bit different to ensure that the service and residents feel as though we're committed to them. In doing that, we have three apprentices that are going to be recognized this month for their work at the Village or Knox Hill, and now they're working at Stoddert Terrace,

LeDroit, and Kelly Miller. They've been on board 1 with us for about nine weeks, and I think they've 2 pulled a great service. They're enhancing our 3 properties, and they're working on your behalf. 4 Residents, from what I understand, enjoy seeing 5 6 them come on site. we're going to do three more 7 individuals again this month, where we said last 8 9 month we were only going to do one. It turns out these apprentices are doing such a great job that 10 11 need to recognize three more. Our first individual will be Keith Harrison. 12 (Applause.) Yolanda Dawkins. 13 (Applause.) I just want to note Ms. Dawkins is a 14 15 Villager resident. Also, we have Shermane Perry 16 (phonetic). She's at work right now, so couldn't be here, but we'll make sure she gets it. 17 I want you to congratulate these individuals for 18 their hard effort and their work on our behalf. 19 20 (Applause.) Thank you very much. (Applause.) 21 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for that

Does anybody have any questions of the

report.

executive director about the report? I appreciate 1 that, and let's move on to the business resolutions 2 of the day. We have one person signed up to comment 3 on the resolutions, which happens to be the first 4 resolution, 18-06, so Mr. Watson, could you please 5 6 come forward. You have three minutes, and welcome. MR. WATSON: Good afternoon, 7 Vice Chair, Commissioners. 8 9 COMMISSIONERS: Good afternoon. My name is Melvin Watson, 10 MR. WATSON: 11 Sr. I'm here to testify in reference to Resolution 12 18-06. Before I give my comments in reference to whether or not I will oppose or support this 13 resolution, I have a question for the executive 14 director. As you well know, I testified last month 15 down at Greenleaf. 16 They have 30 ACC units coming out of 17 Arthur Capper/Carollsburg. I have no problem with 18 19 those ACC units remaining in that neighborhood. 20 Like I said, the amenities in Ward 6 supersede all amenities in this city when it comes to ACC units. 21

Therefore, I will vehemently object if we're

1	talking about moving those 30 units to what you
2	call City View Apartments, which is the old Douglas
3	Junior High School, which I'm very familiar with
4	the area because I live in Ward 8. My question
5	is are we talking 30 additional ACC units, or are
6	we talking about transferring 30 units, ACC, out
7	of Ward 6 to Ward 8?
8	MR. GARRETT: There are 30 additional
9	units, Mr. Watson.
10	MR. WATSON: Thirty additional units?
11	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
12	MR. WATSON: I have no problem with
13	your financing of 30 additional ones. Like I said,
14	I'll be watching this, and I hope that coming down
15	the line, that these are the same 30 units that
16	we financed, that we transferred when they, in fact,
17	are not 60, but 30.
18	MR. GARRETT: Thirty additional units
19	are being built.
20	MR. WATSON: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Mr. Chair.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes. Hold on.

1	Mr. Watson, are you done?
2	MR. WATSON: Yes, I'm done.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay, thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: In
5	reference to Resolution 18-06 to authorize
6	submission to HUD of a development proposal for
7	the allocation of public housing operating subsidy
8	for 30 ACC units in the City View Apartments project
9	and related matters, first and foremost, it's
10	personal to me because I'm territorial, and I live
11	in Ward 6.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
13	Vann-Ghasri
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: This issue
15	involves much more than bricks
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
17	Vann-Ghasri, could I read the resolution, and then
18	we'll open it up to the public?
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Oh, I
20	thought we already done that.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We were just

1	is actually formally introduce the resolution, get
2	the
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I
4	apologize.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: staff report,
6	our presentation on the resolution, and then I'll
7	entertain comments and points of view from the
8	commissioners.
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Bring it to
10	my attention when it's my turn to be acknowledged.
11	Thank you so much.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'll make a note.
13	We're going to move on to the business resolutions.
14	The first resolution is Resolution 18-06 to
15	authorize execution and delivery and related
16	closing matters for 30 ACC units in the City View
17	Apartments project.
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Who's going to
20	present on this?
21	MR. GARRETT: We're going to have
22	Kerry.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Whomever is 1 presenting --2 (Simultaneous Speaking) 3 MS. SMYSER: Good afternoon, 4 Commissioners. 5 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good afternoon. 6 7 MS. SMYSER: My name is Kerry Smyser. I'm in the Office of Capital Programs. Resolution 8 9 18-06 is before you, and it would allow the Housing Authority to submit a mixed-finance development 10 11 proposal to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban 12 Development to put ACC through the annual contributions contract on 30 units at the City View 13 Apartments. City View Apartments is a 58-unit 14 15 development. It is being constructed by William 16 C. Smith, in Ward 8. The project overlooks Sheridan Station 17 and actually has funding from the Department of 18 19 Housing -- I'm sorry, the D.C. Department of Housing and Community Development. The project is a \$20 20 21 million project. It has 9 percent tax credits.

It has funding from the Department of Behavioral

Health, and it also has a grant from the D.C. SEU 1 for solar panels and for Energy Star. 2 The project will be energy efficient. 3 The project has a goal to meet gold LEED 4 certification. will 5 Tt. meet. LEED homes 6 certification. The Housing Authority would submit its mixed development proposal to HUD if the 7 resolution passes, with closing in July or August, 8 9 and then construction to occur within 30 days after Then the units would deliver in December 10 closing. 11 of 2019. I'm happy to answer any questions. 12 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner Vann-Ghasri. 13 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm going 14 15 to try to do all of this in the time before me. 16 I already let you know that I'm very territorial, and I live in Ward 6. This issue involves much 17 more than bricks and mortar. It extends to 18 establish and maintain public housing residents; 19 I understand that. 20 21 It also enhances the opportunity to 22 achieve leverage with this deal because this is

a deal. Within this deal, first of all, this deal been going on for several years. Even though 30 units will be moved from Ward 6 into Ward 8, and that Ward 6 will suffer because nothing is in writing to say that William C. Smith will replace those 30 units that he would have built former to, and at what point in time.

In the meantime, I would sincerely appreciate, when we do resolutions, to let us know how much the land value is, lot and square, and how much the deal is, so we can subtract and add to see, as residents, what and how it impacts us, not only economically, but socially. When you move all the Ward 6 into Ward 8, whether or not you have already spoke to my constituents in Ward 6. That would be Christine Spencer. That would be Wanda Hanson. That would be Deana Walker. And that impacts -- and Ms. Loughridge (phonetic).

Those are the residents. I don't know about how other wards run, but I'm going to tell you now, I influence very heavily in my ward, and we are territorial, and our council member

1	understands that very, very well. If it's not in
2	writing, you already know, I will not be voting
3	for this resolution.
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your
5	comments. Commissioner Lancaster.
6	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: No comment at
7	this particular time.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We're going to come
9	right down the line here.
LO	PARTICIPANT: No comment.
L1	PARTICIPANT: No.
L2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Neal Jones.
L3	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Can you
L 4	reiterate what will happen to the 30 units that
L5	are here and what the plan is for Capper? Can you
L6	hear me? I was asking if she could reiterate what
L7	would happen to these 30 units, and also what's
L8	the plan for former residents of Capper going
L9	forward?
20	MS. SMYSER: Right. The 30 units that
21	the 30 units for Capper will remain in Capper.
22	These units are not leaving Ward 6 or the footprint

The goal here is to really hold Smith's 1 of Capper. feet to the fire and to have them deliver 30 public 2 housing unit to the Housing Authority. 3 The Housing Authority intends 4 to deliver and keep its commitment to deliver the 707 5 6 public housing units. We still have three parcels that are not planned for. We have an RFP that we 7 are drafting for a master planner to master plan 8 9 the last three parcels, Square 882 South, Square 739, and Square 768, to plan the remaining 234 10 11 units. That includes the 30 units that we have 12

That includes the 30 units that we have to do at Capper. These 30 units at City View are really net new units to the Authority. We are offering these units to former residents of Capper/Carrollsburg. If they choose to go there, then that will be consider their replacement unit. If not, then they can wait for a unit at Capper.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm sorry; can you clarify that, it would be considered a replacement unit, but not produced from the 707?

MS. SMYSER: Yes. I said we will offer

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

units to -- the units at City View will be offered 1 to Capper/Carrollsburg residents. If they choose 2 to live at City View, then that will be considered 3 their replacement unit. If they choose not to go 4 there, then they can wait for a unit at Capper. 5 6 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What you're really 7 saying is they're giving up their right to return to Capper? 8 9 MS. SMYSER: Yes. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner Ortiz 10 11 Gaud, any questions? I have a few questions about 12 this resolution. It's really a replacement -- this resolution is a result of the Board approving 13 Resolution 18-04, correct? 14 15 MS. SMYSER: Correct. 16 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: These are basically -- just to remind everybody, Resolution 17 18-04 allowed WC Smith to exit a covenant that 18 19 required them to build 30 units for land that the Housing Authority gave them. We gave them, I 20 21 think, 16,000 square feet in 2008, which allowed

them to build 1,100 units of market rate apartments.

1	In return for that, they were supposed to build
2	us 30 units on the Capper footprint, correct?
3	MS. SMYSER: It was a piece of land,
4	not quite that large. It was a small piece of land
5	that they needed in order to build out their entire
6	
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: How many square
8	feet?
9	MS. SMYSER: I can't remember the exact
10	square footage, but it
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I think it was
12	about 16,000. It was 12,000, 10,000?
13	MS. SMYSER: I can't remember exactly.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 10,000 to 12,000?
15	MS. SMYSER: Smaller than that.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Smaller?
17	MS. SMYSER: I think so.
18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That allowed them
19	to build the 1,100 units, and in return, they were
20	supposed to build us 30 units of public housing.
21	MS. SMYSER: That's correct.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: They built three

1	buildings, and then they didn't build us the 30
2	units.
3	MS. SMYSER: Yes, they built
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Right?
5	MS. SMYSER: They have built two
6	buildings, yes
7	(Simultaneous Speaking)
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: They built three
9	buildings
10	MS. SMYSER: build the third.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: and we didn't
12	get our public housing units, and they said it was
13	because it didn't work for them, but they were able
14	to build full-size basketball courts, single tennis
15	courts, indoor amphitheater, indoor green space,
16	the list goes on. We didn't get our 30 units in
17	that property, and in replacement, we're going to
18	get 30 units in an affordable housing building
19	that's City View. That's the tradeoff, right?
20	MS. SMYSER: That is the tradeoff.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: My personal
22	opinion is that's not a good tradeoff. Also, the

other question I would ask is we keep talking about 1 how we're not losing anything on the 707, which 2 I think is important to point out, but I also think 3 that it increases our costs. Because now, we have 4 5 to, in our final three parcels, we have to find 6 30 more units of public housing. MS. SMYSER: Yes, we do. 7 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Which is going to 8 9 reduce our land costs because we all know land cost gets reduced because of the 30 units of public 10 11 housing, which is what Smith just showed us. didn't want to reduce the value of their land with 12 They wanted to do it somewhere 13 those 30 units. We're now faced with a situation, because 14 else. 15 of the Board's actions on 18-04, that we're going 16 to reduce our land further. Is that fair? MS. SMYSER: No, I wouldn't say that's 17 fair. 18 We have to find 30 19 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 20 more units of public housing on the land we already

-- we wouldn't otherwise have had to have done.

MS. SMYSER:

21

22

We're going to, like I

said, do this master planning. We have done mixed-income buildings at Capper, so it has not decreased our land value at all. In fact, we've been able to take the land value to build out our public housing units. If we continue that model, we'll be able to increase the value at Capper. Over the years, the value at Capper continues to go up.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We're going to agree to disagree because you have to find 30 more units of subsidized housing you otherwise would not have had to have found, which would have unlocked more value in the land with our partners. You just fundamentally -- we just saw Smith exercise that.

They did not fulfill their requirement to build these 30 units, and we let them off and let them build it somewhere else. We're going to pay the price down the road. I fundamentally can't support this because I just don't think we should let them off the hook on 18-04, so I'm not going to support this.

MR. GARRETT: Commissioner Slover, may 1 I speak? 2 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 3 Sure. MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, 4 pointed out, 5 Commissioner Slover this 6 companion resolution to 18-04. As noted, we did 7 sign and agree to move those 30 units, so that's already been put in place by the Board 8 9 Commissioners, and we've accepted payment. As Commissioner Slover spoke about, 10 11 though, the Capper footprint, we should also note 12 that the Capper footprint is a very large acreage and can handle additional units throughout that 13 particular footprint, whether they be mixed income 14 or additional ACC units. 15 We're not defeating ourselves when we 16 attempt to leverage those particular parcels. 17 believe that we can still obtain the true land value 18 19 through leveraged sources to have those units 20 actually built without any additional cost to the 21 authority.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER:

22

One final point.

1	I just want to make sure this gets on the record.
2	We traded 30 units in a luxury building for 30
3	units in an affordable building. Units are units;
4	I get it, but it's not a like kind exchange. I
5	feel like this Board continues to, I want to say,
6	get pushed around, but we need to hold people to
7	their commitments. (Applause.) I feel like we
8	really let down I think we really fell down on
9	the job by approving 18-04. With that, are there
10	any other comments? We'll take a roll is there
11	a motion to vote?
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I move.
12 13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I move. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second?
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second?
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second.
13 14 15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A second from the
13 14 15 16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A second from the phone. We'll do a roll call vote.
13 14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A second from the phone. We'll do a roll call vote. MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
13 14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A second from the phone. We'll do a roll call vote. MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri.
13 14 15 16 17 18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Second. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A second from the phone. We'll do a roll call vote. MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No.

1	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
3	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
4	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
5	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Before I say
6	anything on this, I held my comment until this vote.
7	Hearing what I hear just a few minutes ago, there's
8	no friendly amendment to this vote, and I will say
9	no.
10	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
11	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: No.
12	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: No.
14	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
17	that Chairman Albert said yes. You have one, two,
18	three, four yes and four no. The resolution is
19	not passed.
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right, moving
21	on to the next resolution. We have Resolution
22	18-07, which is to accept the final audit of DCHA

1	for fiscal year 2017. The District of Columbia
2	DCHA requests approval from the Board of
3	Commissioners to accept the DCHA's audited
4	financial statements, the independent auditor's
5	report, and the management discussion and analysis
6	for the period end of September 30, 2017. Is there
7	going to be anybody presenting on this?
8	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, we can
9	have an additional presentation from our auditor,
10	if necessary. Again, based on the audit summary,
11	there was no financial finding. There was one
12	management finding that we needed to correct.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I apologize.
14	MR. GARRETT: There was no financial
15	finding, as you are aware, and then there was a
16	management finding, which we have corrected, in
17	terms of a recertification. We can go further in
18	depth, if necessary, with your approval.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Does anybody want
20	that or have any questions?
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I would
22	like to put some things on the record. What I would

1	like to put on the record is A, would somebody
2	answer, so it can be on the record, what is an
3	independent audit? Why does the District of
4	Columbia Housing Authority have an independent
5	audit?
6	How and what was determined with this
7	audit? Why is it aligned, and how does that work,
8	and what was the outcome driven of this audit, and
9	what does collaborative work what it constitutes
10	for my constituents? I'm the family elect
11	commissioner.
12	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner
1 2	Vann-Ghasri, we're going to allow our auditor, who
13	
14	conducted the audit and made the presentation
	conducted the audit and made the presentation earlier, to answer your questions.
14	earlier, to answer your questions.
14 15	earlier, to answer your questions. THE AUDITOR: Can we go through each
14 15 16	earlier, to answer your questions. THE AUDITOR: Can we go through each question one by one? Can we go back through each
14 15 16 17	earlier, to answer your questions. THE AUDITOR: Can we go through each question one by one? Can we go back through each
14 15 16 17	earlier, to answer your questions. THE AUDITOR: Can we go through each question one by one? Can we go back through each one of your questions? Can you state the first
14 15 16 17 18	earlier, to answer your questions. THE AUDITOR: Can we go through each question one by one? Can we go back through each one of your questions? Can you state the first one? I was coming up from the back.

independent audit, you all, DCHA, is required to 1 have an outside third party conduct an annual audit, 2 since you receive over \$750,000 of federal funds. 3 It must be an independent audit. 4 VANN-GHASRI: 5 COMMISSIONER What. 6 constitutes a collaborative work environment, and how does that impact my constituents, or the 7 residents of the District of Columbia 8 9 Authority? 10 THE AUDITOR: When you say 11 collaborative? COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 12 Your Goal D, foster collaborative work environment that is 13 outcome driven and meets the highest expectations 14 15 of the affordable housing industry. What does that mean, and how does it impact my constituents? 16 THE AUDITOR: From an audit standpoint 17 and us being independent, the word collaborative, 18 19 the way I translate it, is as we work with DC Housing 20 Authority to collaborate and to put together this 21 audit report that you're looking at. We work

together to collect data.

1	They provide us information to support
2	how, from a financial aspect, the internal controls
3	checks and balances to make sure the money that
4	is spent is done appropriately. We also look at
5	it from the constituent side, where we're looking
6	at the programs that they're in, that the funds
7	are being properly spend. Again, we collaborate
8	with all the staff here to gather that information,
9	so then we can provide an independent report for
10	everyone to review.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: This is the
12	last question. Where and how do you get that data
13	collected?
14	THE AUDITOR: We request it. We put
15	together requests and get an enormous amount of
16	data electronically from the financial records of
17	the Agency, and then also request other kind of
18	records that are maintained from the constituents'
19	point of view. We look at their records that are
20	maintained at the Authority, also.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: What
22	records are you looking at that belongs to

constituents? Is there confidentiality, or do you have the privilege?

