#### THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

+ + + + +

## BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
JULY 11, 2018

+ + + + +

The Board of Commissioners met in the Executive Director's Conference Room, 1175 North Capitol Street, NW, Washington, D.C., at 1:00 p.m., William Slover, Vice Chairman, presiding.

#### COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

WILLIAM SLOVER, Vice Chairman KENNETH D. COUNCIL, Commissioner KEN GROSSINGER, Commissioner FRANK LANCASTER, Commissioner AQUARIUS VANN-GHASRI, Commissioner FRANSELENE ST. JEAN, Commissioner

## STAFF PRESENT:

TYRONE GARRET, Executive Director ALETHEA MCNAIR, Manager of Board Relations

## COMMISSIONER(S) ABSENT:

NEIL ALBERT, Chairman JOSE ORTIZ GAUD, Commissioner NAKEISHA NEAL JONES, Commissioner BRIAN KENNER, Commissioner

## CONTENTS

Call to Order 3
Roll Call4
Approval of Minutes6
Executive Director's Report
Resolution 18-12
Resolution 18-13
Resolution 18-14
Resolution 18-15 54 To Adopt Final Regulations for Smoke-Free Policy
Resolution 18-16
Public Comments 78
Adjourn 140

# 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1:09 p.m. 2 3 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good afternoon. We're going to get the meeting started, so if 4 everybody could take a seat, and we're going to get 5 6 Appreciate everybody coming. My name is Bill Slover. I'm the vice 7 afternoon. chairman of the Board of the Commissioners, and I'm 8 calling this meeting to order. 9 This is the regular monthly meeting of 10 11 the District of Columbia Housing Authority Board 12 of Commissioners, being held July 11, 2018, at 13 Sibley Plaza, 1140 North Capital Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 14 The time is about Sorry for the late start. 15 At this point, I would ask everybody to 16 silence your cell phones, mobile phones, smart 17 I appreciate that. At this time, we'll 18 phones. also do our moment of silence, so please take a 19 20 moment to be silent. (Moment of silence.) 21

SLOVER:

CHAIR

VICE

22

right,

All

1	appreciate that. Always good to take a moment of
2	silence. DCHA Board does have a public decorum
3	policy for meetings. I'm not going to read through
4	the whole thing. I think we all know what it is.
5	Everybody please be respectful of the process, be
6	respectful this is a public meeting. We do want
7	to hear your opinion.
8	We do realize there is some passion
9	about some people's opinions, but we would ask that
10	everybody be respectful of each other and of the
11	Board. I appreciate everybody doing that. At
12	this point, I'd like to ask for a roll call to
13	determine whether we have a quorum.
14	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
15	Council.
16	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Present.
17	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Grossinger.
18	COMMISSIONER GROSSINGER: Here.
19	MS. MCNAIR: Let the record reflect
20	that Commissioner Grossinger is joining us via
21	telephone. Commissioner Kenner.
22	(No audible response.)

1	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
2	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Here.
3	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Neal Jones.
4	(No audible response.)
5	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Ortiz-Gaud.
6	(No audible response.)
7	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Franselene
8	St. Jean.
9	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Here.
10	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
11	Vann-Ghasri.
	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Present.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GRASKI: Present.
13	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
13	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.
13 14	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.  (No audible response.)
13 14 15	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.  (No audible response.)  MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Bill
13 14 15 16	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.  (No audible response.)  MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Bill  Slover.
13 14 15 16 17	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.  (No audible response.)  MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Bill  Slover.  VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Present.
13 14 15 16 17	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.  (No audible response.)  MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Bill  Slover.  VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Present.  MS. MCNAIR: You have six
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MCNAIR: Chairman Albert.  (No audible response.)  MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Bill  Slover.  VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Present.  MS. MCNAIR: You have six  commissioners; you have a quorum.

public to come before the Board twice, once to
discuss any issues they have about the business
resolutions to be considered today, and second, to
discuss any personal issues related to housing.
We have two opportunities to sign up, so please,
if you haven't signed up and do want to talk in one
of these two opportunities, please do so. Persons
wishing to speak on a single resolution have three
minutes to speak. People wishing to speak on
multiple resolutions have five minutes to speak.
Residents of public housing and the Housing Choice
Voucher Program have five minutes. General public
testifying has three minutes. Everybody clear on
that?
VICE CHAIR SLOVER: At this point, I
would like the Commissioners to consider the
minutes of June 13, 2018. Are there any comments
or changes to those minutes?
(No audible response.)
VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hearing none, can
I get a motion to vote to accept the minutes?
COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.

1	PARTICIPANT: Second.
2	PARTICIPANT: Second.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All those in favor?
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All those opposed?
6	(No audible response.)
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I don't think we
8	need a roll call. The minutes are approved.
9	Thank you very much. At this point, we will hear
10	from Mr. Garrett, the executive director, with his
11	monthly report.
	MD CARDERER Committee to the C
12	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, before we
12	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, before we start the monthly report or items from the monthly
13	start the monthly report or items from the monthly
13 14	start the monthly report or items from the monthly report, I just wanted to do the recognition of
13 14 15	start the monthly report or items from the monthly report, I just wanted to do the recognition of employees. It's customary that we do this. One
13 14 15 16	start the monthly report or items from the monthly report, I just wanted to do the recognition of employees. It's customary that we do this. One of the reasons why I wanted to continue the
13 14 15 16 17	start the monthly report or items from the monthly report, I just wanted to do the recognition of employees. It's customary that we do this. One of the reasons why I wanted to continue the opportunity to recognize our employees is because
13 14 15 16 17	start the monthly report or items from the monthly report, I just wanted to do the recognition of employees. It's customary that we do this. One of the reasons why I wanted to continue the opportunity to recognize our employees is because of what our residents say.
13 14 15 16 17 18	start the monthly report or items from the monthly report, I just wanted to do the recognition of employees. It's customary that we do this. One of the reasons why I wanted to continue the opportunity to recognize our employees is because of what our residents say.  The residents often talk about us, and

and recognize their abilities to move forward and turn the Agency in a different direction under the new administration is to recognize them, recognize them for their efforts on behalf of the residents, and also recognize them on behalf of their diligence on behalf of the Board of Commissioners, themselves.

That's one of the reasons why I continue this particular to support opportunity because peer to recognize. Also peer, it encourages other employees to strive for an ability to be excellent, just like their colleagues. That's the whole purpose of this, to keep giving I have two people that I want to encouragement. recognize, two employees that I want to recognize The first individual is Martell this afternoon. Harris.

He's a police officer in our Office of Public Safety. I'm not going to go into full details of what he did for us or what he did on behalf of a resident, but he went above and beyond because the resident was in a medical crisis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 This particular individual, this officer, he exemplary put his self forward and 2 3 stayed with that particular resident while they were in need, until they were in a position where 4 they were no longer in danger. Normally, we see 5 a law enforcement officer and we think about 6 arresting someone. 7 This was not the case. This was 8 someone that this officer recognized a resident 9 that was in need and offered to assist and did a 10 11 wonderful job on behalf of the Housing Authority and on behalf of his colleagues because that was 12 a representation of all of us. I would like to 13 Officer Martell Harris for his recognition and his 14 support of the Agency by assisting a resident. 15 receives this employee recognition this month. 16 17 (Applause.) I just have to say he's only been with 18 us for about four weeks. 19 OFFICER HARRISON: I've been on the 20 streets for about four weeks. 21

MR. GARRETT: Four weeks.

22

Thank you,

∥ sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

OFFICER HARRISON: Thank you.

MR. GARRETT: Our next person, our next employee is Ms. Wood, Harriet Wood. The reason Ms. Wood is receiving this recognition is because normally, we have a lot of residents who come and they talk about things that we -- like I said, we have fallen short, dropped the ball, or didn't do something.

this particular case, Ms. Wood actually received a compliment from a resident, a resident who talked about the service that she gave to her and how she felt as though she was respected. Our employee, Ms. Wood, was courteous and took care of her need expeditiously and worked on her behalf. I think that's a strong testament to what we're trying to do at the Agency now, things that may, in the past, have been overlooked, we're trying to give credence and move forward. This is example. Ms. Wood, we can't thank her enough. can't thank her enough, and I actually personally did, for doing that on my behalf.

Because my employees, my staff, they're the front line. They represent me when they go out into the public or when they deal with our customers on a daily basis. I really appreciate her efforts on behalf of the DCHA.

Ms. Harriet Wood is our other employee of the month.

(Applause.)

Commissioners, I just have a few other things I want to bring to your attention. As you know, since my tenure, I said that we were going to make some management adjustments, where necessary, based on my assessment.

That was going to be part of a strategic plan. I just want to tell you that the reorganization for the Office of Resident Services is complete. What we've actually done is deployed all of our navigators outside of the headquarters now. They're out on a site, on a daily basis, and that's where they actually report. Two other items that I need to bring to everyone's attention. For our Office of Property Management, the Office

of Property Management has been changed. There have been some management decisions made.

We actually have four of the new individuals who are now serving as our area managers here with us. I just want to have them stand up, so everyone from the Board of Commissioners can see exactly who they are. These four individuals are going to be serving as our area managers moving forward: Quante Oliver, Brandi Lynch, Samatra Watson, and Mr. Paul Diggs.

These are your new area managers for PMO.

(Applause.)

Another point that I want to make sure that everyone is very clear on, including the public, is that I joined the Agency with the idea of creating a clear purpose of maintaining a high level of integrity, accountability, and also responsibility to the organization and to the residents that we actually serve. In doing so, we're still continuing with our initiative for the Three C initiative, which deals with environmental

issues throughout all of our properties, where the Three C represents being courteous, clean, and complete. That's something that we're going to instill in our Agency and bestow upon our residents.

In addition to that, we actually created a new department to try to ensure that customer engagement is our focus, so we have created a Customer Engagement Department. That department is going to be headed by Cheryl Robinson of the Authority.

She's from Housing Choice moving Voucher to head up our Customer Engagement Department. Also, in March, you know that we started our initiative to try and deal with our backlogged work orders. At that time, on March 19th, our backlog in work orders were roughly around 2,200.