THE AUDITOR: We're independent, and there's stuff we go through to make sure that's all maintained independent.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you so much.

THE AUDITOR: You're welcome.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Any other I heard someone yell be transparent questions? out there. We strive to be that way. What I would offer is that these documents are made public at some point in time. If you want to, you can go out and -- check me if I'm wrong, but last year's should be available to the public, so feel free to go read through it. At some point, this one will be made public. An audit is really just a check and balance and to make sure that -- it's not a description of efficiency. It's a required process to go through to make sure that everything's where it should be. I think we need to understand that's what it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	I would like to echo some comments from
2	earlier and to thank the audit firm. It was a very
3	detailed report. Thank you very much. You
4	clearly spent a lot of time here and worked staff
5	and everybody. It sounds like it was a very
6	successful process, and we want to thank you for
7	that.
8	Again, it's available. Go take a look
9	at it, pull up last year's. When this one comes
10	out, take a look at it. We're not trying to hide
11	anything. It's just a process we have to go through
12	timing wise. We need to approve this document,
13	so that we can then make it available to you.
14	That's the way it works. Does anybody have any
15	other questions or comments related to Resolution
16	18-07? Do I hear a motion to vote?
17	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.
18	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Second.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call, please.
20	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commission
21	St. Jean.
22	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.

1	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud.
2	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
3	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
4	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
5	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
6	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Yes.
7	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
8	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
9	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
10	Vann-Ghasri.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
12	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
14	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
15	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
17	that Chairman Albert said yes. You have eight yes.
18	The resolution is approved.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Moving on to
20	Resolution 18-09 can you hold on one sec? With
21	the Board's okay, since Resolution 18-09 and 18-10
22	are essentially for the same services, just

different divisions, I would like to be able to move these two en bloc, unless I have some opposition to that. Hearing none, we'll get a presentation on Resolution 18-09, which is the execution of a contract for screening services for the Housing Choice Voucher Program participants, and 18-10, which is to authorize the execution of a contract for applicant screening services for eligibility and continued occupancy division, which is traditional public housing.

MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon, Commissioners, Chelsea Johnson. What you have before you is a resolution requesting your approval for us to enter into a contract with Yardi Services for background screenings during recertification process for our participants and for our residents.

Currently, we do background screenings during the eligibility process, and we allow our residents and our participants to recertify with self-certifications. We have learned, through past experience, that sometimes there are misrepresentations, and then we have to later take

action. As explained to you earlier, HUD rules do not allow for us to allow individuals who are Class A sex offenders or meth producers to remain in our program or to use federal funds for their participation in our program, so we are required to take action when we learn of those types of offenses.

What we're seeking to do is to have full background checks done at recertification period, to make sure that we're in compliance with HUD rules. We will not be applying any new protocol or procedure, in terms of taking any action that we would not otherwise take action on for our residents or our participants.

We will remain consistent. We currently have policies in place that we follow. This just simply allows us to not have to be reactive after we later learn that someone committed an offense that would not allow them to be in our program.

Both resolutions are before you for approval. There are two different resolutions

because one is for our the Housing Choice Voucher Program, and then the other resolution is for our residents in the Low Income Housing Program. It's two different departments that would need to enter into contracts with Yardi. Please let me know if you have any questions.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Questions?

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I would like to put on the record that unfortunately, I won't be able to vote for this because my constituents -- on anything that concerns judiciary challenged individuals in the District of Columbia public housing, here are my constituents, and here's a list that I know for a fact you have not contacted them.

Roach Brown (phonetic), Elmalee Fircon (phonetic), Deborah Rowe, Jonathan Howard, C. Sosa, Tony Lewis, Ronald Moten, Jahar Abertson (phonetic), Yango Sawyer (phonetic), Kenny Barnes.

It's an insult to me when Karen Settles chaired the city-wide advisory board and brought to the attention to this Housing Authority with

1	Wallace Kirby reach. Unfortunately, until you
2	respect my constituents, I won't be able to vote
3	on anything that deals with residents in judiciary
4	challenge.
5	(Applause.)
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You good?
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm good.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
9	Lancaster.
LO	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: No comment at
L1	this particular time.
L2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay. Does
L3	anybody have any comments?
L 4	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Can you talk
L5	about the plan for resident engagement in the
L6	changes to the
L7	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair,
L8	there's no other comments, I move
L9	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: the
20	changes to the recertification process.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold on; there's
22	going to be comments.

COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: I'm sorry.

I have to be really against the mic to hear. I

wanted to know if you could talk about your plan

for resident engagement and the changes to the

recertification process?

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you for question. We do intend to have a meeting with the advocacy community on May 24th to talk about the fact that we will be consistent with our plan. Although we're seeking contract authority at this particular time, we don't expect that we will begin to do background checks until the September time frame, when it's the first time we're going through the recertification process. Before that time, we definitely intend to engage residents, make sure that they're fully informed.

Also, upon admission into our program, every resident is made aware that they have to remain in compliance with all federal requirements and guidelines. This will just be a reminder of that, but also advising them that we will be doing full background checks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Also, I should put on the record that if ever there is any issue in regards to, particularly, one household member one participant in a family, that our Agency will maintain the policy of allowing residents some leniency, if they need to make some adjustments, so that they can remain in our program.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I have a couple questions. How many recertifications or certifications do we do in each of these programs annually?

MS. JOHNSON: In the Housing Choice Voucher Program, conduct 6,391 we recertifications. There's a breakdown, actually, in the resolution, that identifies how many we do for annual. We do 12,781 on a biannual basis, and 767 for individuals who are on triannual recertification schedules. Then in our Low Income Housing Program, we conduct 6,500 recertifications on a biannual basis, and 1,167 recertifications on a triannual basis.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I just picked up

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this. I apologize. Why is there such a discrepancy in the cost -- unless my math is way off -- on the cost of each of the certifications? It costs \$35, and then if you look at the HCVP annual cost, it's \$580,000 for what equates to the same amount of certifications.

MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, we did a look at all of the adult household members in each program, and that's how we came up with the number in the estimate. These recertifications are for adult household members. It appears as though there's a difference in numbers for adult household members in the various programs. That's what this number references.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So I'm to take from that there's twice as many adults in the Housing Choice Voucher per --

MS. JOHNSON: We actually ran the numbers based on how many adult household members we do recertifications on. That's how we came up with what the estimate would be for their recertifications.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Interesting. You mentioned -- the biggest concern that I've heard about this policy is that it's going to be used to, let's say, deny entrance or re-entrance to redeveloped properties. The timing of resolution is concerning to the advocates. I wish we had the advocate meeting prior to approving this. I hear you saying that we're simply approving the contract, but I have concern that we haven't heard enough from the residents and the advocates that we serve prior to approving I have concerns about it. this. I'm personally going to vote no and wait until we hear from the advocates and how they weigh in on this and how we can assure that what we're saying is in writing somewhere. I don't see that in the resolution, so I can't support it. that said, are there any other comments? COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: I have a couple of more questions. Did you have some? COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes, I do. COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: For the clarity
2	of what's going on in this resolution, can you tell
3	me is it something that DCHA is doing? Is it
4	something that all of the housing authorities are
5	doing? Is it something that HUD is making us do?
6	MS. JOHNSON: HUD mandates that we not
7	provide any federal funding to anyone that's a Class
8	A sex offender or a meth producer. Once they learn
9	that you have provided funding, it's a potential
10	negative audit finding on our Agency.
11	I did do an audit of our Agency and
12	learned that there were individuals who
13	self-certified that they were not Class A sex
14	offenders, and that we made our programmatic
15	decisions based on that certification improperly.
16	Therefore, in order to be in compliance
17	with HUD rules, we need to be prudent and do full
18	background checks. Other public housing
19	authorities also do full background checks at
20	recertification.
21	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Hearing you say
22	that, as far as sex offenders, there are certain

class of sex offenders. Just to say sex offenders, 1 that sends a red light that makes a person say I 2 don't want him or her in my neighborhood or my 3 neighbor. Can you clarify, for example, what is 4 and what isn't, as far as from A, B, C sex offender? 5 The clarification I 6 MS. JOHNSON: could provide is that it's based on a Class A sex 7 offender, the HUD rule, and that's the requirement 8 where if someone commits an offense that rises to 9 the level of them being a lifetime registered sex 10 11 offender, they are not permitted to be in any 12 federal program, including our program. COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: 13 But there is one that I believe that's an A if one's caught by 14 the police relieving them self in public. 15 That 16 can make them a sex offender, as well. MS. JOHNSON: That would probably be 17 considered a Class B, but I don't want to speculate. 18 I don't have the District of Columbia criminal 19 20 rules before me. I will indicate that, of course, 21 if we were made aware of anything that could

potentially put our residents at risk, then we would

handle it like we currently handle it, in terms of fight-backs, having to look at what the action was, if it's something that is dangerous and something that we need to be responsive to would be consistent with our current policies and procedures.

I hear what COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: you're saying, but we need to be clarified to make the residents feel comfortable in knowing what we're trying to do with safety. If we don't do it that way, it seems like we are discriminatory. We need to make sure that we tweak We give them every definition that follows it. under what we call a sex offender. There are women, just as well as men, that are sex offenders.

MS. JOHNSON: Absolutely. Commissioner, this particular resolution wouldn't delineate the various Class A sex offenders because, of course, this is a resolution regarding contract authority. But for purposes of making sure that all of our residents understand what is not permissible, we could definitely provide

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

guidance on what would be considered a Class A sex offender versus Class B. I should also indicate that if someone is a Class B sex offender and they've committed some other kind of egregious act, our Agency would still have to take that same due diligence to make sure that we're protecting our residents and make a decision on if that resident is a danger to our residents.

commissioner neal jones: It certainly sounds like there's some unreadiness and a desire to have more engagement and public comment. Can you tell me about the timing? From your perspective, from where you're sitting, why does it need to go forward now? What time of constraints are we facing?

MS. JOHNSON: Commissioners, you all often have a very jam-packed schedule. We have a lot of various initiatives that we're moving on. Getting your approval to move forward allows us to move into the next direction of informing our residents, of putting forth the initiative in regards to community engagement, but also assuring

HUD that we were immediately responsive to their audit finding that we allowed individuals in our program that should not have been. They are looking to us to see how is our Agency going to respond when we recently had a negative audit there were individuals finding that self-certify. So being able to go back to them and say that we went to our Board, that we have the intention to do full background checks, that we have gotten approval for a contract to do so, and that we have a plan to roll it out to our residents in due time, we believe, is responsive, is necessary, and is a good reflection of our Agency's commitment to abide by the federal rules and regulations.

COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Are there certain time constraints for the corrective actions to HUD? Have they given us a deadline? Has HUD given a deadline to respond to the findings?

MS. JOHNSON: I'm unable, at this particular point, say what that timeline is. I can say that obviously, being a regulatory Agency,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that we're constantly under scrutiny in regards to how we respond. Wanting to maintain a positive reputation and showing that we are being responsive to any issues that are highlighted, this is the step that we're taking. I can also just indicate that as a whole, our Agency needs to maintain a positive relationship. We need to show that we're proactive. Quite frankly, it's hard to even go back to HUD to indicate why we would not want to make sure that there are no Class A sex offenders in our program.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I would offer that's not what we're saying. We're not saying we don't want to do that part. What we're saying is the wide-scoping authority is the issue. We're not saying that, so don't say that. That's not what we're saying.

What we're saying, again, is that there are two things you've specified that HUD has requested. You're requesting much more than that.

We want to understand what that means. Don't put words in our mouth. We're not saying we don't want

to do this.

MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, I wasn't

putting words in your mouth.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We're saying we want to understand this.

MS. JOHNSON: I was just indicating that it would be challenging to go back to indicate why we would not be doing background checks. Let me also just clarify, for the record, that we currently do background checks to confirm that individuals are eligible. We also, on an ongoing basis, do fight-backs, which means that if we are aware that an individual has committed a violent criminal activity, we move forward with the eviction process.

Let me also indicate that in moving forward with the eviction process, any resident has an opportunity to have counsel and, of course, go before the court and contest and have due process. The same holds true to any action we take against a participant. There's due process. Individuals have an opportunity to have counsel

1	if they are unhappy with a decision that we're
2	taking, in terms of their participation in our
3	program.
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: When did you get
5	the request from HUD to do the screening?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, I don't
7	know the exact date. I do know that within the
8	last month is when we had to provide them with the
9	information.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So you put this
11	proposal June 8, 2017.
12	PARTICIPANT: May 7th.
13	MS. JOHNSON: The proposal went out on
14	May 7th.
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Whereas, June 8,
16	2017, DCHA received two proposals for evaluation.
17	MS. JOHNSON: That's the closing date.
18	We put it out May.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What day is it
20	today? It's a year later, right? You put this
21	out a year ago.
2.2	MS. JOHNSON: No. I think that's a

1	typo.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What am I missing?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, that's a
4	typo. This should have been 2018, so let the record
5	reflect that.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So all this 2017
7	is actually 2018?
8	MS. JOHNSON: It's a typo, yes,
9	Commissioner.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold on. I'm
11	sorry; when did you put out the proposal for these
12	contracts?
13	MS. JOHNSON: Brief indulgence. I can
14	get you that exact information. It appears as
15	though this resolution has the wrong date. But
16	either way, Commissioner, I can answer your
17	question in regards to when did we have to respond
18	to HUD. That would have been about a month ago,
19	we had to provide them with information. In
20	regards to when the solicitation went out, I have
21	to look back to my staff to ask about these dates.
22	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner Slover, may

1	I make a comment, please?
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Sure.
3	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, one thing
4	I want everyone to be clear about and understand
5	is that we're not asking to do anything that we
6	are not required to do by HUD regulation.
7	In addition, the only change that we're
8	actually making is we're removing the opportunity
9	for residents to recertify themselves as not to
10	have been a Class A sex offender or a producer of
11	methamphetamines. If you look at it, take just
12	half of a step back, that's the difference. The
13	difference is we're not allowing individuals to
14	self-certify themselves. We're actually going to
14	self-certify themselves. We're actually going to do that certification.
15	do that certification.
15 16	do that certification. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Director
15 16 17	do that certification. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Director Garrett, I understand that you're a new director.
15 16 17 18	do that certification. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Director Garrett, I understand that you're a new director. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold on. With all
15 16 17 18 19	do that certification. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Director Garrett, I understand that you're a new director. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold on. With all due respect

constituents, but your constituents and my constituents are not always the same constituents.

When you speak of the legal constituents, I know who they are.

Patton (phonetic) trained me.

Therefore, I'm not going to play no games up here.

I'm going to listen to her, but that doesn't mean

I'm going to always agree with her. When you say

the advocacy group, three of them live in my ward.

They participate at my ANC.

When you say my constituents, constituents, I've given you a list of names. All you have to do is find out their phone numbers, call each and every one of them and just say how do you feel on this matter? They're going to tell you whatever. When I say have you contacted my constituents, you'll say yes, Commissioner, I contacted your constituents, and we move right None of my constituents have That's all I'm saying. I'm not voting contacted. on the resolution, and it still should pass.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'd like to get

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

back to the proposal dates. They're confusing me. 1 May 7, 2017, with a closing date of Continue. 2 June 8, 2017, is not correct? 3 MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, Ι do 4 5 apologize. It does appear as though there are 6 wrong dates. This actually also went before the 7 prior committees. Multiple eyes have looked at it, but for some reason, we had --8 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 9 Mine are good. Mine are really good, my eyes. I don't understand 10 11 -- when did the proposal go out? MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, if you'd 12 like to give a brief indulgence, I'm certain I can 13 get back to you in a few minutes. This isn't an 14 15 issue where anyone's trying to hide the ball. 16 That, quite frankly, is not the underlining ask. I have asked my staff to get those dates, and I 17 can provide them. If you'd like to take a brief 18 19 break, move on to the next issue, I could come back, 20 or if we could just pause for a minute, allow me to pull out my phone and make a phone call, I could 21

surely give you all the dates. Actually, it should

1	be on our website. Hold on a second.
2	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I just want
3	to say, just really, as a responsible Board member,
4	this is to me, it's about safety. I'm not sure
5	why it's an argument. This is a yes to me.
6	PARTICIPANT: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I just
8	wanted to say that. Thank you.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We appreciate
10	that.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, I'm
12	looking on our website because they are posted
13	all solicitations are posted on our website. If
14	you would give me a brief indulgence.
15	Commissioner, I'm actually going to defer to Ms.
16	Bonds to give some background in regards to the
17	date. It does suggest as a high level, it does
18	appear as though these dates are correct, that we
19	went out with the original solicitation quite some
20	time ago, which shows that we were actually being
21	more proactive than I thought. Prior to HUD's

requesting an audit, this was a policy that we

wanted to change, but it appears as though we recently were able to finalize the contract terms with the Yardi, if there are additional questions in regards to the procurement.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: The issue would be, then, of course, why would we wait so long to engage the residents and the advocates, when we went out with the solicitation with the intention of doing this over a year ago?

MS. SMYSER: Commissioners, initially, when we issued the solicitation, again, it was for the background screening checks for, again, at eligibility. During that process and over this process of time, the company was not willing to work with us, with regard to our Section 3 requirements.

At that time, we were not willing to go forward with the contract because of that. More recently, during the request with regard to, again, HUD's requirements for recertification requirements, we've added that to, again, the services. We went back to them, asked for a new

price proposal. We did those negotiations during this time, and then, again, worked with them through our Office of Resident Services to be able to get a Section 3 commitment. That's why we're only able to come back to the Board at this time. That was what caused the delay with regard to the service. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So it was never the original intent to do a full criminal background check when you first out with the solicitation? Background checks was the MS. SMYSER: initial -- again, the whole point of the actual solicitation, again, at the time of eligibility, the same ones we do now. The addition was the actual recertification. The time that we're doing recertification, that's when we actually revised the actual scope of service and asked for additional price proposals for the additional services. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can we be quiet, please? Not you, everyone out there. anybody have any further questions? COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: I just want to say really, this is about families and children.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

To me, this is completely -- I don't understand 1 this whole -- it's simply about -- I'm definitely 2 a yes, 100 percent, just to put that out there. 3 I'm not sure about the argument here. It's simply 4 stating some facts here. That's, to me, that's 5 6 what it's about. It's about -- so just want to bring that up. 7 COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: I think the 8 unsaid here is that the more often we conduct 9 background checks, the more likely we are to find 10 11 issues that could limit people from public housing. 12 As we do that, I think we should also be proactive about supports around alternatives 13 and options that people can have, so that they may 14 15 not make more choices to engage in criminal 16 Certainly, education around the rules activity. changing, but also more, in terms of opportunities 17 and options and resources, so that people can 18 19 actually have some different choices. 20 MS. JOHNSON: Absolutely. 21 COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: For Resolution 18-09, because it's for the ACBP folks, that I'm 22

1	ultimately yes because it's to protect our families
2	and children. I don't know anything else for the
3	Resolution 18-10 for public housing.
4	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if
5	I may.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: I know
8	earlier, you asked if anybody had any comments at
9	that time, and I said no, I didn't. Then you just,
10	a moment ago, asked does anybody have any questions.
11	That's what I was waiting for, that comment. I'm
12	following protocol. I have a question at this
13	particular time. Did you have a report to us for
14	what the advocates had to say about this?
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: They haven't had
16	the meeting yet.
17	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: They haven't
18	had the meeting with them yet?
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: No.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, our
21	meeting with the advocates is on May 24th. At that
22	time, we'll advise them that we are following HUD's

rules to make sure that we do not have Class A sex 1 offenders and meth producers in our program. 2 COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So what I'm 3 hearing, then, is not until this goes public we'll 4 be hearing the comments from the advocates on this? 5 6 MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, actually -- to abide by HUD's rules, it's really 7 non-negotiable, in terms of us making sure that 8 we do not have Class A sex offenders and meth 9 producers in our program. We don't have to get 10 11 any input from the public on that. We have to abide 12 by federal guidelines. 13 What we will do, as a common courtesy, and because of our ongoing relationship with our 14 15 advocates, which we meet with on an ongoing regular 16 basis about any policy and procedure that we change -- and I'm certain they can attest to the number 17 of meetings we've had recently, over the course 18 19 of the last few months, over other issues. 20 We will make sure that they are clear that we do not plan to take any additional action. 21

We're not asking for any additional authority from

the Board to take some kind of draconian action towards anyone, as I mentioned before. The good news is that if any resident, any participant, feels as though we've taken an action they don't agree with, there's a due process in place. They could get an attorney. They could file a grievance. They could go to D.C. Superior Court. They could appeal all the way to Court of Appeals. There's no way that we can take an action against anyone that they can't seek counsel for and have a due process process for, as well.

COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: The language

to this is not quite clear, to me, that is, what they're seeking and how they'll go about getting it. It's not quite clear to me at this particular moment. Unfortunately, this is a two resolutions vote, and one vote covers both resolutions. That makes it kind of complicated.

MS. JOHNSON: Commissioner, I think that we can separate both resolutions.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We can separate them out. We can vote them on separately. Maybe

it would be helpful if you would, in your own words, 1 describe what the new admission and occupancy 2 policy is. 3 Commissioner, are you MS. JOHNSON: 4 referring to something in our resolution? 5 6 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes. MS. JOHNSON: Brief indulgence. 7 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: DCHA requires a 8 9 qualified firm to provide tenant screening services to comply with the new admissions and occupancy 10 11 policy. 12 I think that's what's concerning people is that you keep talking about these things that 13 we need to abide by, which no one would disagree 14 15 with; it's when we widen the scope, and then you 16 basically tell us you're not going to come back to us for any more requests or approvals, so this 17 is our one chance to make sure we know what we're 18 19 doing or what we're approving. So what is the new 20 admission and occupancy policy? 21 MS. JOHNSON: As my colleagues iust 22 assured me, this actually isn't anything new. It's

our ongoing requirement to make sure that individuals are eligible under HUD's rules and under our rules. There's not any new policy.

Just let me also state, for the record, because you put it out there, this is no way tied to our development. This is in no way -- and I just want to make sure the record is abundantly clear -- any malintent or any intention to stop anyone from being able to continue to be in our programs. This is, in fact, geared more towards the protection and safety of our residents, and all the policies and procedures that this Agency has invoked will be what we continue to do.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: But this is a new policy because you're now adding these two things, which we need to add, so it's a new policy. This is where it gets confusing. I really don't know what we're approving here.

MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, if we were not coming back to you for a contract, we would still be doing these type of recertifications.

This would still be our process. We would still

do a recertification and do a background check on 1 an individual resident. 2 The reason it's back before you is 3 because we have to utilize a company and have to 4 5 have a contract in order to do that background 6 But this would be normal course and a normal 7 process for us. SLOVER: VICE CHAIR That's 8 you're wrong, sir. It's not a normal course and 9 not a normal process because before, we were 10 11 allowing self-recertification, so we're changing, 12 which is okay. We just need to understand what the change is. The advocate community hasn't 13 14 weighed in on it. 15 I understand they don't need to, but 16 it would be helpful, in terms of understanding what we're doing. think we've exhausted this 17 Ι discussion. If I hear a motion to vote, then we'll 18 have a motion to vote, because that's how the Board 19 Do I have a motion to vote? 20 works. 21 COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved. 22 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Do I have a second?

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Second.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call. We'll
3	do them individually, as per requested by
4	Commissioner Lancaster.
5	MS. MCNAIR: Okay, for Resolution
6	18-09, Commissioner Ortiz Gaud.
7	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
8	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
9	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
10	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
11	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: I cannot say
12	I'm voting on the double because that's the way
13	it was brought to me. That's what the problem was.
14	I'm going to have to say no to both.
15	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
16	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
17	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
18	Vann-Ghasri.
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No.
20	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean.
21	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
22	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: No.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
3	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
4	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
5	that Chairman Albert said yes. You have five yes,
6	three no. The resolution is approved.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay, do I have a
8	motion to vote on Resolution 18-10? Do I hear
9	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: So moved.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Do I get a second?
11	PARTICIPANT: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Second.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Motion to vote.
14	Roll call, please.
15	MS. MCNAIR: For Resolution 18-10,
16	Commissioner Neal Jones.
17	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
18	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
19	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: No.
20	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
21	that Commissioner Lancaster said no. Commissioner
22	Council.

1	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
3	Vann-Ghasri.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No.
5	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean.
6	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
7	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud.
8	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
9	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Bill Slover.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: No.
11	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
12	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
13	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
14	that Chairman Albert said yes. You have five yes,
15	three no, the resolution is approved.
16	PARTICIPANT: Thank you, Commissioners.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Moving on to
18	Resolution 18-11, hopefully this will be quick.
19	Resolution 18-11 is to approve modifications of
20	the general legal services contract for Reno &
21	Cavanaugh, PLLC, Ballard Spahr, LLP, Beveridge &
22	Diamond, PC, and K&L Gates LLP.

1	I would offer this to the Board. This
2	is not asking for new money. This is
3	redistributing money for a previously approved
4	contract, to put it to the firms who we need the
5	services from now. I would just offer that. I
6	appreciate the executive director bringing this
7	forward, and I appreciate the legal team bringing
8	this forward, but hopefully we can get through this
9	pretty quickly because it's really about them being
10	able to perform their job.
11	MR. SLAUGHTER: Thank you, Mr.
12	Chairman. You described our request accurately.
13	It is based on our actual experience that you first
14	approved the gross amount for several contracts.
15	We're just recognizing contracts that we're not
16	likely to use, and then contractors, legal firms
17	that we will use and we need more contract
18	authority. We thank you for your consideration
19	of this request.
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Are there any
21	questions or comments from the commissioners?
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Only a

comment. I really like this resolution. Of course, you know I like the Section 3 compliance. Nevertheless, the environmental law component with Beveridge & Diamond, PC, I think I made myself very, very clear that I would like to see trends of environmental trends.

It can be monitored through probably the Operations Committee. It could be a separate item, just so we could see where our trends are.

You do comprehend what I'm really saying?

MR. SLAUGHTER: Ms. Vann-Ghasri, thank you for your question. Beveridge & Diamond is probably the best environmental law firm in the country. They have handled the wide variety of trends in environmental compliance. That's why we have added or requested that we add money to their contract, so that we can make sure that we identify any trends and be ahead of the curve.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: One of the trends I highly recommend them to look at with these -- and I'm not being -- this is not a self-interest, this is a profile interest. That's been in Ward

1	6. I want them to just look at the outside trend.
2	My concern is not outdoors because we can't control
3	that.
4	My concern is for this law firm to look
5	at the trends of the inside of our buildings,
6	whether or not the trends of any type of
7	environmental issues are affecting all public
8	housing, in every ward of the city, because public
9	housing is in every ward of the city.
10	However, as the family commissioner,
11	as we know, there are no family commission
12	properties in Ward 3. I think that would serve
13	this Housing Authority very well, and then it would
14	also show some what's the word I'm really trying
15	to say, a really good legal word, Counselor, but
16	it would show some type of
17	MR. SLAUGHTER: Precedent.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI:
19	accountability.
20	MR. SLAUGHTER: Ms. Vann-Ghasri, let
21	me assure you we selected this firm because of their
22	national presence, but they are very strong locally

and have represented other District agencies, including the D.C. Water and Sewer Authority and the Department of Environment, so you're in good hands on your local trend request.

other thing I want is in the Office of Resident Service, I mean on the Committee of Resident Service Resident Initiative, our committee, being that we have more commissioners on that committee than any other committee, we want to monitor and track the Section 3 compliance.

We may not necessarily know names, but want to see what Ward our clients, we constituents and are, we want to see what categories. Because I want to bring to your attention, in the District of Columbia public housing, off the shelf -- because many of us have bachelor's degrees, AA degrees, certifications that are on the shelf. They can come off the shelf and be used in other aspects with these law firms, and even as paralegals --

MR. SLAUGHTER: Yes, Ms. Vann-Ghasri.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	At your direction, we called special meetings with
2	all of our law firms to reiterate the requirement
3	for Section 3. We monitored that. We reported,
4	and we will continue to do that to your committee.
5	Thank you so much.
6	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
7	so much. After I win this election, I'll be sending
8	my resume to one of those law firms.
9	MR. SLAUGHTER: Thank you very much.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Any other
11	questions? Can I get a motion to vote?
12	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Second?
14	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Second.
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
17	Lancaster.
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Yes.
19	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
20	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
22	Vann-Ghasri.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean.
3	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
4	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz Gaud.
5	COMMISSIONER ORTIZ GAUD: Yes.
6	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
7	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: Yes.
8	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Slover.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
10	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
11	Chairman?
12	CHAIRMAN ALBERT: Yes.
13	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
14	Chairman Albert said yes. You have eight yes.
15	Resolution 18-11 is approved.
16	PARTICIPANT: Thank you.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That concludes the
18	business resolutions for the day, and now we'll
19	move on to the public comment period. The public
20	comment period permits the residents and Housing
21	Choice Voucher, HCVP participants who have signed
22	up today to be first to present to the Board for

five minutes. People who are not residents have three minutes to present.

Your name will be called in the order in which you signed up. When your name is called, please come to the table and speak directly into the microphone. Remember that these meetings are recorded, and the minutes are being -- Ms. Hicks. Also, as a reminder, when you come to the table, please announce yourself and which property you are a resident of, or if you're in the Housing Choice Voucher Program.

MS. HICKS: Hello, Board of Commissioners. My name is Tangelia Hicks, and I'm currently residing at 2400 Alabama Avenue. It's under Urban Management, which is a rental property that's been partnering with Housing Authority for, what I was told, 20 plus years.

For years, I had nothing but great things to say about Housing Authority. To be honest with you all, I'm what you would call it takes a village to raise a child kid. I had parents, but they weren't -- they were good with

kids, but just not their own.

In the early 1990s, Housing Authority helped me overcome homelessness to help me find a place to stay. Throughout the years, they helped me with slum lords. Anything that I asked, they were always there for me. Unfortunately, in 2014, I became homeless again.

That rat race out there, trying to find a place to stay was totally crazy, but I made sure that I updated my information with Housing because I was still on the list for the voucher program and everything. In December 2018, I went and I updated my -- made sure my information was straight.

Not even a week later, my emergency contact was contacted, instead of me, and was told if I didn't call the next morning, that I was going to lose my spot on the voucher list. Right then, I was like this might be crazy, so I called.

It was explained to me that the system really badly needed to be updated. Remember I said that. In April 2016, I received my housing voucher. I was told that I had six months to use

it, and if I didn't use it within six months, then
I would go through a period of extensions.

Throughout them six months -- I have an autistic child, so his health and me working and me going to these open houses where there's hundreds and hundreds of people trying to apply for the same places, and I'm paying out the pocket for non-refundable application fees. It was hectic, but I didn't give up.

Towards the six months, I had a housing official refer me to Urban City Management, and I found a unit. I was supposed to move in November of 2016, but Housing kept having issues. They went to the wrong unit. They said new rules and regulations was coming about, so they had to keep redoing inspections. I didn't wind up moving into my unit until April 2017. When I moved into my unit, my building was inherited by another rental property. I called Housing, and I'm like my building just got switched over with rental management.

No one got in contact with me, as far

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

as who my housing specialist is going to be. I was told that at the end of April, my voucher got terminated because I never used it. For a whole year, I was back and forth in court fighting Housing because they basically was like there's nothing I could do for you.

Any time I went down to 1133, I was shrugged, like there's nothing I could do for you. So I had to go to legal aid to ask for representation. I wound up having a hearing with Housing and my lawyers. I had to agree to not come at Housing for the \$16,000 of backed up rent that was owed to management in order for me to get a hearing.

When I did receive my hearing, only evidence that Housing had of me was my voucher. They didn't have anything else on file with me. But being the person that I am and was taught to keep certain things, I had over 100 and something pieces of paper and evidence at my hearing. Being as though I had that, and my lawyers was also able to get from the management the visa packet, the

full copies of the inspections done on the property, the emails back and forth, I won my case, but even when I won my case in hearing, the Housing lawyer still was saying they were going to appeal the process.

I'm in it now. I'm in my unit now. I've been there ever since April 1st. Right now, it's like I'm still in a loophole because with this new property, I now owe \$2,067 in security deposit, which I've got to find a way to pay.

There are ERAP programs in the District, but each program I go to says we can give you \$900, Ms. Hicks, but you've got to get a letter from Salvation Army that says they're going to give you this such-and-such amount, in order for me to give you this such-and-such amount.

Then I go to Salvation Army and I say, Catholic Church is going to give you me \$900 if you can provide a letter that says -- nuh-uh. Each one of them is topsy-turvy, sending me here, and then sending me here, and sending me here. So now, I have an issue with paying the security deposit

because I'm going to have to get that paid so I won't have to be back in the streets again. Other than that, I can cover the rent and all that.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm sorry to interrupt. Your time is up, but I want you to be able to speak and ask for whatever assistance you're looking for. Is the issue that you have at the moment that you just can't pay your security deposit? Is that the main reason you're here?

MS. HICKS: Yes, and also just to tell you the situation that I went through because it was depressing. I got an invite to participate in the voucher program. I did everything that I was told to do, and because there was a mistake made by Housing, I was about to be back homeless again.

Instead of Housing fixing the situation, it basically was giving me a nonchalant attitude, like you're just going to have to be back in the streets. I had to prove myself. The only thing that saved me was that I kept my paperwork every time that I talked to an official, I went

1	down to 1133. Today I had to go to Housing.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can I ask Mr.
3	Garrett, could you look into maybe the history of
4	what happened here and see what we can possibly
5	learn from what happened here?
6	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
7	MS. HICKS: Could I say this one thing?
8	I'm still nervous that something else might pop
9	up because I've been in my unit since April 1st
10	
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Now that you're
12	here, and now that you've testified, and now that
13	you've put yourself
14	MS. HICKS: But they're still messing
15	my stuff up.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We'll have someone
17	follow up.
18	
	MS. HICKS: April 24, 2018, this is an
19	MS. HICKS: April 24, 2018, this is an invitation for the federal D.C. Housing voucher.
19	
	invitation for the federal D.C. Housing voucher.

1	specialist. I was still in the system as not even
2	having a voucher.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right. We'll
4	hopefully get a better understanding of what
5	happened. We apologize for that, and I hope we
6	get a better understanding of why these things are
7	happening. I appreciate your coming and
8	testifying and letting us know of this issue.
9	We'll do the best we can to help you out.
LO	MS. HICKS: All right, thank you.
L1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for your
L2	time. Next would be Rene Saunders.
L3	MS. SAUNDERS: Hi, everybody. My name
L 4	is Rene Saunders Blunt, as Ms. Fruit Loops. You
L5	all know me as Ms. Fruit Loops. My property complex
L6	used to be solitary, but I'm over on Kennedy side.
L7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Could I ask you to
L8	
L9	(Simultaneous Speaking.)
20	MS. SAUNDERS: I moved in my house in
21	December 8, 2007. The issues I'm having with my
22	house now is the lights on the side and the back,

the big high-beam lights that be out back and on the side of my house. The AC washer, we have to pay AC during the wintertime, not the summertime coming. On my statement, I had my AC for winter, not for summer. I have it for summer, not for winter, so I would like to know why we're still paying AC for the winter, not the summer.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you clarify, is that a charge for a window unit, is that what you're talking about?

MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. My AC is being charged since I moved in my new place. The second one is I didn't ever get my outdoor and my back door screen. The back door screen was already broke when I got there. The other issue I'm having is the private houses in the back of me are putting stuff in the trash can behind me, where we live at, on my side.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: These sound like some maintenance issues, so we'll follow up with those. Mr. Garrett will make sure that someone works with you on that.

1	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your
3	coming down and testifying. Thank you very much.
4	MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Next is Ruth
6	Dennis.
7	MS. DENNIS: Good afternoon. My name
8	is Ruth Dennis.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You'll have to move
10	a little closer. Thank you.
11	MS. DENNIS: Good afternoon. My name
12	is Ruth Dennis, and I live on Fort DuPont/Stoddert.
13	The reason I'm here today is more about the kids
14	in the community. The reason I'm here today is
15	concerning the kids where I live and the people,
16	your guests.
17	We know everybody have guests, but not
18	seven days a week, the same guests. My concern
19	is now the kids are not really able to go really
20	play like they used to because we don't have no
21	playground out there or nothing. We usually do
22	things for our kids, but if you can't sit outside

because one side is trying to take over, no.

I've been living there 16 years. We've had faults. We've had problems. But we had a community within our little section that we would talk about it and try to work it out. Now you can't talk to them, and I think it's wrong. Because if you have guests, you're responsible for your If your quests keep coming and making problems, I think someone should be able to do it. If we call Housing police, they tell you to call D.C. police. If we call D.C. police, they might come, and they might not come because they said they're getting tired of going to the same place. I can understand that. I'm a resident, and I'm tired of it.

When you've got to ask someone to move or can you let me in, and you live there, I don't think that's fair to us. That's my concern today, that you get tired of asking people to move, or they see you coming, they don't even move. Housing do come past.

They never get out the car and walk in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that complex to check our doors, see who's standing
2	in the hallways. No, they don't. But you could
3	see them riding, sitting over here in the parking
4	lot. So what's the harm of pulling up on the street
5	where we live and get out and walk down there?
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That sounds like
7	a safety patrol issue.
8	MS. DENNIS: Yes, it's very much a
9	safety issue. Plus, we don't have no locks on our
10	doors on the outside doors.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: On the outside
12	doors? How long has that been?
13	MS. DENNIS: They just fixed it was
14	fixed recently, but someone broke it. It's still
15	the same things that you try to talk to people.
16	We all live in the same area. We're just trying
17	to get along. We try to make it safe for the kids
18	and ourselves. Something needs to be done.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I hear you.
20	MR. GARRETT: We do have our summer
21	initiative that's coming up through Office of
22	Public Safety. We hope to be more visible

1	throughout all our complexes. It's something that
2	Chief Maupin is working with MPD on.
3	MS. DENNIS: It's summertime. I grant
4	you all, you all are working on it, but where we
5	live it's summertime. Them kids cannot half
6	of the parents do not watch their kids when they're
7	outside, anyway. If you can't feel safe living
8	here we done had problems before up there, but
9	not as bad as they are now.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes, ma'am. We
11	appreciate your coming down.
12	MS. DENNIS: So I'm letting you all
13	know.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. Next,
15	we have Velma Davis.
16	MS. DAVIS: Good afternoon.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good afternoon.
18	MS. DAVIS: Good afternoon. My name
19	is Velma Davis. I live at 328 Ridge Road,
20	Southeast, Apartment B-1, that I call the dungeon.
21	My issue is with the tenants above me. It's
22	supposed to be a single occupancy dwelling.

I know it's two people residing there, 1 and it might be even more. Every day, I have to 2 go through pushing and pulling and bumping and 3 thumping, all types of noises. I even have to ask 4 my doctor to prescribe me a sleep aid because of 5 6 all the noise that goes on above me. 7 I can't watch television. I have to increase my volume because it's so much noise going 8 9 on in the building. I just cut the TV off and put earplugs in my ear and read. Three two eight needs 10 11 to be looked into. It's a lot of issues going on. I don't care how much painting they do 12 or beautifying, the people are going to have to 13 That's the Apartment 11 above me. 14 change. 15 having a real bad issue for the past six years. I'm over 60 years old. I can't do it. 16 issues with my eyes. I have a hip problem. 17 I just can't handle it anymore. 18 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So this has been 19 20 going on for six years? 21 MS. DAVIS: Six vears. I've been 22 there for eight years.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Have you made
2	complaints before?
3	MS. DAVIS: Several complaints, yes,
4	I have.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: With property
6	management?
7	MS. DAVIS: Yes, I have.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: The result has
9	been?
LO	MS. DAVIS: It's no results. I'm sure
L1	that they are aware of what's going on in the
L2	building. It's the worst building. Everybody
L3	knows about what goes on on the front. We have
L 4	the worst building on this whole street. Everybody
L5	gathers in the building, outside the building.
L 6	They smoke; they drink; they do whatever they want
L7	to do. We call Housing Authority, they never show
L8	up. The police will come; they take no names.
L9	They don't ask for ID or anything.
20	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, I'll look
21	into it, and I'll speak to the manager in reference
2.2	to Ms. Davis's complaint.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: In particular to
2	the over-occupancy of the unit above.
3	MR. GARRETT: Yes
4	(Simultaneous Speaking)
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can we just get
6	some clarification on that?
7	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: As an immediate
9	remedy, and then immediately, the issue is
10	MS. DAVIS: Are you talking to me?
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes, the immediate
12	issue is above. Let's find that occupancy up
13	there.
14	MR. GARRETT: We'll look into it.
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Right?
16	MS. DAVIS: Yes, like I said, I live
17	in the basement. Most of the activity is going
18	on on the first floor. The tenants have family
19	that come over. It's a one-bedroom apartment.
20	Everybody tries to gather. There's not enough room
21	in that apartment. They gather out in the hallway,
22	out on the front, playing their music. I don't

1	want to hear nobody's music 7:00 or 8:00 in the
2	morning or 3:00 in the morning.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay.
4	MR. GARRETT: Thank you, Ms. Davis.
5	I'll look into it directly, personally.
6	MS. DAVIS: All right, thank you.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate you
8	coming down. Next is Antoinette Harshaw.
9	Hopefully I pronounced that right.
10	MS. HARSHAW: Good evening. I'm
11	Antoinette Harshaw, and I live at
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You have to move
13	closer to the microphone.
14	MS. HARSHAW: I live in 328 Ridge,
15	Apartment 22. Me and Ms. Davis, the lady that just
16	spoke, we're in the same building. We're the only
17	two tenants that come out and voice our opinion.
18	I've been in this whole complex over there now,
19	altogether, 20 years. Where I'm at now, it's just
20	I can't take no more. I'm 63 years old. I come
21	out and about two years, I used to ask them to
22	quiet down the noise. Could they leave out? Could

they stop the drinking and all of this? They would be like okay, but they continue to keep doing it.

started reporting it, When Ι manager say don't come out, just call 911. started calling the police. Housing don't come, but MPD comes sometimes. Then I started becoming I even got threatened a couple weeks ago bitches. with guns and stuff that they're carrying. claim they're carrying guns.

That's telling me stop calling the One even approached me and said don't call police. the police because I be in the hall strapped. I can go to jail. What do you do? I can't walk over the top of -- when I come in -- yesterday, they had a grill right in the walkway, barbecuing and stuff.

Apartment 11, she has three sons that you might say they live there. They're big guys. They just do what they want. Like I said, they're drinking beer, big cans all on the steps and everything. They don't want you to say anything.

They look at the fact because we're women -- I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I don't have a husband or man in the by myself. 1 house, so they figure who is she? You see what 2 I mean, by me being a female. I'm not scared of 3 them, but I can't -- I'm tired now because 2:00 4 in the morning, boom, boom, they just start 5 6 playing this loud music. 7 When out, they got their Ι came girlfriends sitting on their laps and everything 8 I've even talked to Kenny and Matt, 9 in the hall. everybody. He gives me advice. I did put in for 10 transfer papers to a senior building. 11 I was hoping I was out of here a few 12 years ago because I applied over there at Sheridan 13 Station, but they came and did a check in my 14 15 apartment and just moved my daughter. supposed to go over there, but I guess because I 16 didn't have an income at that time, but now I do. 17 So that's my problem. 18 19 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I have a 20 question. 21 MS. HARSHAW: I'm hoping I can get 22 something done.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Go ahead.

applies to anybody who lives in public housing who is considered a senior. That constitute if you're usually over 62. No. 1, always update your medical and give your medical records to your property manager. No. 1, always update your medical records and give it to your property manager.

MS. HARSHAW: They're updated.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No. 2, when your property manager do not respond within 30 days, feel free to call University Legal Services. Rule No. 3 is this. The property manager, that's the job for Mr. Bovelle, and Mr. Bovelle is right behind you. You can always try by emailing because if it's not in writing, I don't need to hear I told so and so.

Tell me. Always get Ronald to write for you, or someone in your family to write it for you, to write it correctly, so that you can monitor and track your correspondence. So now you're not coming in front of me, telling me who say, you say.

1	You're saying here's a letter. This is what
2	happened. No response.
3	MS. HARSHAW: I just spoke to him.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Very good.
5	MS. HARSHAW: He said that he will.
6	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Mr.
7	Garrett, I think a good thing that we could start
8	now, from property to property, especially with
9	my constituents, the family property, go from
10	property to property and show us how many people
11	62 and over living in a two-bedroom by their self,
12	not in compliance with lease, or otherwise, who
13	are unhappy. Then you move them out, and then you
14	see who would like to be happy to move in. That's
15	an easy remedy, so I feel.
16	MS. HARSHAW: Thank you.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right,
18	appreciate your coming down and testifying. Renee
19	Alston. She's gone? Okay, Mychelle McDonald.
20	MS. MCDONALD: Good afternoon. My
21	name is Mychelle McDonald. I'm the president of
22	Beacon Road Resident Council.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You have to get a little closer.

I'm Mychelle McDonald, MS. MCDONALD: Beacon Row Resident Council president. It's a little hard to say that I am the president of Beacon Row Resident Council, due to the fact that my navigator, I have not seen him since January 17th this Ιt like he's having year. seems conversations with everybody except me. I have I have not talked to Mr. not seen Mr. Wilson. Wilson. I haven't even had an email with Mr. Wilson, but that's here nor there. My second complaint is the fact that I had given some papers to Mr. Jose Flora that was personal papers that I got from my doctor to get me a support dog.

He told me that he had faxed the paperwork in to the ADA's office. I did not want them to be left in the office across the street because they had some personal information in them.

When I sent them to him, he said that he had faxed them in to the ADA's office. On the 23rd of February, I asked him what was going on with my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

paperwork, did I need it to do anything else 1 concerning getting the support dog that I needed? 2 He told me that he didn't ever receive 3 the paperwork. He didn't know anything about the 4 He also told me that I had to start 5 paperwork. 6 the process over again. He told me that 7 paperwork was only good for six months. I called the ADA's office when I got home. I left a message 8 I still haven't gotten a phone call 9 with them. back from the ADA's office. To 10 start that 11 paperwork all over again, to me, it doesn't make 12 any sense because nobody has communicated with me at all. I have the application here today because 13 I would like to get some kind of understanding as 14 15 to what is going on. But like I said, I've never, 16 ever gotten a phone call from nobody, at all. MR. GARRETT: Ms. McDonald, I have the 17 director of Resident Services, Larry Williams, who 18 19 can talk to you about the navigator issue. 20 than likely can put you over into the ADA issue, 21 also, at the same time, with Mr. Bovelle.

MS. MCDONALD:

22

Okay, thank you.

appreciate that. My other issue is the fact that there's supposed to be a tenant -- not supposed to be -- there's a person up in that building who is not supposed to be on the property because of some issues that happened in the past.

He is supposed to be a contractor. Tenants have an issue, some tenants have an issue with the gentleman being on the property because he is not supposed to be there. Mr. Flora knows that he is not supposed to be there, but again, like I say, he is a contractor, so he is there doing work on the building. He is residing in the building, and he's doing things in the building that people are not happy with. But again, this is a friend of Mr. Flora, and we have to take it. He is letting people wash cars in front of the building and pretty much doing the same thing that he used to do before he got fired. The people are not happy with this.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ GARRETT: Thank you for bringing that to my attention.

MS. MCDONALD: I'm sorry, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MR. GARRETT: I said thank you for
2	bringing that to my attention. Mr. Bovelle can
3	work on that issue with you, also.
4	MS. MCDONALD: All right. I
5	appreciate that.
6	MR. GARRETT: He's right behind you.
7	MS. MCDONALD: Thank you.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We appreciate your
9	coming down and testifying, taking the time.
10	MS. MCDONALD: Thank you.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Mr. Lee.
12	MR. GARRETT: Ms. McDonald, don't
13	leave because they're going to
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Let's hear what
15	you've got.
16	MR. LEE: God, Lord, Jesus Christ, the
17	Spirit of God and the Holy Ghost. God, Lord, Jesus
18	Christ, the Spirit of God, and the Holy Ghost This
19	upcoming Saturday, Zion/Sion, the Sabbath is
20	coming. This upcoming Saturday, Sabbath No. 19.
21	There's so many reasons why we all would
22	just stop on the seventh day, stay home on the

seventh day, spend time with your family on the seventh day and rest on the seventh day, so many reasons, to save a life, prevent people from dying.

December, February, March -- no.

Yes, December, February, March, April, ladies coming before this Board of Commissioners and they're crying for help. Schyla, a very beautiful person, if she's here, I met her years -- in protest from the Wilkes Building, but I think she has four children, but she's -- ladies coming before these Commissioners, they're crying before the Commissioners, crying for help. Then when I seen Mr. Garrett down in City Council, lady coming down the Wilkes Building crying for help. want to be treated fairly. Michael A. Lee, a veteran, 58,000 soldiers died in the Vietnam War, 350,000 was wounded, 8,000 soldiers been killed in Iraq and Afghanistan, way over there, 6,023, 50,000 were wounded, and we're having problems here in Washington, D.C. helping our own residents, citizens, and veterans.

I wanted to at least mention 8,000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

people with public assistance, 11,000 people with the Housing Choice Voucher Program, about 50,000 people on the waiting list, as I learned from you guys the last seven years, and 11,000 people below the poverty level.

As I go through -- the deputy mayor for public safety and justice, deputy mayor for health and human services, deputy mayor for economic development and the deputy of education, these 8,000 people -- 11,000 people, these people you've got to try to help the best -- that same commitment we're going to send someone to Irag and Afghanistan to be killed, and here in Washington, D.C., we're blowing our minds trying to help. Then it's like African-American slavery memorial, possibly in the Mall, 250 years of free labor, 100 years from rides on the bus, and still, these are residents who have been here for years and years. Injustice, wrongdoing, unfairness and corruption, but still, ask this Commissioner here, you're getting paid I've been with this now for seven years, verv well. but like I say, December, February, March, into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

April, Schyla's a beautiful person.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think she has four children. Her and her son, they got locked up. You all should be very, very sensitive to this with the money that you're making. We have between 200 to 600 people sleeping in the streets. There's 1,000 young people, Department of Schools, who are homeless, and 6,000 homeless people. I'm at the bottom, 38 years, no tobacco, no alcohol, no drugs, not one single drop.

United States Congress, No. 1, United States Senate, No. 2, House of Representatives, There's standing committees, No. No. 3. There's subcommittees, No. 5. Special selectman and joint commission, No. 7. I've been doing this for the last 26 years. The Department of Justice, Department of Health and Human Services, No. 2. Department of Labor, No. 3. Department Housing and Urban Development, Department of Education and Treasury Department. I've lived here 26 years, and I'm still at the Intelligence, leadership, communication bottom.

management, education, research, supervision and instruction, professional responsibility, and professional accountability, it's very, very poor.

I said that for one of the soldiers who lost their life in Iraq/Afghanistan. But right here in Washington, D.C., we're blowing our minds, and those 8,000 homeless, the 8,000 people with public assistance, these are the people you're supposed to be helping, 11,000.

These are the people you're supposed to be helping. Too much office crime, office violence, office terrorism. There's too much office crime in this town, but you all up there are getting paid very, very well. How many times have you called the Congress in the last 25 years?

I have walked down to the Department of Justice so many times in the last 25 years. Intelligence, leadership, communication and management, education, research, supervision, professional responsibility, professional ability is very, very poor. I said that for my foreparents who worked the fields of this country for free.

African-American slavery memorial, just a small memorial in the Nation's capital honoring all slaves and all the free slaves who were wrongfully killed.

During the '40s, out there in Virginia, people just like you killed my dad. They beat him to death. We have 100 senators and 435 representatives. It's pitiful and pathetic. Our own residents, our own citizens, our own veterans, low income is an ugly game.

There's so many reasons -- 19th Sabbath, we all must just stop and rest on the Sabbath. With these public schools, you can't talk about God, and you can't talk -- we're riding the backs of these young people. We're riding the backs of the poor people. We're riding the backs of the hungry people.