I'm happy to announce that right now, we only have 579 backlogged work orders remaining.

It's our goal to have those completed no later than December 31st of this year. It's my expectation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that we'll be able to get through those before October at the pace that we're going. Then we'll look for new opportunities to deal with other work orders, as they arise, based on our environmental review that we're doing within all of our units and across our entire portfolio. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for your report. At this point, we do not have any public testimony related to the resolutions, so we will move on to going through the resolutions one at a time. We will be starting with Resolution 18-12, which is a resolution to authorize the execution of a Memorandum of Understanding with the Office of the Deputy Mayor for funding to the demolition of 33 units at Lincoln Heights. Mr. Director.

MR. GARRETT: Mr. Chair and Commissioners and the public, this particular resolution is supporting the demolition approval that was offered and approved by HUD in March 2017 to demolish the 33 units that are at Lincoln Heights.

1 Those 33 units are not occupied at this particular time. One of the questions that did 2 come up is what we're going to do with that 3 particular site once it has been demolished. 4 will, again, landscape it and put grass seeds 5 6 there, so the grass will grow, and we will continue to maintain it over a period of time. What the 7 resolution is asking for is that we accept the funds 8 from DMPED to execute the actual demolition of the 9 site -- of these units, I should say. 10 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for the 11 presentation. Commissioner questions, I'm going 12 to start to my right with Commissioner Vann-Ghasri. 13 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 14 Being that Lincoln Heights is my constituents, and as I 15 stated, lets don't make the mistakes of the past; 16 let's make new ones, first of all, I would like for 17 you to put in the record what address that the 33 18 units will impact. 19 With that, I want to know whether or not 20 there will be a rodent prevention in place because 21

there will be rodents with the remaining buildings

and units on that street, whether or not you looked into air pollution control because of some of the health situation of some of my constituents, and whether any of Ward 7 residents will be hired to help assist in the demolition. If not, why not? GARRETT: MR. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri, yes. No. 1, we are going to have a You have to have rodent plan in place. abatement plan to abate the site as demolition addition, be occurs. In we are going requiring -- the contractor's going to be required, on site, to water down the site, so that there is no dust.

Also, the construction fence that will be erected will have a filter included around that particular fence to catch any dust and debris that may be flying off of the site, in addition to watering down the site, itself.

As far as any opportunity that we have to employ our residents from the community through a Section 3, we will see. So yes, to answer your question directly, yes, we will be looking to hire

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

as many possible individuals from the community and 1 from our residents on site for this particular 2 3 demolition effort. 4 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Ι recommend that the first set of residents, whether 5 6 or not they are in the database at the Family Enhancement Center, which is now the Vision Center, 7 t.hat. residents of Lincoln Heights the 8 and Richardson Dwelling are the residents that will 9 10 have first priority in hiring, whether it's clerical or labor. 11 12 MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am. Commissioner 13 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 14 Lancaster. COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: My question 15 is this property that's going to be demolished, the 16 upkeep and the care of the property. I understood 17 you said about planting grass, making it pretty and 18 green, but my question was should we fence it in 19 or not to keep people from coming on to the 20 21 property, throwing trash and garbage on the

property, so you can have a clean space for the kids

1	out there that want to play around out there? How
2	are we going to handle that?
3	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner, as I
4	stated, we're going to look to see whether or not
5	fencing will be viable for this particular
6	location, but we will definitely maintain it free
7	of debris and any trash.
8	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
10	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: No questions.
11	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: No.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A couple things I
13	just want to get on the record related to the
14	\$900,000. I just want to make it clear that this
15	\$900,000 is not a loan, not to be repaid, but it's
16	a grant from the Deputy Mayor's Office with the
17	specific purpose of demolition of these 33 units.
18	MR. GARRETT: Correct.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: There is no other
20	conditions or requirements for receiving the
21	money.
22	MR. GARRETT: No, nothing other than a

1	signature on an MOU, execution of an MOU.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Particular to
3	demoing these 33 units and nothing else.
4	MR. GARRETT: Nothing else.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: If there's no
6	further questions, can I get a motion to vote to
7	approve Resolution 18-12?
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So moved.
9	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Second.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can I have a roll
11	call vote, please?
12	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
13	Vann-Ghasri?
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
15	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean?
16	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
17	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Yes.
19	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
20	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Slover.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.

MS. MCNAIR: You have five yes. 1 The resolution is approved. 2 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. 3 on to Resolution 18-13 to authorize the execution 4 5 of a contract for an enterprise resource plan, ERP, 6 system solution. Mr. Director. 7 MR. **GARRETT:** Chair, Mr. Commissioners, and members of the public, this 8 resolution, 18-13, 9 particular authorizing 10 contract with enterprise resource planning is, in short, a software system for the Housing Authority 11 to upgrade its current system and database for 12 13 residents, how we keep our rental information, 14 recertifications, information resident on population will roll through this particular 15 software, in addition to financial information. 16 It's going to be a clear upgrade, for efficiency 17 purposes, because it will also include a component 18 19 for OAS, for procurement, along with other functions for the Department of Finance to maintain 20 21 our annual report and financial ledger. 22 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Again, starting

with you, Commissioner Vann-Ghasri.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: First and foremost, I'd like to thank my colleagues for the recommendation for the language change that I have recommended for section 3 applies to this resolution. However, my question is whether or not this resolution actually -- how will it impact residents, or will it at all?