Sadly to say, we're riding the backs of sick people, and that's pitiful and pathetic, riding the backs of low-income senior citizens, and riding the backs of poor homeless veterans. The report on law. The report on health. The

1	report on the workforce report, and the report on
2	homes. I say that for the peace of the poorest
3	little girls and the poorest little boys and the
4	poorest young people and the poorest families here
5	in my hometown. I was born here. Peace be with
6	you. May God and Jesus be with you all.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate your
8	comments. Patricia Malloy.
9	MS. MALLOY: Good afternoon,
10	Commissioners and Director Garrett.
11	MR. GARRETT: Good afternoon.
12	MS. MALLOY: Today, I'm here to voice
13	the concerns of Lincoln Heights. My name is
14	Patricia Malloy, resident council president. I
15	have three words, help, help, help. Security and
16	safety of residents, staff, contractors working
17	on our property.
18	The week of April 30, 2018 through May
19	4, 2018, there have been shootings every day,
20	starting as early as 8:50 in the morning. Children
21	walking to school having to run, nowhere to hide.
22	DCHA staff running, having to lay on the ground,

roll down the hill. Residents panicking, trying to get into buildings, only to be told you can't come in here by the drug dealers. Laborers being told you can't come in to clean the building today. Our property has been taken over by open air drug market. Direct residents are afraid for themselves and their children. We are stressed and depressed.

2017, I requested security cameras to be put up in Lincoln Heights, still waiting on the answer. On May 4th, I sent an email to Chief Maupin and Deputy Chief Reeves. It reads this has been a very depressing week in Lincoln Heights. There have been shootings on 50th Street, starting as early as 8:50 in the morning.

Around the basketball court, both parking lots, from 3:04 to 3:16, 50th Street is not safe for staff/contractors working out here.

Residents don't feel safe. They feel DCHA and MPD care if we live or die. People have taken over our hallways and not letting staff clean them.

I am so tired of hearing these

complaints. What is being done about the safety of our residents and want to do right and the safety of the Lincoln Heights Staff? Does it take the entire property to get killed before something is put in place? DCHA police protect the workers at 1133. Why can't you protect the staff in the field and help to protect your clients? Please save our lives, our children, before it's too late. Within one month, our summer program in Lincoln Heights will start.

The safety of our children is our concern, that their lives matter. In January 2018, Director Garrett, you came to our resident council meeting. Have any of the \$2.1 million approved by the D.C. Council been spent in Lincoln Heights yet?

What is the time frame on getting the screen doors, the doors, the replacement of appliances, among other things that was presented to us? I have sent emails, trying to get answers. People are even avoiding my emails. I want to know why. My last question is for -- we're under

relocation.

The numbers that's been provided to us, when we call those numbers, these people no longer work at the Housing Authority, and we aren't getting a response, and we aren't getting through. There should be some type of system in place. I have a lot more concerns, but right now, safety and security is our concern. I know some of you may have seen me on the news last night. There's a turf war. A young man got killed on a motorcycle. All of this is Lincoln Heights residents, some way, shape, or form. Tonight, there's going to be another vigil.

Monday night, at my new community meeting, we were literally terrified. When we left out, the guys on the dirt bikes would not let some of us cross the street. It was just disturbing. But my concern is the Housing Authority and MPD need to come together before innocent children and your staff get killed.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you, Ms. Malloy. Appreciate your coming in to testify.

1	MS. MALLOY: No one has a comment on
2	how we're going to
3	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Malloy, I know Chief
4	Maupin is working with the MPD on what we're going
5	to do, in terms of summer initiatives. In
6	reference to the new communities money for Lincoln
7	Heights, we have the scope of work, and we actually
8	sent over a draw down request to DMPED last week
9	for the money to be drawn down, or a portion of
10	the money to be drawn down, so we can complete some
11	of the work and get started on some of the work.
12	So the scope is already created. We're just
13	waiting for the draw down to be approved by DMPED.
14	Once that's done, we can start the work over there.
15	It's already been scoped out.
16	MS. MALLOY: Director Garrett, I hear
17	what you're saying. The residents of Lincoln
18	Heights have no faith in our commissioners.
19	MR. GARRETT: Understood.
20	MS. MALLOY: They're tired of me coming
21	back, telling them one thing, and they said Ms.
22	Malloy, until we see it, it has not happened.

Security, I'm still saying security. 1 When something tragic happen in Lincoln Heights and the 2 first thing the police, or maybe you all, might 3 say is why didn't someone bring it to our attention 4 before now? 5 6 It's been brought to people's attention 7 too long. If we take matters into our own hands, we will go to jail or be evicted. 8 9 continues, that's what's going to happen. going to take matters in our own hands, and no one 10 11 is going to like it. 12 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Ms. Malloy, you know Ward 6, we just extremists. 13 We have that same problem, but this is what we did. 14 15 We just called it martial law. We had the whole 16 military because we're up the street from the Marine This is what happened. 17 barracks. Parents came out and was seeing the same thing as you. 18 19 The moment that the military swarmed 20 Hopkins on Potomac, now there was another problem. 21 That problem was we're not in jail, da, da, da.

That's an option, isn't that, Chief? How do you

1	go about doing that? You may need a drama impact
2	sometimes, where people know you're tired. Enough
3	is enough.
4	That's something you can go back, you
5	can research it, understand the impact and effect.
6	Because everything that you do, there's going to
7	be a cause, but there's going to be an impact and
8	effect.
9	MS. MALLOY: When we get killed, that's
LO	going to be the cause.
L1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, we'll
L2	do martial law.
L3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We're not
L 4	recommending martial law.
L5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: We did it
L 6	in Potomac Gardens, and guess what? We did that
L7	before you came, Chief
L8	(Simultaneous Speaking)
L9	COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: I actually
20	had a couple of questions. Director Garrett
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right, moving
22	on.

COMMISSIONER NEAL JONES: I actually had a couple of questions. I wanted to know, one, if someone could talk about what we do when we know public housing communities are experiencing spikes in violent crimes, like what Ms. Malloy described. Then two, especially properties that we know there's some relocation and vacancies, what are we doing to address security concerns or things that might arise that are specifically related to those vacancies?

MR. GARRETT: I can have Chief Maupin come to the microphone to explain exactly what steps are being taken, but we've had a discussion about some of the things that are going to happen next week. Some things are going to happen in the future at many of our sites.

CHIEF MAUPIN: Good afternoon. To answer the Commissioner's question, when we observe a spike in crime in diverse communities, we always partner with MPD to deploy in that community. Lincoln Heights, for example, is one of the summer crime initiative areas. The summer crime

initiative started May 1st.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

It's actually encompassing seven of our communities, along with a much larger part of that particular PSA. Lincoln Heights and Richardson Dwellings is being covered, this community, Stoddert Terrace and Fort DuPont is also being with Woodland, covered, along our Highland Addition, Highland Dwellings, and our communities.

Each one of those communities is going to see an influx of officers, both MPD and D.C. Housing Authority, along with judicial system and other city agencies will converge to address both the law enforcement and the different type of concerns of those communities.

A lot of the information that's being shared today is also being shared with the SCI officials, so they know exactly what some of the problems are in the communities that our residents are talking about this afternoon, so we can immediately address them. I texted out the issues that some of the residents just mentioned about

1	to the SCI lieutenants and to my officials, so they
2	can address them this evening and continue
3	addressing them throughout the summer.
4	PARTICIPANT: I've got a question for
5	you.
6	CHIEF MAUPIN: I was just reminded
7	about our Lincoln Heights and Richardson
8	Residential Program. Lincoln Heights and
9	Richardson are two of the communities that we also
10	have MPD officers and DCHA officers actually living
11	in the community to address a lot of the concerns
12	from what they see, report back to us, and report
13	back to the Metropolitan Police Department as a
14	way of getting intelligence on what's going on in
15	the community, also.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate that,
17	thank you, Chief. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Chief, I
19	really do I'm not trying to be facetious or
20	nothing. I'm really serious about this.
21	Constantly, I look at how much money you pay when
22	you say the same thing, same officers go out, the

impact and the trends of that. I remember back in the day, with -- then I remember -- I remember so much to remember that I forgot to remember. In Philadelphia and in Chicago and in a lot of major cities, during our commissioners traveling, there's the police monitoring, community monitoring.

California had a model. What model is the best model, or is there one? Because I'm going to be honest with you and I'm going to say it again.

I ain't going back there. Potomac Gardens, back in the day, was a murder capital.

Come up in the Gardens and you got boom, bam until the military came up there and showed us they wasn't playing. Right as we speak today, as you know, I'm the president of Potomac Gardens. I don't play games about the police because first of all, I'm going to be honest, I'm the police.

First of all, I'm the police of my house. First of all, my company is not going to come visiting me, acting out of control. You're going to come up there like you've got some sense.

When you come up there acting out of control, then what is the best solution? I'm really serious. What is the best solution? Is there one? Your officers are scared of us.

CHIEF MAUPIN: As you know, many communities have adopted the community policing philosophy. That's been adopted in District of Columbia, and it's been in place since about 1990. It's been very useful. When I was at MPD, it worked out very well for us, and I think it works out very well for the Housing Authority.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The reason why I'm saying this, because I have my credentials here today to show you that not only have I completed this course, but many residents of the District of Columbia Housing Authority completed a model under Ron Hampton.

At that time, that's what the gardens of concern meant. That has been a nation and a global model. Why are models that were working in this city have dismantled and keep on getting fresh money and the same people are complaining

and the same people getting paid over and over.

I don't understand that.

CHIEF MAUPIN: That's been part of the community policing model, also, is working with not just the police enforcement, but bringing in community members, bringing in private organizations to do mediations, to do more than just law enforcement. The SCI model, which is the summer crime initiative, is a model that's been mirrored throughout the country.

That's some of the things you talked, maybe Boston and Chicago, what they were doing by identifying offenders, identifying problem areas, being proactive in putting officers in places that has a historical basis of crime and trying to prevent them from occurring and putting people on notice who are normal perpetrators of crime that it won't be tolerated.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: There's only one thing I request -- and I'm also on the operation committee. I've been on that committee for 12 years, so I have a good track record. I

would like to see you coming back, reporting to us the trends in the models that DCHA is using because I've never seen no name of no trends.

I've never seen no names of no models where you're conversing and saying at Lincoln Heights, we have used so-and-so model, and the results has been, but on the other hand, we have used Boston model -- it's never -- we don't know what model you're using, so how would we know?

CHIEF MAUPIN: I just expressed to you that the (Simultaneous Speaking) utilizes the community policing model of enforcement. That's throughout -- we don't have a different model for every community. We have one model that we utilize in all the communities that we feel is beneficial in assisting our residents in every community we serve, that we are one city.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, well thank you. Starting today, since you're going to go into Lincoln Heights, when you come to the operation committee, you'll tell us what type of model you done took into Lincoln Heights, so that

1	we can monitor the model.
2	CHIEF MAUPIN: Whenever you invite me,
3	I'll be there.
4	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if
6	I may.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate your
8	coming up.
9	CHIEF MAUPIN: Thank you.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Just a
12	moment, Chief. Mr. Chair, if I may.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes, make it quick,
14	please.
15	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Okay. Back
16	when I was with REACH, the organization that the
17	Commissioner spoke of earlier, in another sitting,
18	we did a survey. You used to have patrolmen who'd
19	walk in the streets.
20	They'd go into businesses and say hello
21	and stop at people's houses and neighborhoods and
22	converse with people over the fence in the yard

and whatever the case may be. When they started putting them in the scouts, they sometimes sitting out beside one another conversating while things are going on around them.

We've got 42 police units in this city, alone. How many of them, besides D.C.'s finest, cooperate with you in networking to get some of these situations squashed?

CHIEF MAUPIN: Within the SCI, there's just about every local and federal agency in the city becomes involved, the FBI, the ATF, Park Police, Metro Transit Police, any of the agencies that help patrol our neighborhoods are involved in that. To mention to you about foot beats, it didn't go back that far because I used to walk a foot beat myself back in the day. We don't have to go back too far at that, but we've re-established many foot beats within the Housing Authority.

We have units walking the foot beats in -- right now, they're in Potomac Gardens, but we also have them at Park Morton. We just had them do a month in --- where was it -- in Montana. We

1	get some very favorable reactions through the
2	officers being out there.
3	Some of our residents are not used to
4	seeing them. Sometimes, they feel enforcement
5	should only be against visitors, not against
6	residents, but it goes both ways
7	(Simultaneous Speaking)
8	We're getting more people out there in
9	different types of ways, getting them out of the
10	cars. Because one of the complaints we always get
11	is people just sitting in the cars. We're putting
12	them out by alternative means, so they have to
13	interact with the community.
14	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: It does make
15	a big difference when they see that they're visible;
16	that's for sure. Thank you, Chief.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you again for
18	coming forward.
19	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you,
20	Mr. Chair.
21	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, as the
22	chief leaves and the next speaker comes up, I just

want you to know, don't forget, we're doing a summer initiative, also, where we're bringing on 15 more security officers, which will allow our armed officers to more visibility and be on the ground and walk around or ride throughout the complexes.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. Nicole Odom, please. Nicole Odom. Renee Harris.

MS. HARRIS: Hello, my name is Renee Harris. I reside at 101 Ridge Road Southeast.

My issues today is I've been living here since 2004.

Since I have been living here, with the utility and things that had to be fixed in my unit, if I

Since I have been living here, with the utility and things that had to be fixed in my unit, if I was to rate it from 10 being the best and 0 being terrible, I would give it a 0. I have a special needs son. There are things that has been in my unit since 2004 that still has not been fixed. I just recently came into a mold situation, where they weren't even telling me that I had mold there, at first. I had to keep bombarding the office and keep reaching out to different people. I was

-

I have took out my expenses at my house,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

accommodated \$200, but that was almost a month ago.

can't use my stove, can't use in my kitchen, everything in my kitchen is in my living room.

I was also told that I would be compensated with the rent, and that has not taken place yet. I am trying my best. I have been there.

I have had a problem with rodents and bug infestation, such as bed bugs, where I had to throw out everything. This is ridiculous. My children and I, I had to go to recuperate everything that was basically lost because of bed bugs.

Once it comes, they come, and it come in like a flood. I have been calling to the office, have made several notes, as far as the infestations, where they will come out and do a viper cleaning. Why would you viper clean one unit, and not do all? They're row houses that the pestilence will run from one area to the other. I have an issue with some of the maintenance, where they will come in your unit, they just come and open your door and not have the respect of them saying maintenance, this is such-and-such, and I'm here to do.

Me and my children have been through

a crisis, and I don't trust all people around me or my children. I'm just saying I really feel like a lot of people get disrespected because we are in housing. Not everybody is receiving public assistance. Not everybody wants to be wretched, or not everybody wants to be rude.

They treat us as if we are animals, and I don't like it. I have not -- this is my first time ever testifying since 2004, but I am fed up. I've put in a paper to move into a bigger unit. The unit where I'm living, the cabinets are just getting ready to fall apart.

You can smell -- I just got the roach smell out of my kitchen. This is how bad the infestation was. I had to throw my deep freezer away because when I moved it, roaches poured out of this deep freezer. If you don't deep clean your house -- you could deep clean all you want to. Certain problems just won't go away. They said no animals. I have a cat. I had to get a cat because if I did not have a cat, my bread, my noodles, everything that's non-perishable will be

eaten. This is my concern. I need help for my unit, and if not help for the unit, some of these units need to be gutted out, not new things being placed over old things.

I'm just tired. I'm a young mother.

I'm a mother of six, and I'm doing it by myself.

I'm not married. I'm doing it by myself. By all

means, I would take whatever money that I have to

take, whether it come from the rent or whatever

the case may be, to make sure that me and my kids

are safe in my unit. I have spent thousands of

dollars to make sure that me and my children are

safe in my unit. That's all I have to stay. Thanks

for listening.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you stay appreciate your coming down seated? testifying. It sounds like you've been through a big ordeal, and I heard you say this is the first time you've come down to testify, so I'm sure that took a lot. Thank you for doing that. Thank you for making us aware of the issue. I think the best course of action here would be to proceed with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Director Garrett working with you on the specific
2	issues around your unit and seeing if we can help
3	progress things along here.
4	MR. GARRETT: I have no problem doing
5	that. I was going to ask Brandy stepped out,
6	but there's a young lady if you stay right there
7	in that, I'll have Brandy come up, and she'll deal
8	with you. She'll take your information, and we'll
9	try to get down to the bottom of the issue. I want
10	to find out exactly how many work orders are still
11	open in your particular unit, and then go from
12	there, and also look at your transfer request.
13	MS. HARRIS: Thank you.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So you know, we,
15	as a Board, get a follow up on all issues of all
16	the people that testified, so we will be able to
17	keep track of, hopefully, your progress here. So
18	again, thank you for coming down.
19	MS. HARRIS: Okay, thank you all for
20	listening.
21	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if
22	I may

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: She's walking back
2	in now. Yes, go ahead.
3	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Excuse me,
4	ma'am.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
6	Lancaster, we have 20 more people, so if you have
7	something to say, do it quickly, please.
8	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: I definitely
9	have something to say because the first thing she
LO	said, she's been there since 2004 and has a problem
L1	that has not been solved yet. 2004, that's 14 years
L2	ago; 14 years, and the problem hasn't been solved?
L3	She just mentioned a problem. She
L 4	didn't say how many, but it still hasn't been solved
L5	yet. I have a problem with that. Please, make
L 6	her the top priority. Find out what that problem
L7	is 14 years ago and get it taken care of. Thank
L8	you.
L9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate your
20	comments.
21	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you,
22	ma'am.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Percellia
2	Montgomery.
3	MS. MONTGOMERY: How you doing, AMC.
4	My name's Percellia Montgomery. I am here today;
5	I want to know why I keep putting in applications
6	for Housing and they won't have me. I don't do
7	drugs. I've never been locked up. I need a job.
8	I think you all could give me my house. I came
9	to you all, you all helped me got my new house.
LO	I thank you all for that. I need a job. I keep
L1	putting in applications for Housing. I just want
L2	to get hired. That's all I want. Thank you all.
L3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What have you
L4	applied for?
L5	MS. MONTGOMERY: Labor. I spoke with
L6	Steve Ferg (phonetic). I built that with him.
L7	MR. GARRETT: I'll have you speak to
L8	Mr. Williams in reference to the Resident Services
L9	to see did you fill out an application before
20	for
21	MS. MONTGOMERY: Yes, I put an
22	application in.