MR. GARRETT: It will definitely allow us to be more efficient, provide better service and expedient service to our residents. It will also allow our departments to communicate better, being able to identify information. One other thing it's going to do for the Office of the Executive Director, which will be beneficial, is that we're going to draw off of this actual clearer dashboard, Commissioner Vann-Ghasri, which will give real-time information and give many of department heads real-time information on what the Housing Authority staff and the residents are We'll be able to pull information on rent doing. collection, occupancy levels, along with other

1	data, that will be beneficial to improving our
2	operation.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: My last and
4	final question is would you explain, in lay
5	language, exactly what it means, a contribution
6	equal to 3 percent of the actual what is billable
7	days for the on-site implementation?
8	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner
9	Vann-Ghasri, the billable days are the time frame
10	in which the software company, Yardi, will be on
11	site, doing implementation, and also software
12	training. Three percent of that will be our
13	Section 3 requirement that they will give to the
14	Housing Authority, and we'll utilize that for
15	working with our residents to ensure that they have
16	other opportunities, whether it be through
17	computer classes or other operational skills that
18	will allow for self-sufficiency.
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So the 3
20	percent is not a monetary value, am I to understand
21	that?
22	MR. GARRETT: Yes, it is.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Three
2	percent of what figure?
3	MR. GARRETT: It's 3 percent of the
4	\$400,000.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
7	Lancaster.
8	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: This
9	\$350,000, is this for the whole ten years? I see
10	we have a five-year term, with the option of
11	extending for another five years, which gives me
12	somewhere around about maybe \$43,500 per year.
13	Are you referencing on the 2.175 for the first five,
14	and then additional on the other five?
15	MR. GARRETT: I'm sorry, Commissioner
16	Lancaster, can you repeat the question? I
17	didn't
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: The question
19	is you've got 4.3 for ten years, and then I'm
20	reading this, it says the first five years, with
21	the option of five years extended. Are we going
22	by year are we going by the year of the 4.3, or

1	are we going by \$43,500 per year? Are we going by
2	2.175 for the first five years?
3	MR. GARRETT: No, the \$4,350,000 is
4	split over the five-year period, Commissioner.
5	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So if it's
6	split over a five-year period, then it gives me
7	\$43,500 per year, then?
8	PARTICIPANT: It's a fixed amount,
9	Commissioner, \$435,000.
10	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Will you
11	please address the mic and say your name for the
12	record, please?
13	MR. GARRETT: I'm sorry about that.
14	Thank you, Lorry.
15	MS. BONDS: Lorry Bonds, director of
16	Administrative Services. It's a fixed amount of
17	\$435,000 per year.
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: It's
19	\$435,000 per year?
20	MS. BONDS: Yes, Commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you.
22	MS. BONDS: You're welcome.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Any other further
2	questions?
3	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: No.
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
5	Lancaster?
6	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: No other
7	questions, thank you.
8	PARTICIPANT: No.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: This is the
10	incumbent, correct?
11	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: It's my
13	understanding that the incumbent has performed
14	well in its previous contract.
15	MR. GARRETT: Correct, yes.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is this service an
17	upgrade? Is it a continuation of the existing
18	service? Is it more robust?
19	MR. GARRETT: It's actually more
20	robust. It's an upgrade, and it's more robust, and
21	it will allow us, as we move forward within this
22	industry, to do more things on behalf of the

1	residents, and also to be able to keep our strategy
2	and our I'm trying to put our business
3	structure together.
4	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: How does it
5	compare, on a cost basis, to the previous year's
6	cost? I also notice
7	MR. GARRETT: Lorry, I don't have that
8	at my disposal.
9	MS. BONDS: I'm going to defer to
10	Richard.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: The current
12	contract I'm just picking up on this; I
13	apologize. The current contract expires March 9,
14	2020, so we're getting is that contract going
15	to burn off, or are we replacing it with this
16	contract?
17	MR. GARRETT: We're replacing it with
18	this, Commissioner.
19	MS. BONDS: I'm sorry; we're replacing
20	it completely with this contract.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay.
22	MR. CONGO: I wanted to address the

differences between -- so the pricing structure 1 changes. On the old software, it was based on 2 3 number of users. This structure is now based on the number of units that we have and the vouchers 4 5 that we have. There is an increase, in terms of the 6 yearly maintenance, but it's because of the pricing 7 Allow me to just add this. 8 structure. Our current version that we're using, they are waiving 9 the maintenance on that while we implement the new 10 11 software. 12 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So shifting from a user base of 700 or whatever it is to a user base 13 of -- it's a big difference. How is the pricing 14 comparatively? Clearly, it's not the same unit 15 price, so on aggregate, what was last year's spend 16 17 versus under this contract's spend? This is \$435,000. 18 Last year was --\$365,000. 19 MR. CONGO: 20 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Do we think we're getting \$100,000 in new bells and whistles? 21

MR. CONGO: Yes, we do.

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Good. The 3
2	percent, by the way, I think equates to about
3	\$12,000 for the Section 3 payment on \$4.3 million
4	contract?
5	MR. GARRETT: Right, but it's only on
6	the billable hours.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes, but at
8	\$400,000, 3 percent, that's \$12,000.
9	MR. GARRETT: Yes.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So the Section 3
11	compliance is \$12,000 on a \$4.3 million contract.
12	Do we think that's enough? I'm not saying we can
13	go back and do it now. I'm just saying that seems
14	kind of light for a \$4.3 million contract.
15	MS. BONDS: I will defer to Larry
16	Williams.
17	MR. GARRETT: As he approaches,
18	Commissioner
19	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Congo,
20	before you leave, state who you are and what your
21	office is, please, for the record.
22	MR CONGO: I'm sorry: I did not hear

1 you. COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: 2 Your name, 3 for the record, and your office. 4 MR. CONGO: Oh, I'm sorry, Richard 5 Congo, director of IT, DCHA. 6 COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Williams, Larry director of Resident Services, in which Section 3 8 compliance falls under my office. Actually, the 9 dollar amount would be \$13,500 per year, and 10 there's additional services that will be added to 11 12 Section 3 compliance through computer training, as well as a sponsorship to the scholarship fund. 13 So that's included in their overall Section 3 plan, 14 which I'm still confirming. 15 Okav, I didn't 16 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: realize it was on an annualized basis. 17 when we discussed this, it sounded like it was a 18 one-time implementation, cost of \$400,000, and 19 that would be the basis. I didn't realize it was 20 an ongoing implementation. Okay. Now I'm really 21

confused.

1	\$435,000 is the whole value of the
2	annual contract, so 3 percent of that is \$13,500.
3	So we're getting paid 3 percent on the entire value
4	of the contract? That doesn't make sense. It
5	doesn't add up. Because you're saying on-site
6	implementation and training services is what we're
7	getting paid on, but you're actually now saying
8	it's the entire value of the contract. It is what
9	it is, but let's figure out what it is.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry;
11	Commissioner. It's not the entire value of the
12	contract. It's the entire value of the
13	implementation, which is the training aspect of it,
14	which is \$435,000. So there would be \$13,500 that
15	is contributed to the Section 3 fund, as well as
16	the other services that will be provided to comply
17	with Section 3.
18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: But you said
19	annually.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Right.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I don't want to
22	waste a whole bunch of time on this because it's

1	not important, but you said it was annual. It's
2	not. It's one time, or it's 3 percent of the whole
3	contract, one of those two things. Because
4	\$13,500 is 3 percent of \$435,000.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Correct.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That's the
7	contract value per year.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Correct.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So we're getting
10	paid 3 percent on the entire value of the contract?
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Correct.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That's not what the
13	resolution says. The resolution says we're
14	getting paid on implementation and training
15	services on site, very specific to a stream of that
16	work, not the whole contract. If we're getting
17	paid on the whole contract, let's write we're
18	getting paid 3 percent on the entire value of the
19	contract.
20	PARTICIPANT: My understanding and
21	let me clarify we're getting paid \$13,500 the
22	contribution to the Section 3 fund is based on the

1	training aspect of the contract, which is \$435,000,
2	on an annual basis, so that would mean each year,
3	if that's what was paid out, that's what we
4	would we would get the \$13,500 contribution back
5	to
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I think there's a
7	disconnect here because that's actually the value
8	of the entire contract, \$435,000. On an annual
9	basis, \$435,000.
10	PARTICIPANT: On an annual basis, yes.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: What he's saying is
12	we're getting paid
13	PARTICIPANT: The actual value of what
14	the
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	MR. CONGO: I think the resolution in
17	front of you is correct. So my understanding
18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So it's a one-time
19	payment of \$13,500.
20	MR. CONGO: That is right. That is
21	based on implementation and training.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right. I'm

1	sorry. I'm just trying to get it square. Does
2	anybody else have any other questions on this
3	resolution?
4	(No audible response.)
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hearing none, can
6	I get a motion to vote on Resolution 18-13?
7	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So second.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call vote,
10	please.
11	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
12	St. Jean.
13	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
14	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
15	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Yes.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
17	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
18	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
19	Vann-Ghasri.
20	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Slover.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.

MS. MCNAIR: You have five yes. The resolution is approved.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: But before you leave, let me make this suggestion. In the near future, be able to converse with us by crossing your Ts, dotting your Is, and understanding the math and to be clear with clarification using adjectives/adverbs. Thank you so much.

PARTICIPANT: Understood.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I want to take one quick moment, here, to recognize Ι apologize; Ι did either of not see you -- Councilmembers Nadeau and White. Thank you for coming and being here. If you don't mind, I'd like to just get through our business, and then I'll give you guys an opportunity to come up and say something, should you want to, but thank you very much for coming to our meeting today.