1	MR. GARRETT: the ATP Program, or
2	was it just
3	MS. MONTGOMERY: I put an application
4	in Human Resources and 1133.
5	MR. GARRETT: Okay, we'll look into it.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate your
7	coming down. Thank you. Linda Brown.
8	MS. BROWN: Good afternoon,
9	Commissioners.
10	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon.
11	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon, Ms.
12	Brown.
13	MS. BROWN: My name is Linda Brown, and
14	I live in Green Leaf Senior, and I live there with
15	my adult daughter, who has disabilities. I come
16	here today to talk about redevelopment because the
17	information that the community gets is not always
18	the correct information that is getting to the
19	resident, so I have a lot of anxiety around not
20	knowing when we're going to have to be displaced.
21	So far, what I've heard is that the
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

that I really want to expose my daughter to. We don't really have a whole lot of options here. I'd like to know what options are available up front, so that I can make a sound decision about me and my family. I would appreciate if the information that we receive about redevelopment is accurate, and not what we get from the other residents.

MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am, we're going to continue to have meetings with the residents as we move forward with Green Leaf. As far as relocation, we haven't even gotten to that point for Green Leaf, in terms of a relocation plan, just yet.

We'll have discussions with the residents, themselves, to discuss what the actual options are and what the plan actually will be for relocation of the residents. I think our next step is going to be -- pardon me, someone correct me -- but I think our next step is going to be a public meeting with the developers who have submitted their

qualifications.

That's the next step. At that particular meeting, we'll be able to give everyone a timeline going forward of the other stages that we'll be going through. Relocation is not one of the stages we're at yet.

(Simultaneous Speaking.)

MS. BROWN: The residents have options of where they're going to be able to move? Will they be able to decide, or is Housing Authority going to --

(Simultaneous Speaking)

MR. GARRETT: No, we'll be able to discuss that at that particular time because there will be options that will be available to the residents to make up their mind as to whether or not they would like to utilize -- if we have vouchers available, if we do, that may be an option. If we don't, we'll have public housing units available, but we're not there just yet.

MS. BROWN: Okay, because people are transferring out now. They're going to other

properties that are going to be redeveloped sooner or later, so that's a problem.

MR. GARRETT: Each complex has its own redevelopment plan. For Green Leaf right now, there is no redevelopment plan that has been unveiled, so anyone that's moving now, that's based on a transfer. That's not based on a redevelopment plan.

think -- I'm serious about this because I'm confused. I know you have meetings, and I want to put it on the record. Who do you trust? Do you trust Naomi Mitchell to give out right information? Do you trust SWANA (phonetic) to give out the right information? Or do you trust Sadia Atheist? Because those are the three people I know that normally controls -- and Ms. Monk (phonetic). If you don't know those people, I'll tell you what I will do.

I will contact Charles Allen's office in the morning. I will catch the bus and go down there. I will tell him to find you by your property

1	manager, and then either Naomi going to be telling
2	the truth because when I talk to Naomi Mitchell,
3	who's always at your meetings because the last
4	meeting I had, I got put out the meeting, I really
5	did, because I was honest.
6	That was at Christine meeting, but you
7	was not at that meeting. They had only the resident
8	council presidents. If you tell me who you trust,
9	and these are the people I don't know about Ms.
10	Monk, but I can tell you this. Naomi Mitchell come
11	out of a D.C. agenda, so Naomi cannot play, and
12	she works for Charles Allen. She's supposed to
13	be our community liaison. Do you trust her?
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
15	Vann-Ghasri, I think the answer to her question
16	is there is no redevelopment plan at this point.
17	What you're referring to
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: But she
19	also said she don't really get information, and
20	she's really telling the truth.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Excuse me. What
22	you're talking about is not germane to her concern.

1	Her concern is about where she might be relocated
2	as a result of the redevelopment. At this time,
3	the official response from the Housing Authority
4	is there is no redevelopment plan, so any other
5	discussion you would like to have with her, you
6	can have offline.
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, I'll
8	have it with you offline. Do you have my number?
9	MS. BROWN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, call
11	me by text. I don't answer the phone. You just
12	have to text me and say call me, and I'll call you
13	back. Everybody will tell you I do that, but I
14	no longer take calls at 12:00 or 1:00 in the morning.
15	MS. BROWN: Okay. I have one more
16	question that really concerns me. What I've heard
17	here today is that there has been policies or things
18	that have already been passed. Just when you
19	talked about one of the resolutions here, that had
20	already been passed, contracts have already been
21	signed.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Not accurate.

22

No.

1	That's not true. What we just talked about has
2	not been passed until today.
3	MS. BROWN: You're saying that before
4	these policies are enacted, the residents or the
5	population that you serve, which is us, will already
6	know about them before they are signed?
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A lot of the
8	discussion today was about how we're going to inform
9	residents of these policies, meeting with
10	advocates. As you heard, some of the folks up here
11	had some consternation about the timing and the
12	process.
13	MS. BROWN: So you're saying that we
14	will be informed, but in a timely manner, where
15	we also can be prepared. Is that what you're
16	saying?
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Ask the question
18	again.
19	MS. BROWN: You're also going to inform
20	us, so that we can prepare for whatever is coming?
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: It was articulated
22	by the executive director that when and if this

1	policy is changed or enacted, there will be a
2	disclosure or explanation to the residents.
3	MS. BROWN: Okay, thanks.
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Lakeisha
5	Chandless. Is she still here? No? Okay.
6	Constantina Harris. Going once. No? Donna
7	Smith. No. Monica Thompson. Next time, just
8	pretend like you're that person.
9	MS. THOMPSON: Good afternoon. My
10	name is Monica Thompson, and I live at 720 Langston
11	Terrace. I have two issues.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Could you move a
13	little closer? Thank you.
14	MS. THOMPSON: I have two issues. One
15	issue is they do a lot of shooting around where
16	I live at. I've been there since 2016. Before
17	I was there, I was at Kentucky Courts. I moved
18	over to Langston Terrace because of a public safety
19	issue. They do a lot of shooting over there. It's
20	been seven or eight shootings that I've seen since
21	I've been there. They do a lot of gang fighting.
22	They jumped a young lady the other day. She was

pregnant. They kicked her in her stomach. They pulled all her braids out. They had some kind of gang fight going on just about two days ago right out in front of my door. I live by myself. I don't feel safe or comfortable there. I really, really don't.

It's a terrible neighborhood. I wouldn't suggest anybody to live there. My other issue is I was approved for reasonable accommodations in 2017. It has to do with my hip.

I'm having hip surgery. My surgery is schedule for June 8th.

My doctor gave me this information almost a year ago, or almost two years ago, until I got approved, because I had a hip surgery on my left side. Now I'm getting ready to have hip surgery on my right side. What they're telling me is it could take five to ten years before I can move into a unit.

My thing is I want to get away from Langston Terrace. I don't want to live there anymore. I really don't. It's a terrible

1	neighborhood. I can't go sit out on my front porch
2	because I'm afraid I'm going to get shot. I look
3	out the window, they're fighting. You open up the
4	windows, you've got weed coming, people smoking
5	different all kinds of things. I do not want
6	to live there. I want to move. I really do. I
7	don't know what you all can do to help me. I was
8	approved for my reasonable accommodations last
9	June, in 2017. I really can't do the steps. They
10	bother me. I would appreciate it if you all could
11	help me in some kind of way.
12	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am, we'll look
13	into it.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I just want to make
15	clear, you're asking for an accommodation because
16	of the steps and you have an issue with walking
17	up steps?
18	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
19	MR. GARRETT: We'll do the best we can
20	to look into it. We do have other individuals that
21	have
22	MS. THOMPSON: Say that again.

1	MR. GARRETT: We do have other
2	individual families who have asked for the same
3	thing, so we try to do it in order, as best as we
4	possibly can.
5	MS. THOMPSON: All right, thank you.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for
7	coming in and testifying. I appreciate it.
8	MS. SMITH: Donna Smith.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm sorry.
10	MS. SMITH: Donna Smith.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Ms. Smith, please
12	come up.
13	MS. SMITH: How you doing today? My
14	name is Donna Smith. I live in 326 Ridge Road.
15	I've been here since, I believe, 2010. My
16	apartment was never finished, never painted, never
17	done anything to because I was rushed here from
18	Lincoln Heights because they had to move me because
19	they closed my section down. When we had the
20	earthquake, my tub went to fall.
21	They were supposed to stabilize it and
2.2	waited a year to come back and do it. They left

the wall open and all the mold kept seeping through The mold keeps coming through my tub my house. and my toilet. When I wake up, my hands and feet are swollen because of the mold and the mildew. My bronchitis is acting up really bad. I have a tenant that lives in my floor. Him and his wife, now, they come bang on my door and threaten to beat They came to my apartment. I've got two police reports. Nothing's been done. Nothing's I told them numerous of times. been said. It's been going on for about a year and a half now. was court ordered to fix everything in my apartment by June of last year.

Nothing has been fixed, except just now, the pipe underneath the kitchen sink. The kitchen cabinets are falling apart. There's no doors in the drawers. There's wood paint everywhere. They fixed some of the tiles, but didn't fix all of them. They're coming up.

When I walk, they come up with my shoes.

Oh, the hill I live on, if you walk across the street, you can't see over the hill. The cars come

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	flying down. Maybe a speed hump might stop them
2	before one of them kids get killed or hit. It's
3	hard to really feel safe in your building because
4	there's no lock on the door.
5	Once they put the lock, it's broke back
6	off. I live all the way on the top floor. Like
7	I said, it's not safe. They're painting now.
8	We've got a window, but it's not a window; it's
9	Plexiglas, so we can't open it. The hallways never
10	get swept, never get mopped, probably once a month,
11	true, yes. Yes, it is because I do the mopping.
12	I do the sweeping. That's it.
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That's a lot. Did
14	I hear you say that your apartment was never
15	finished when you moved in?
16	MS. SMITH: Never painted, nothing.
17	Ms. Marshall then told us to clean it, and you could
18	tell it wasn't painted because Comcast bangs and
19	put holes in the wall to hang the wires up, never
20	was painted over.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I would ask the
22	executive director to I hope we wrote all that

1	down.
2	MR. GARRETT: Yes, we did.
3	MS. SMITH: My kitchen counter is
4	actually, literally falling. It's this far away
5	from the wall, and the thing is going down.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Maybe we can get
7	someone to come out
8	MS. SMITH: After the earthquake, I
9	heard the building actually tore up and came back.
LO	MR. GARRETT: We'll pull the work
L1	orders and have someone go to the unit directly.
L2	MS. SMITH: And my kitchen window is
L3	not glass; it's Plexiglas. You can actually stick
L 4	your finger through it and there's a dumpster right
L5	underneath of my window. Every time the man dumps
L 6	the trash, he leaves the flap open, so I've got
L7	gnats in my apartment.
L8	MR. GARRETT: We'll be able to report
L9	back
20	(Simultaneous Speaking)
21	MS. SMITH: And the mouses, literally,
2.2	T killed them with potato flakes, got rid of all

1	of them, but now I left for two weeks to go to my
2	daughter-in-law's house; I come back, there's
3	nothing but piss on my cabinets, excuse my French,
4	and doo-doo everywhere. I've got the pictures of
5	it.
6	The maintenance man, he came up, he
7	fixed the floor, put new tiles down, bought a new
8	rug to put in there. The man came up, got mad
9	because he had to fix the pipe, left the pipe undone,
10	almost slipped and fell carrying the buckets of
11	water. Came back the next day, he pee-peed on that
12	rug, and you can see the stain of the pee on there.
13	I took pictures of the pee that was going on the
14	rug into the toilet.
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Wait a minute.
16	You're saying the maintenance man
17	(Simultaneous Speaking)
18	MS. SMITH: Yes, sir. The floor still
19	smells like urine. There's nothing I can do to
20	get it out.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That's pretty
22	tough.

1	MS. SMITH: I know; it's a lot.
2	MR. GARRETT: I'll investigate that,
3	Commissioner.
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We'll see where we
5	get on that one.
6	MS. SMITH: Yes, sir. Thank you, sir.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you so much,
8	and I apologize for wow.
9	MR. GARRETT: I will investigate that.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes, let's
11	investigate that one. Wow. Okay. Getting back
12	in order here. James Brown. Excuse me? You're
12 13	in order here. James Brown. Excuse me? You're not James Brown; you're just pretending to be?
13	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be?
13	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be? (Off-mic comment.)
13 14 15	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be? (Off-mic comment.) VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You shouldn't have
13 14 15 16	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be? (Off-mic comment.) VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You shouldn't have said anything because now you're caught. My advice
13 14 15 16 17	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be? (Off-mic comment.) VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You shouldn't have said anything because now you're caught. My advice for the future is don't tell me. Rhonda
13 14 15 16 17	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be? (Off-mic comment.) VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You shouldn't have said anything because now you're caught. My advice for the future is don't tell me. Rhonda Edwards-Hines.
13 14 15 16 17 18	not James Brown; you're just pretending to be? (Off-mic comment.) VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You shouldn't have said anything because now you're caught. My advice for the future is don't tell me. Rhonda Edwards-Hines. MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Good afternoon.

1	I came and talked in front of the Board about my
2	issues pertaining to relocation.
3	I don't know if residents coming here
4	to testify and sharing with you their concerns do
5	get answered. I, as a resident and a leader in
6	Barry Farms, no one has contacted me from this
7	Board. I just wanted to be put on record that
8	is this redundant? I'm not understanding because
9	is it a joke that Barry Farms is going under
LO	redevelopment? Because we had that is so
L1	disrespectful.
L2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What's that?
L3	PARTICIPANT: I apologize.
L 4	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: I don't feel
L5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm
L6	listening, Rhonda (Simultaneous Speaking). I'm
L7	listening.
L8	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: It's really not
L9	based to you.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Continue
21	talking.
22	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: We had a meeting

at the end of March about relocation, and the developer was there. They said that they was going to put up a fence in the mid-section of Sumner Road. Here it is; we're in May. That has not happened yet. I don't understand. Is it that you're just saying that we're under redevelopment, or is redevelopment really going to happen?

not understanding. Because I'm Nothing has moved but boards going up, refrigerators and stoves going out of the units that's already boarded up. Are we honestly under redevelopment? Is it going to happen? Because I don't know. Because I just want to be able to go back and tell the residents yes, it is. Because they're asking me. I don't know. Being that leader, I should have certainty what to tell the residents. That's one question.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We just lost a big court case, if you're aware of, and so whatever that -- the results of that court case is a delay in the projects. We can't tell you with surety. To answer your question, we don't have surety.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: My understanding
2	with that court case that you just had, that's a
3	zoning issue. Because we have five streets
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That's how you
5	build the project. You have to get the zoning
6	approval. Therefore
7	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: But you had
8	approval for the demolition.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Why would we demo
10	it? We may or may not, but to demo I can't talk
11	about this, but point being we've had a response
12	from the court, which is going to delay us, so we
13	can't tell you with surety when the project will
14	start.
15	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: But you still want
16	residents to move. You still want residents to
17	relocate, and you don't even know what date the
18	project is going to start. No actions have been
19	took in place. No one has came to even let me
20	finish, Mr. Garrett. No one has even after this
21	court case, you sent out a letter, but that letter

didn't state that you was going to have a meeting

with the residents to inform them, to update them 1 as to what is going to happen. Now they're still 2 at Point A. Now the residents was like okay, we 3 have to go because this development is going to 4 The majority of them, I'm not going to 5 happen. 6 say, 10 percent is going to stay fast. With that being said, I'm looking for 7 someone, our executive director, as well -- because 8 9 he took upon himself to send those letters out to the residents, why not enclosing in that letter 10 11 stating when you're going to meet with the residents 12 of what's the next step? You can go ahead and 13 answer that. Ms. Hines, if you recall, 14 MR. GARRETT: 15 between the last time you appeared at the Board 16 of Commissioners meeting, we held a meeting with you and your constituency at Barry Farm to discuss 17 redevelopment, correct? 18 19 MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Mm-hm. 20 That's between, I think MR. GARRETT: 21 it was, March and now. I quess we did that in April. 22 We had that Barry Farm meeting a couple of weeks

1	ago, right?
2	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Yes.
3	MR. GARRETT: We discussed all of these
4	issues. We discussed relocation with you, and we
5	discussed the construction fence going up and the
6	particular timeline, did we not?
7	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Yes.
8	MR. GARRETT: Yes, we did.
9	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: But nothing has
10	happened. That's why I'm sitting here today.
11	MR. GARRETT: We're still moving
12	forward with putting up that construction fence.
13	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: That fence was
14	supposed to go up the third week of April. We're
15	in May now.
16	MR. GARRETT: Understood, but we're
17	still going to be moving forward with it. We'll
18	bear down on the exact date. In addition to having
19	an additional meeting with you, it's not because
20	we did not want to have an additional meeting with
21	the residents, it's just that we have to schedule
	1

that meeting when we are permitted to be able to

be there. Last week, when we sent out that letter to the residents, I wasn't able to have another resident meeting again between that date I sent out that notice to all the residents and today. I couldn't get another meeting in with the residents between that time frame.

It doesn't mean that we're not going to continue to engage you and be transparent about exactly what's going on, in terms of the process.

As Commissioner Slover also said, we also have to understand exactly what direction we're actually going to be going in, based on what the court has said.

MS. EDWARDS-HINES: So until you all know what direction you all are going to go in, I feel that the remaining residents should be able to stay there and not be forced to leave and not be given 90 days, 30 days, at the end of May you should be gone, including myself.

Like I stated in the beginning, when I came here two months ago and expressed -- to share with the Board what I've been going through --

because I speak for the residents and barely speak for myself. I asked of the Commissioners and the executive director, what's going to happen to the units that I was promised? At first, when this redevelopment came, I was offered 20 Riggs Road, right across the street. They rented that one out and to compensate me, they offered me 28. I accept. I looked at it. I got pictures. I'm on social media, live, with Mr. Council.

Mr. Council even asked me when was I going to move in? This was the week before Thanksgiving. I said being as though I'm a leader, I had Thanksgiving and Christmas to take care of for my residents. I will move in after the 1st of the year.

What happened? My unit was given to another resident. I had transferred my phone to over here. I lost my house phone that I had for 30 years. My grandparents raised me very well. I kept my same number. Now that's gone up in the air. I can't get that back. That's another stress to me.

Like I stated two months ago, I'm losing my hair. I know my time has passed, but I'm serious about this because no one has contacted me. Mr. Nathan has been very nice and tried to accommodate me. You're not going to send me from a unit where I don't have any rodents to a unit that has rodents outside. I've seen six of them that's almost as big as -- they're this big. That's not even included the tail -- jumping in the backyard, and you want me to move to that.

Then you offered me the unit in Highland, which I could take that unit and put it inside my house that I'm in now, so I have to put all my stuff away just to accommodate. So I'm like okay, I just can't -- I'm not even gone and I'm waiting for two years to come so I can move back to Barry.

That's not even considering that it's not even nothing happening, so it'll probably be five years from now. So why should I have to settle for anything? No one has addressed these issues. These are my issues, not residents, personally.

1	I've been a leader in that community over 20 years.
2	I have not asked nothing of DCHA, nothing.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Rhonda, I
4	cannot speak for everyone else, but the reason why
5	I've been on this Board successfully for 12 years,
6	because I know when I place myself in a conflict
7	of interest. This morning, I brought my
8	certification and my information to this Board,
9	so this Board to understand who they have sitting
LO	on this Board. I have been trained by some of the
L1	best lawyers from the District of Columbia. I know
L2	when and when not to go in a conflict of interest.
L3	You and I was trained by the same person, so,
L 4	therefore, I am in a conflict of interest with you.
L5	You should know how to handle your business, so
L6	handle it.
L7	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: That's why I'm
L8	here, to put this on the record. My next step
L9	I'm 100 percent woman. I'm not going to do anything
20	behind your guys' backs. I'm going to Anita Bonds
21	because she oversees this Board.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER:

22

Good luck with

1	that.
2	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: That's not right,
3	that nobody has addressed my issues. When I had
4	a meeting I'm going to also inform you that I
5	had a meeting with the executive director about
6	my issue with my two units. At the end of that
7	meeting
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm not trying to
9	cut you off, but I am.
LO	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Yes, you are.
L1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You've been twice
L2	as long. I appreciate your issues. I think you've
L3	got them on the record. I think you should give
L 4	us a little time to figure out how we're going to
L5	move forward.
L 6	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: A little time.
L7	It's been two months, so what am I supposed to do?
L8	You said that when you all take these, someone
L9	reaches back out to you when we testify.
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Right.
21	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: No one has came and
22	reached out to me in two months. That's why I'm

1	back here.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That's apparently
3	I don't have the record in front of me because
4	we get them monthly. I don't know what was
5	responded to you from the previous month because
6	I only have last month's. I don't think you
7	testified last month.
8	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: No, I testified
9	the month before that.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Two months, right,
11	so I don't have that.
12	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: When you was at
13	1133.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Right. I know; I
15	was there; I remember. I would just ask you to
16	give us a little time. I know you don't want to
17	hear that, but that's all I can offer you right
18	now.
19	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Oh, okay. So I'm
20	going to stay in 1142 Sumner Road until someone
21	let me know something. This is directly to Mr.
22	Garrett.

1	MR. GARRETT: So you didn't sign the
2	lease at Highland?
3	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Yes, I did,
4	because I was forced to.
5	MR. GARRETT: Okay.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We'll just work
7	that out. All right, thank you.
8	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: Because I had
9	nowhere else to go. You all was telling me we had
10	to go.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right.
12	MS. EDWARDS-HINES: I'm still paying
13	rent at 1142.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Karen Settles.
15	Yes, Ms. Settles.
16	MS. SETTLES: I'm somewhat speechless,
17	at this mess that's going on, as far as residents'
18	concerns are. I'm trying to make some order out
19	of first of all, hello Mr. Garrett, hello
20	Commissioners. Thank you for being at
21	Stoddert/Fort DuPont.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good afternoon.

MS. SETTLES: I am so serious about the way that -- all that's being delivered here today and the way it's being received. This is almost the only chance that residents have an opportunity to come before this Board to be able to express some of their issues and concerns.

I know that technology allows us to do this in a better way, and I'm determined that it will be done better than this. Our residents are -- it's a lot of pain and suffering in housing. Yes, most people say that it is all around safety, and it is.

But safety and mental health and some other things that drives you into this mental state of mind is on the head of the Housing Authority. You cannot do it by yourself. We have city services here that are not being properly utilized to inform and help residents get beyond some of the barriers that they are facing. A lot of the resolutions and things that were presented here even today and in previous commissioner meetings — I'm very frustrated at this mess. We have an

opportunity -- and I'm going to say this again, because I said this before the City Council.

We have a new director with new directions. We can't do business as usual anymore. Information that is necessary for residents to be able to make intelligent decisions pertaining to their own lives, specifically, has to be issued properly. We sat here today and listened to a budget approval.

We listened to several approvals of things that happened today and resolutions that were approved of and waiting for comment. Who does that? I'm telling you, I belong to other boards. I'm not comparing them to you because all boards are different, just like all properties are different.

My expectations for this Board of Commissioners is that the residents have to be first and foremost. Some people would call it great weight. The ANC's they call it great weight. This is kind of, sort of backwards, to be frank with you. The comments from -- comments pertaining to

resolutions are extremely important for direction because out of that can come some ideas or some recommendations that will work. You pass a resolution that is totally, totally against -- and I'm speaking of all of them, but specifically one of them about re-entry.

Half of the people in public housing has been under arrest. I'm not slandering anybody. All of our households have been touched with this, or our neighbors and somebody close. You cannot ignore a problem that's at this level in the city, just like you can't ignore homelessness.

We need more instructions and more education, by way of workshops, whatever, pertaining so the residents can be a part of the solution. You can't be a part of the solution scattered all over the place. You're throwing these summer blitz in Lincoln Heights, Richardson.

Stoddert Terrace and Fort DuPont are included. What about a whole plan for all of the properties? If you have a blitz for one or two, they're going to move someplace else. We need to

address the voice of our youth. We're not addressing their needs at all. What we're getting is the anger from them. If you think about the news as it is right now and one of the motorcycle people, we don't have a designated place for them to play on their bikes.

At random, they're doing whatever they want. I'm frustrated with them, to be frank with you, but this is what happens when everybody trying to act like it don't exist. These things exist. There are maintenance issues that exist throughout our Housing Authority.

They exist because they're not being addressed properly. The proper addressing of it is having the proper staff. Honestly speaking, I'm not kicking nobody's butt in maintenance because they don't have the proper staff. At Stoddert Terrace/Fort DuPont, we have a skeleton crew doing, in my view, the best they can with what they have.

I refuse to be able -- I'd like to have a maintenance man of the month, a resident of the

month. We need to be able to extend those courtesies to each other, acknowledging the work put in. But all this scattered stuff just going -- Mr. Garrett, I thank you for taking on this job, but I also expect the best. We're not getting that, sir. I don't believe in this notion that I'm going to go and I'm going to fire people, and I'm going to get rid of that. What works?

We haven't weighed in on that. What is working? Since you've been here, has there been an assessment of what really has worked? No, it has not, and you can't start from the low bottom, at what didn't work. That's why we're dealing with the Barry Farms stuff.

Nobody understands what a wonderful win it was when Barry Farms won through the Zoning Board. Nobody else could. Nobody else was there. This is the Nation's capital. I expect the best from us. We've got to do better than this.

I came up here to talk about windows in Stoddert and Fort DuPont that we desperately

1	need because most people can't even lock their
2	windows, except for the new residents who have moved
3	on the property, who have new windows. I came to
4	do it with all due respect. But I'm very full at
5	the fact that I'm a leader that feels the pain and
6	suffering of the residents that I represent or
7	serve. I take great offense at the fact that most
8	of these questions that are being these issues
9	that are being aroused here, this is not enough
10	time in the day to even address these things.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your
12	testimony, and I would encourage you I think
13	I heard you say in there that you didn't have an
14	opportunity to weigh in on some of the resolutions.
15	
16	MS. SETTLES: I didn't hear you.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I thought I heard
18	you say you didn't have an opportunity to weigh
19	in on the resolutions. Did you say that?
20	MS. SETTLES: Yes, I did.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What I would
22	encourage you to do is testify on the resolutions.

1	MS. SETTLES: What I did was not joined
2	the dog and pony show. I'm telling you, I don't
3	have a tolerance this is a dog and pony show
4	that's going on right now.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: If you want to
6	MS. SETTLES: Sure, go ahead. Tell me
7	how I weigh in.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm just saying
9	there's an opportunity, as Mr. Watson did, to weigh
10	in on resolutions. That's your opportunity to have
11	your voice heard. You talked about the resolutions
12	about policy, about the right to return, which some
13	of us voted for, some of us voted against. There
14	was a very long, heated discussion. You had the
15	opportunity to weigh in on that as part of this
16	process.
17	MS. SETTLES: That's not what I was
18	told. Let me tell you what my instructions was.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold on. Every
20	time we start, we (Simultaneous Speaking) you have
21	two opportunities. This is part of the thing.
22	MS. SETTLES: I heard it announced.

1	By the way, when I heard it announced, I only filled
2	out for comments.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All I'm saying is
4	this has been our policy for a long time.
5	MS. SETTLES: Is it both?
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
7	MS. SETTLES: I thought I heard you
8	announce that you had one sheet for comments and
9	one for resolutions. Is that what I heard?
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes, you have two
11	opportunities to weigh in.
12	MS. SETTLES: But I'm asking you a
13	specific. Did I hear it said that there's one sheet
14	for comments and one for resolutions?
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You have two
16	MS. SETTLES: I only signed one sheet.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What I'm trying to
18	say to you is you have two opportunities to weigh
19	in. One is on the resolutions, and one
20	MS. PONDEXTER-MOORE: (Off-mic comments
21	from audience.)
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Schyla, come on.

1	MS. PONDEXTER-MOORE: (Off-mic comments
2	from audience.)
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Schyla, come on.
4	MS. PONDEXTER-MOORE: (Off-mic comments
5	from audience.)
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Schyla, come on.
7	Anyway
8	MS. SETTLES: Commissioner Slover.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
10	MS. SETTLES: In your redirect to me
11	that I had two opportunities, I'm going to be
12	specific at what I said. What I said is that the
13	resolutions, in order for residents to be able to
14	intelligently comment on the resolutions, we would
15	need to have the resolutions. I didn't have the
16	preview of that. I'm going to speak for us today
17	because I know that most people who testified
18	didn't.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you hold on one
20	moment?
21	MS. SETTLES: Sure.
22	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Here's a

1	recommendation, Tyrone Garrett, because these have
2	been going on too much. No. 1, this is how this
3	can be settled. I know for a fact I'm the
4	president of Potomac Gardens. I have two ways to
5	get the resolution. I have a computer lab on
6	Fridays that the youngest person in my lab is 8
7	years old.
8	I let them get the resolution for me
9	and print it out. I let another child read it.
10	Other than that, I go down 1133 and I may get it,
11	but my resident council, we know about those
12	resolutions because it's a part of our agenda.
13	So No. 1, that falls on your president. If you
14	don't get it from your president
15	MS. SETTLES: So my recommendation
16	right now excuse me, excuse me, excuse me.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold it, no.
18	MS. SETTLES: My recommendation is
19	that those resolutions be sent to our management
20	office, please.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes,
22	that's what I'm going to say next. Can I finish,

1	Ms. Settles?
2	(Simultaneous Speaking.)
3	MS. SETTLES: I'm not going through
4	that.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I didn't
6	disrespect you at all.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hold on.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I didn't
9	disrespect you. You disrespected me very much.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Please, stop.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Second,
12	you have a property manager meeting. That property
13	manager meeting, when that president and resident
14	council don't meet, then you have an ANC. What
15	I'm told, at your ANC meetings, at your commission
16	ANC meetings, that you have those resolutions
17	there, too. If that's not true, somebody needs
18	to let us know because that's three ways that a
19	person can know. If it's wrong, Ms. Settles, your
20	ANC commission do your commission get it?
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
22	Vann-Ghasri, please stand down. Stand down.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes, sir.
2	MS. SETTLES: Commissioner Slover, let
3	me say this in final, please. When I come and make
4	comments or whatever that I make, I am not going
5	to be backed down. That's simply. I am due the
6	same respect that's wanted by me. You understand
7	what I'm saying? I'm not just coming up here just
8	because it's fun today. This is very, very
9	important.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate that.
11	It's my understanding that the resolutions are
12	available on the website prior to the meetings,
13	and that they're also emailed to resident council
14	presidents in advance. If there's another
15	reasonable way that we can get them to people, we'd
16	be welcome to that feedback, but they are available
17	on our website.
18	MS. SETTLES: I do recommend that you
19	have them placed in the management office, so all
20	residents will be able to view them. That's the
21	only recommendation.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER:

22

That's a very --

1	MS. SETTLES: I was just trying to get
2	to that part.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your
4	recommendation, and we will endeavor to see what
5	we can do on that front, but to offer that they're
6	not available, I think is inaccurate. I think they
7	are available; it's just that they may not be
8	getting to you. If that's the case, then we need
9	to work on that.
10	MS. SETTLES: I thank you for that.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you.
12	Caroline Brown. No. Florence D. Thompson.
13	MR. GARRETT: She's gone. She left.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Pamela Johnson.
15	MR. GARRETT: She's gone. She left.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Annie Mayo. Since
17	we're going to be here a very long time, if you
18	wanted to testify, I'll give you a couple minutes,
19	okay? Just do it quick. Because you're dressed
20	so well. Can you just announce yourself and tell
21	us whether you're a resident?
22	MR. HALL: My name is Steven Coleman

Hall, and I am a six-week resident of Syphax. On the second week I was there, and it was a Saturday, 6:00, I had a pipe bust in my apartment. I called the number that I was supposed to call. I had to call 22 times before someone came out.

The gentleman that's the property -not the property manager, but the maintenance guy,
he called me and told me to turn off a pipe. I
said sir, I don't know what pipe to turn off, so
someone from my building turned off the pipe for
me, but they turned off the wrong pipe. The water
was running.

I had to call 22 times. The 22nd time I called, I told the lady, I said I'm tired of calling. I'm tired of dumping water. I'm going to let it run on the floor. I live on the first floor. I said I'm going to let the water run outside because I'm sick of it. In less than 15 minutes, someone came.

When he came, he told me that he has a life after this job. I told him that he wouldn't have a life if he didn't have this job. I told

1	the property manager that, and he also told me that
2	a flood was not an emergency. To me, I want to
3	know what is an emergency, and also, what is his
4	record, as far as not coming to an emergency?
5	Because each tenant in that building told me, they
6	said he's not going to come. This was on a
7	Saturday. They said he's not going to come. This
8	was the holiday weekend. He didn't show up.
9	But he came that Monday, which was a
10	holiday. Then he told me I could have fixed it
11	myself. How could I have fixed it myself, when
12	he had to go to the truck to get a piece to fix
13	it? I want to know what is an emergency, as far
14	as the residents, after hours?
15	MR. GARRETT: That would have been
16	classified as an emergency. Could you give me the
17	individual's name?
18	MR. HALL: I don't know his name.
19	MR. GARRETT: We'll follow up on it.
20	PARTICIPANT: He used to work at Barry
21	Farms.
22	MR. GARRETT: We'll follow up on it.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you provide
2	your name and information
3	(Simultaneous Speaking)
4	MR. GARRETT: We'll look into it for
5	you. That gentleman right behind you, Mr. Bovelle,
6	Nathan Bovelle, he'll be able to take your
7	information, and we'll look into it.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you.
9	MR. HALL: Also, is this for all of the
10	residents, all of the people that got public
11	housing?
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Correct.
13	MR. HALL: Is this a standard practice
14	for everybody?
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What are you
16	referring to?
17	MR. HALL: If you call after hours and
18	you don't get no service?
19	(Simultaneous Speaking.)
20	MR. GARRETT: You're supposed to get
21	service.
22	MR. HALL: I'm from Barry Farms. I

1	never had that problem because even on a Sunday,
2	when I had an emergency, Ms. Watson made sure
3	somebody came out.
4	MR. GARRETT: Understood. No, that's
5	not customary for our staff, so we'll look into
6	further.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you very
8	much. I appreciate it. Paulette Matthews.
9	MS. MATTHEWS: Hello, everybody.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good afternoon.
11	MS. MATTHEWS: It's been a very hectic
12	day just sitting in these rooms, and I know I'm
13	not going to have enough time to talk about
14	everything, but I want to start out by the opening
15	meeting. Every time we come, you all seem to give
16	out awards, monetary awards, certificates.
17	I thought that was the individual's
18	jobs. Then when I think of the monetary I could
19	be being selfish, who knows? But with all these
20	things going on with the rats and the roaches and
21	all of that, I think maybe that money could have

been used to get a better pest control service

within the property, instead of issuing it out throughout the staff, who actually comes to work and those are the things that they're supposed to do.