Appreciate it. I apologize. I just wasn't paying attention. Moving on to Resolution 18-14 -- if I had a bigger iPad, I could read these -- to approve the District of Columbia

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Housing Authority fiscal year 2019 moving to work plan. Mr. Director.

MR. GARRETT: Commissioners, members of the public, this particular resolution is to approve our annual moving to work plan that we have upgraded. We've included a new initiative, which is modifications to the family self-sufficiency program, and re-propose other initiatives, such as expansion of the home ownership program that we have in play, and also expansion with the local blended subsidy initiative that we have.

We've also identified, within the moving to work plan, itself, the uses of capital fund for various sources, such as public safety and debt service. In addition, we're looking to work with their rental assistance demonstration program and have identified certain properties that are part of our portfolio award that we received back in 2017.

One thing that was brought up, Mr.

Chair -- Commissioner Slover discussed and talked about was to ensure that with RAD, if we were to

move forward with any of those items, we did make the necessary change to the resolution to ensure that any transaction involving RAD would have to come back before this body for review.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your adding that. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri, do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes, I have a question. I'm going to start with RAD. I caution us to make sure that the RAD, which is the Rental Assistance Demonstration program, includes our public and Indian rights. I'm going to be very specific in what I'm saying.

What I am saying is that No. 1, public housing residents will be able -- whoever is the president will be able to continue to receive the net monthly income of the washing machine for their resident council. No. 2, that the residents will continue to receive resident participation funds, and that resident council will be acknowledged by the District of Columbia Housing Authority Board of Commissioners and the director.

We are not going to lose any of our 24
CFR rights. If anything, we are to acquire more
rights by thinking out of the box with other
initiatives. The next thing I want somebody to do
is to explain how do RAD actually work and include
in your oral presentation the increase of the rent
after residing there after a certain appointing
time and whether or not the District of Columbia
Housing Authority will be managing the RAD
communities and how were they selected and if any
were selected and why were they the community
selected and know what ward they are impacting.
MR. GARRETT: Okay, Commissioner
Vann-Ghasri, that would be one of the items that
we would bring to the full Board in a symposium
COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: That would
be the items that you would tell us
MR. GARRETT: Yes.
COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: which
communities, in which ward, will be impacted. The
other information Ms. Cole should be able to
answer.

1	MR. GARRETT: In reverse,
2	Commissioner, in reverse, how the impact what
3	the actual impact of RAD would be. That's what we
4	would have in the actual symposium.
5	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So now, but
6	Ms. Cole can tell us whether or not whether, when
7	we did RAD, how it impacts the rent and the
8	increase. She can tell us that. That is a part
9	of the regulation and policy, so it can go on
LO	record.
L1	MR. GARRETT: I don't think she can do
L2	that right now.
L3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: She can't
L4	do that?
L5	MR. GARRETT: Commissioner
L6	Vann-Ghasri, because RAD actually with the use
L7	of RAD, there's no impact to residents'
L8	contribution.
L9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, this
20	is what I'm calling the impact to residents. I'm
21	saying hypothetically, if the Villager was to get
22	PAD and those people who live in the Willager after

five years living in the Villager, their rent increased, whether you get RAD or not, there are elements of RAD that is in place.

That is one of the attractions of RAD. Then another thing that may be in place is whether the property will be managed by Housing Authority or whether or not the property will be managed by We're just playing around private management. with the idea. The idea can be in the minutes because you're not saying that you have selected. However, some of the same dialogs that we have had on the committee level, I don't understand why some of that dialog cannot be implemented or discussion, or it shouldn't have been in a part of the move to document, at this work time, that's on public -- it's on the website or the public -- you stakeholders said that you had who have communicated in reference to it. You gave that So was there a difference? presentation to us. If so, I beg the difference.

MR. GARRETT: Before Kim discusses it,
Commissioner Vann-Ghasri, I just want to say that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in terms of RAD, the RAD is actually a project base component.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Okay, I'll go to another question. I have a question --

MR. GARRETT: No, I just wanted to answer your question. RAD is actually a project base component. The rent component for residents remains the same. Now, on to the other side, whether or not our strategy will be to maintain the properties, that is something that we're going to be discussing with the Board of Commissioners, exactly how we go forward. If we wanted to move forward with a strategy, I would prefer a strategy that we do maintain the overall control of the property if we were to go into a RAD program.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you so much for putting that on record. Now, Ms. Cole, I would like to know, in this move to work, how does -- or whether or not move to work impacts my constituents, which is public housing? Which initiative in the move to work draft impacts public housing, and how, and how would my residents

benefit if there's any benefits for us?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Kimberly Cole, director of MS. COLE: Planning. I will start with the modifications to the FSS program, and then the revisions that we're doina to two other initiatives. With the modifications to the FSS program, those will impact holders. voucher We've our presented self-sufficiency initiatives in the past, focused on our public housing participants, specifically our AYBL program.

focus on our This year, we look to voucher holders, with respect to making modifications to self-sufficiency. The other initiative is related to home ownership. looking to expand that program to include those households who are currently paying 100 percent of their rent in the voucher program. Under our current policy, they phase off -- they transition off of the program. What we're proposing is to expand our home ownership program for those families who are interested in participating in home ownership and not wanting to transition off

of the program yet.