Maybe you might consider giving the residents one for living in some of the conditions that they live in. Getting to the police issues that we're having, I hear people asking about police. I hear people talking about the different situations why they want police there. I've been in Barry Farms for some time now, and now we have Homeland Security.

Outside of the fact that 7D police sometimes are very rude and they like to harass people in their own neighborhood, just trying to enjoy their own neighborhood, now we have Homeland Security jumping out. We have 7D police jumping out, sometimes for no reason, harassing people, putting them in handcuffs, and then letting them go, patting them down, the whole nine yards.

Sometimes you have to be careful what you ask for. It's getting ready to be real hot,

and there's going to be a lot of mess going on throughout the city. There's a lot of people angry, frustrated, and everything else. I see where you all ask for all this different money.

People are asking for all this different money to be able to do this, that, and the other, recertification and this and that and bringing the criminal thing and all that type of stuff. At the end of the day, from what it sounds like to me, people are going to have to try to figure out how they're going to make some more bridges for people to live under because all these different criterias that people have to go through just to be able to live is ridiculous. I understand safety is important.

Then when we deal with the safety license in the units, we know that a lot of units don't have -- Barry Farms, for instance, there's a lot of units where, though they're empty, people can get in those lock boxes. We don't have good lighting all the time, so those things are very important.

Someone needs to have a job of checking on them regularly to make certain that these things are done, at the end of the day, before they leave, even though -- it may be you have the police roll through there in the evening and check the ones in abandoned buildings.

We're talking about safety here. Ιf you all can try to get all this money together to do all this other stuff, maybe you all need to consider that because these are human lives that are being jeopardized. Mr. Garrett, I understand you've been here seven months, I think you said, but according to the way I look at this now, everybody follows the same blueprint. You would think with the fresh eyes that it would be something Ιt different. doesn't look like nothing It looks like the same thing that's different. been going on throughout time.

Given the decision of the Court of Appeals with the D.C. Housing Authority -- I don't know if this is lawyers because they don't say anything, but I think the case is over, did, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	done with.
2	We couldn't ask questions when the case
3	was open, but I have some questions. Given the
4	decision of the Court of Appeals, will the D.C.
5	Housing Authority be doing anything different in
6	regards to the Barry Farms redevelopment? That
7	gate situation would be one of them.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We haven't had time
9	to analyze the impact of the lawsuit yet.
10	MR. GARRETT: We're still doing that
11	now.
12	MS. MATTHEWS: Can I ask this one, and
13	maybe you can tell me that you can't answer that,
14	either. At this moment, are you moving forward
15	with the demolition action? That would be yes
16	because oh, you still that can't be answered?
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'll refer that
18	question to Mr. Garrett.
19	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
20	MS. MATTHEWS: When do you plan to file
21	the second phase of the PUD for the first phase
22	parcel? Will it still be May of 2019?

1	MR. GARRETT: Ms. Matthews, we're
2	still evaluating exactly where we go, based on the
3	court decision, where we go.
4	MS. MATTHEWS: Will you continue to ask
5	people to leave the property and go to worse
6	properties?
7	MR. GARRETT: We're still performing
8	the relocation and looking to do the demolition,
9	yes, ma'am.
LO	MS. MATTHEWS: Will you still be
L1	charging people for instance, people have lived
L2	there 20 and 30 years. You have to go through a
L3	lot of paper and stuff. A lot of people worked
L 4	hard for their stuff. I understand a lot of times,
L5	you said give it away, throw it away, or whatever
L 6	the case may be. But once you sign off these papers
L7	or get your key, do you have to I understand
L8	from some people that they sign off, and then
L9	they're given seven days. I don't know whether
20	it's true or not.
21	If, by chance, you don't move, every
2.2	day after whatever that number could be, ten, seven.

or five, that they charge you for each day, for rent. I already know about the part if you leave anything in there, there's a \$300 fee. Then, just out of curiosity, if, by chance, you leave the unit, how much are these people being paid that move out on their own?

MR. GARRETT: I don't have the exact number for people moving out on their own, but I can tell you for people who are moving and having difficulty with removing all the things that they would like, we're not doing it -- we're doing it a case-by-case basis, trying to work with the residents to ensure that they are able to take all the things that they want to take. It's not one of those situations where the clock stops here, and we start charging, or we penalize those particular residents. Because again, you've come before us before and talked about the process of moving for some people, how it can be difficult. We're taking all of that into consideration when an individual does decide that they're going to be relocating.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	MS. MATTHEWS: I hear you saying that,
2	but I just recently heard these things, and that's
3	why I'm asking these particular questions.
4	MR. GARRETT: Understood. This is the
5	first time that
6	MS. MATTHEWS: From the residents that
7	are leaving out.
8	MR. GARRETT: This is the first time
9	you saying it to me is the first time that I'm
LO	hearing it in a different manner than what's been
L1	conveyed to the staff, in terms of trying to assist
L2	and work with residents in terms of relocation.
L3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right. I
L 4	appreciate your coming up to testify.
L5	MS. MATTHEWS: You're more than
L6	welcome.
L7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Ms.
L8	Pondexter-Moore.
L9	MS. PONDEXTER-MOORE: Good afternoon,
20	everyone. My name is Schyla Pondexter-Moore. I'm
21	a resident of public housing. I live in Highland
22	Dwellings. I'm 41, and I live there with my family.

First and foremost, I want to say congratulations to the Barry Farms Tenants and Allies Association.

You organized. We fought. We got crapped on every day.

We got crapped on every single day about the exact thing that the highest court in D.C. agreed with us about. We said that gentrification was going to result from this project. Housing Authority maintained no, it's not; no, it's not.

The highest court says that you never even considered the gentrification pressures. Also, about relocation, everybody is complaining about relocations. Everybody is talking about how terrible it was. D.C. Housing Authority says no, it's no problem.

The highest court in D.C. says you never even considered whether relocation was an issue or not. Also, with the return, you maintain everybody's going to have the right to return, and we said no, you're lying. The Housing Authority said no, we're not. The highest court in D.C. agreed with us and said you are, in fact, lying.

So I would like to congratulate the Barry Farms
Tenants and Allies Association for their hard work.

We pushed and we pushed, and we won. I want this
to be a message to all other properties that you
don't have to believe what these liars say.

Don't believe them. Do your own research. Reach out to some organizations, Empower D.C.; organize yourselves because all they do is lie to you. That's all they do. Now, on to the second thing. I live at Highland Dwellings, where 80 cameras have been installed on our homes.

When I resisted these cameras, the Housing Authority sent police to my home, and then they called MPD 7D to come to my home, and they arrested my son and I because we resisted against them installing 80 cameras in our community.

I want this camera off my home, and I'm going to keep on coming back here until it's off my home. I'm talking to attorneys. I think you know my track record, at this point. Every single time I've sued the Housing Authority, we've won. Highland, when you all tried to ban me from these

meetings; we won Highland, I won that. Barry Farms, won that. So you know my track record; I'm not going to give up, and I'm going to get these cameras off my house. When we first moved out to Highland after the private money was put in, the first thing you all told us was you can't have company on your front porch.

You all told us that we cannot have company on our front porch. We couldn't sit on our front porches. Just listen to that. That's what we were told when we moved back to Highland after the renovations. We resisted against that.

No, you're not going to tell me I can't sit on my own front porch. Then you came and told us we can't cook out in our backyard. You can't have a grill.

You sent police around to harass everybody that was cooking out, who were having graduation parties, celebrating our kids graduating from school, college, baby showers, birthdays, retirements. Everybody was having all types of parties. For the police to come and say

shut your grill down, shut your cookout done, we resisted that. Then the third thing, you had security come around and harass everybody about just minding their businesses, kept harassing people and harassing people, so we resisted against that. So now you put these cameras up. Can't we just live? Can't we just mind our business, live in the pride of our homes, without you coming along, keep trying to force stuff down our throats, and then you ask for a public comment after the fact.

I think that's what Ms. Settles was alluding to is that we don't have any privy to any of these resolutions. We just come, you all mumble about it up there, you vote about it, and then say we'll take comment. That is A-S-S backwards, and that is not a way to have a policy.

If you really care about our comments and about how we feel about what you do to us and decisions you make about us, then we would have the public comment before. You would have the resolutions in the office, mail it to our house. You mail our rent bill every month. You mail

everything else. Mail the resolutions. Let us talk about it. We cannot comment on something you mumbled about for ten minutes. That's ridiculous, and it's wrong for you to ask us to do that. Do you do that? When you go to your meetings, is that how you want shit -- I'm sorry; I'm so sorry. Is that how you want stuff? I want the cameras off my home, and I want somebody to be held accountable for arresting my son and I simply because we said we didn't want the cameras, simply because I threw a drill because the man kept coming to my house.

I want you all to understand. They sent law enforcement to my house with guns twice because we did not want security cameras put up in our community after we didn't have any notice about it, no meetings about it, no votes about it. I don't know what the heck our resident council president was doing, but she didn't even tell us nothing about it.

So when we had the meeting about it, after the fact, like you always do, you come and ask how we feel after you do what you already did.

How stupid do you think we are? It's just like the lady said, you treat us like animals. You treat us like nothing. It's a new day. It's lawsuit after lawsuit after lawsuit's coming. It's gone are the days where it's business as usual. Tt's the blueprint that people don't matter. You never even considered gentrification, relocation, none The only thing you considered was the dollar, the money. We are people, with families. If you really cared about how this is affecting us, you would really engage and get how we feel about it.

Talk to us. Don't just give us instructions, sit down and take instructions. We're grown people. Next time you think about trying to pass some policy without getting input, just know that people are standing up and rising up. It's a new day. People are organizing.

Who would have thought Barry Farms would have won. Who would have thought that, after you all crapped on us. The whole city crapped on us. The whole community crapped on us. The whole

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Ward 8 crapped on us, told us that we was nothing. 1 You don't have rights in public housing. You all 2 don't have no jobs. 3 You all don't pay no bills. You all 4 do this; you all do that. You all got to owe me 5 6 nothing. Okay, we showed you; we're something. So it's a new day. You all need to get it together 7 and stop treating us like animals and like crap 8 9 and value our opinions. Because if it wasn't for us, you all wouldn't have no job. If you have any 10 11 questions, I'm here, and I'm available. 12 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Anybody have any 13 questions? PONDEXTER-MOORE: Τ 14 MS. want. t.he 15 cameras off my house. I filed a grievance right 16 I never got a response to it. Isn't that the law, that you have to respond to my grievance? 17 Nobody responded. You know what you all did? 18 19 I filed a grievance on a Tuesday; you 20 all sent the police to my house on a Wednesday, the very next day. That's not a coincidence. That 21 22 was how you responded, by sending the police to

1	my house to arrest me. I want the cameras off my
2	house, and I'm going to get them off my house.
3	If you don't want another lawsuit, you better get
4	off my house now.
5	MR. LEE: Norton got to go. Norton got
6	to go. Norton got to go.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you, Mike.
8	Daniel. Michael, come on, bro.
9	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Good afternoon.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can we get some
11	quiet back there, please? Thank you.
12	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Good afternoon. My
13	name is Daniel Del Pielago
14	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Good
15	afternoon.
16	MR. DEL PIELAGO: with Empower D.C.
17	Several of the questions I was going to ask today
18	have already been asked. It's my understanding
19	that you all cannot answer them. I understand that
20	we're still waiting on the Zoning Commission to,
21	I guess, give another opinion or explain why they
22	approved the first phase Barry Farm PUD.

1	My big question right now is are people
2	still receiving 30-day notices to move? Has
3	anybody received a 30-day notice to move? Because
4	the plan has been vacated. At the moment, I
5	understand that they could respond and everything
6	could move forward again, but at the moment,
7	essentially, nothing exists, a plan.
8	Right now, we still hear residents
9	Mr. Shumer just told me he felt pressured to move.
10	That's why he's at Syphax now. People are feeling
11	that way right now, so my question right now is
12	has anyone, or will anyone, receive a 30-day notice?
13	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I would ask
14	Director Garrett.
15	MR. GARRETT: To date, no one has
16	received a 30-day notice, no. We did send down
17	information to residents discussing that we were
18	going to be continuing with relocation efforts,
19	based on our demolition approval, demolition and
20	relocation approval from HUD.
21	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Let me ask a
22	question. Let's say you all get over this. That's

happened, and we will appeal, and we're going to keep fighting it, just to put that on the table. In looking at all of this, the timeline -- this is hopefully for the rest of the Commissioners to know -- your second-stage PUD isn't due until May 2019.

That means you all can't build anything until that's approved. You guys have up until 2019 to make that -- to get it approved. I guess you could do it before that, but if you wait until then, then you have to wait for permits from DCRA to build. I'm getting at the point that this could take You guys have been messaging, or Kimberly Black-King has said -- and I looked at the transcripts from two months ago -- that the first units would come online in 2020. This timeline doesn't appear that Barry Farm residents won't return for several years. Is that true? you guys doing? Is there a plan, and when will the community see it?

MR. GARRETT: As Commissioner Slover stated, and I stated, also, we're still evaluating

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	exactly how the decision from the court will affect
2	our actual redevelopment plan.
3	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Has HUD given any
4	direction or anything?
5	MR. GARRETT: Not to my knowledge.
6	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Do they have to? Can
7	they say anything?
8	MR. GARRETT: No, they do not.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: The process with
10	HUD, as I understand it, is their approval is done,
11	the dispo and relocation, and now the city side
12	is the part that's in dispute at the moment. Those
13	are HUD will not weigh in on that, as I
14	understand. It's not their jurisdiction. With
15	regards to what they have done, they've done their
16	part, and now it's just a question of working out
17	what the ultimate resolution will be, whether it
18	changes from where it is today, or whether it
19	remains. That's sort of the sticky situation that
20	we're in right now.
21	While it may not be music to anybody's
22	ears, we do need a moment to catch our breath here

and figure out -- this is an enormous project, as we all know, so we do need to figure out how to proceed forward from where we are.

MR. DEL PIELAGO: Okay, thank you.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. Appreciate your coming up. Herbert Wyndear.

MR. WYNDEAR: Good afternoon. I'm a former resident of East Capitol Dwelling, then they moved me to Lincoln Heights public housing. When I moved over to Lincoln public housing, supposed to come back to East Capitol Dwellings.

No one ever called me back to move up on the property. As we've been waiting to move back, I moved in over to Lincoln Heights. I moved over to the property of Lincoln Heights because someone broke in my unit twice while I was at work in Lincoln Heights, so I moved off of the property, waiting to go back. No one ever called me back. My main concern is trying to find out why they never reached me back, reached back out to me to move back on my property for East Capitol Dwelling. Me and my mom lived together. My mom, since then,

1	passed away, waiting to move back. So
2	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Watson I mean Mr.
3	I'm sorry.
4	MR. WYNDEAR: Wyndear.
5	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Wyndear, I
6	apologize. Mr. Bovelle, right behind you, and can
7	look into that for you, if you can take a moment
8	just to speak to him, and we'll see exactly what
9	transpired. Is that fair? I appreciate you
10	waiting to ask that waiting the entire meeting
11	to ask that question.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Mr. Watson. He's
13	already Margaret Dwyer.
14	MR. GARRETT: She left, also.
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is that it?
16	MR. GARRETT: That's it.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I think that's the
18	
19	MR. GARRETT: Conclusion?
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Very quick. For
21	the record, just state your name for us.
22	MS. WILLIAMS: Diane Williams, I'm

from Stoddert Terrace. I received your letter. I thank you for your letter. The thing that you were saying how you're going to get all this done, our backlogs all done before December 2018. I want to know how is that possible because at the maintenance meetings you have, you don't have that many maintenance people.

MR. GARRETT: We're going to work as we can, and we're also going to bring in contractors, also, to do some work on our behalf.

I have a safety part. My problem is -- I don't have a problem with the police doing their job. Trust me; I don't have no problem with that. But when you bring a drug dealer from one place to another, I have a problem with it. I called the police. I called Housing. I just informed them. I want to know why -- police sits outside. Regular police sits outside, in front of our doors. They leave at a certain time. A year or two years, they used to sit in my parking lot. Now we can't get them to sit nowhere. Why we can't get them to be

1	places where you see the drugs move.
2	You move from one place to another.
3	I have grandkids. I refuse to have my grandkids
4	in my house playing because we have the right to
5	be outside. All I'm asking is I have patience,
6	but you all need to do something about this safety
7	thing.
8	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. WILLIAMS: That's all I have to
10	say.
11	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. That's
13	the end of the people that signed up to testify.
14	Appreciate everybody taking the time to come to
15	the meeting today. The next Board of Commissioner
16	meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 13, 2018,
17	at 1:00 p.m., and will be at DCHA headquarters,
18	1133 North Capital Street, Northeast, Washington,
19	D.C. 20002. The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
21	went off the record at 4:54 p.m.)