Then finally, our local blended subsidy program, this would be something that would directly impact your constituents. The Housing Authority's always looking for new ways to finance redevelopment and to maintain our public housing sites.

An existing initiative is our local blended subsidy initiative. We use that to layer subsidy for work that we did at Highland Dwellings and work that we did to actually build the Bixby. What we're proposing in 2019 is to add another funding source to that using our MTW authority.

That would be using funding through tenant-based -- excuse me, tenant protection vouchers or relocation vouchers, those that go unused by households who would rather relocate to public housing sites, as opposed to using a voucher, for those vouchers that go unused, we would include that as part of the financing. We're looking to do that for our Park Morton/Bruce Monroe site.

last COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Мγ question is at what point in time did this Housing Authority became a move to work housing authority and will you, at some point in time, give this Board a trend to show us, with my constituents, from the time that DCHA became one of approximately 39 move to work housing authorities how that impacted my constituents from every ward, except Ward 3, because in Ward 3, there is no public housing, so that we could see a trend how well move to work is impacting my constituents, which is the family properties of the District of Columbia Housing Authority?

We entered the moving to MS. COLE: work program in 2003 is when we executed our agreement with HUD. Impact on public housing have been extensive if residents folks were familiar with biannual recertifications, triannual recertifications. The AYBL program is also another example of what we've implemented through our MTW authority. I'd be happy to provide you with the trends of other examples.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
2	so much.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
4	Lancaster.
5	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: My question
6	on this, me going into RAD with vouchers is project
7	based, if I'm not mistaken. Is that correct?
8	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: On this
10	project-based voucher situation, they will have
11	their own waiting list, also?
12	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Versus our
14	waiting list?
15	MR. GARRETT: As we discussed, it would
16	be how we structured the reg and operating
17	agreement, most likely we would have two such
18	lists. Our list would take I need to clarify
19	that our list would take precedence.
20	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: That's what I
21	wanted to know. Our list would take precedence
22	over that list. As far as the residents' councils

1	involved, do they have the right to form their own
2	council without restriction from the other
3	partnerships involved?
4	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir. If they
5	wanted to form their own resident council, they
6	could do so. Also, other housing authorities have
7	worked out other systems, in order to recognize the
8	resident council, if that's something that members
9	of that particular community would like to do.
LO	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Thank you.
L1	No more questions.
L2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner St.
L3	Jean.
L4	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: No questions.
L5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
L6	Council.
L7	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: No.
L8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your
L9	opening up with the change in the language
20	regarding RAD. I just want to state again, for the
21	record, that this Board has not approved any of the
22	ten properties listed in the move to work agreement

for RAD. As you can tell from the discussions here on the Board, there is a lot of questions that I think a lot of us have about RAD and how effective it is and what it actually does, in terms of the reduction of traditional public housing, shifting into more of a project-based voucher mode, what that does to the rights of residents, what that does to our capacity or ability, as an Agency, to control the asset.

I think there's a lot of consternation, and I hope that over the next couple of months, we, as a Board, can get better educated on that, so there's a level of comfort if, in fact, we do proceed. I appreciate your clarifying that in the agreement.

I would offer that anybody who has an interest in how the Housing Authority works ought to take some time to download this moving to work agreement. It really is an opportunity to see how complicated this Agency is, how many different programs we have, how many people we serve.

It is a very, very detailed document.

It takes a lot of work to put it together, so I appreciate all the hard work that goes into this. We're not perfect, but if you read this document, you will understand complexities of this Agency. It is worthy of a read, if you really want to understand the Housing Authority. One of the things that we talked about earlier, which I'd like to put on the public record, that I saw in this document was an initiative around trying to integrate ourselves better with the education system in the District of Columbia.

I think a really worthwhile program that we're trying to get involved with, which is around truancy and around how, as an Agency, we can be more involved and be part of the solution around truancy, which is an enormous issue in the District of Columbia, contributes immensely to our low graduate rate.

If there's anything that we can do, as an Agency, to get involved at a younger age -- I think it's somewhere, I read, about in eighth grade is a barometer to how you're going to do in high

school, based on your truancy.

I would encourage this Board to push -- I thank the staff for surfacing that as a place where we can get involved. I would really love to see us really do something there. I think we have a great opportunity, so thank you guys for bringing that up.

COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Mr. Chair, if I may, on that point that you're speaking on at the moment, I've asked this Housing Authority to give some consideration to archiving.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: To what?

COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Archiving some of the stuff that we do, so if kids in high school want to know anything about housing or urban renewal, they could come to us and draw from us, whether we had tapes or literature and stuff like that for them to read. I'm hoping that somewhere along the line, we can get that done.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay. The one additional question I had, we talked about earlier, was the five-year extension on this voucher -- what

1 is it? PARTICIPANT: Home ownership? 2 3 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 4 PARTICIPANT: Home ownership. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Does that not allow 5 6 us to put a voucher out for five years? words, are we extending our inability to house 7 someone for an additional five years? 8 MS. COLE: It depends on how -- the 9 intent of the initiative, and the expectation, is 10 folks were paying 100 percent of the rent already. 11 12 So the money that we are not spending on half 13 is -- we actually have. We retain that. Mr. McCoy has explained that he has the ability to actually 14 issue additional vouchers, even though those folks 15 weren't on an extended voucher in the program. 16 The question that you raised earlier 17 related to what we will do if someone's income 18 decreased and they weren't able to pay 100 percent. 19 We would be in a position to provide subsidy in 20 those situations. 21

VICE CHAIR SLOVER:

22

So we build some

1	flexibility into the voucher pool
2	MS. COLE: Yes, if some I'm sorry.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: so that what
4	I would really hate to see, and I doubt this is
5	happening, I just want to make sure it's not, which
6	is we're holding off on housing someone for an
7	additional five years in anticipation of someone
8	might having to come back on, which, of course, we
9	want to make sure we're there to take care of. But
10	at the same time, I would hate to see an inability
11	to house someone because of it. It sounds to me
12	like there's enough flexibility, I'll call it, in
13	the pot that we have to generate vouchers that we're
14	able to see beyond that?
15	MS. COLE: Yes, we believe so.
16	MR. GARRETT: A better way to couch it
17	would be a half reserve that we have in place.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI:
19	Commissioner?
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Can I ask
22	him one last question? That last question is why

there is no FSS for public housing residents? 1 I'm sorry, could you repeat? MS. COLE: 2 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Why there 3 is no FSS for public housing residents? 4 There is FSS for public 5 MS. COLE: 6 housing residents. I'm sorry. In response to the question, there is a self-sufficiency program for 7 our public housing residents. We intentionally 8 created AYBL, Achieving Your Best Life, program for 9 our public housing residents as not necessarily an 10 equivalent, but an opportunity for our families to 11 work towards home ownership or renting in the 12 private rental market. We created that about five 13 14 years ago -- six years ago. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you. 15 That needed to be placed on the record. 16 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Another thing that 17 came up here, that we had talked about earlier, I 18 just want to get out, we look forward to getting 19 a better understanding of the varying waiting lists 20 that we have and, I guess, a matrix, as lack of a 21 22 better -- just sort of understanding, again, the

1	complexity of the organization that we're running
2	and a better understanding of all that.
3	I think, again, this move to work
4	document is a great document. It creates a lot of
5	questions, but it's an opportunity to take a look
6	at what we're doing on an Agency-wide basis, so
7	thank you again. Does anybody else have any
8	questions?
9	(No audible response.)
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hearing none, can
11	I get a motion to vote on Resolution 18-14?
12	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.
13	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Second.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call vote,
15	please.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
17	Lancaster.
18	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Yes.
19	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
20	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
22	Vann-Ghasri.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
2	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean.
3	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
4	MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Slover.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
6	MS. MCNAIR: You have five yes. The
7	resolution is approved.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right. We're
9	making good work here. Resolution 18-15. We're
10	going back and forth between the new and old.
11	We're all here. Resolution 18-15 is to adopt final
12	regulations for smoke-free public housing. Mr.
13	Executive Director.
14	MR. GARRETT: Commissioners and
15	members of the public, as we all are aware, HUD has
16	proposed a new regulation that housing authorities
17	across the country need to implement by July 30th,
18	which is the smoke-free public housing ban. In
19	doing that, DCHA has taken every effort to obtain
20	public comment, and also engage the constituency,
21	our residents, on how this will actually impact

We've done this in several different ways,

them.

through target audiences and with the PHA residents, our customers.

We've also engaged key partners, such as the American Lung Association, D.C. Tobacco Free Coalition, D.C. Smoke Free Stakeholder Group, and the National Cancer Institute. Our modes of communication for this will continue, and it started, actually, in May, to do mailers, text messages, robo-calls to ensure that 100 percent of the residents are contacted and are aware of this particular movement to have smoke-free facilities.

I have to also couch and explain that this also impacts our actual office space, also. This impacts -- smoke free will be implemented with our employees, as well. I think the big concern that we found when I would go to the town hall and one-on-one meetings have meetings residents, and even for the property managers, themselves, when they spoke to our customers, was how is this actually going to be enforced. Ιf you'll just indulge me, I just wanted to take a moment to read three of the key items to how the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

enforcement will actually take place, in reference to the smoke-free policy. First, initially, there will be a verbal warning when documented evidence of smoking in a smoke-free area occurs.

For each violation, the property manager would issue a verbal warning. That would be Stage 1. That would be Step 1, a verbal warning. Stage 2 would be a written warning. A resident will receive a written notice of the infraction when there is continued documented evidence of smoking after six verbal warnings.

So it comes in stages. Not until after you've received your sixth verbal warning would you receive a written warning. That written warning would be in effect for -- recorded for a three-month period.

The third stage, which is the harder stage, would be a resident would receive a 30-day notice to cure or to vacate if four or more written notices of the infraction have been issued within a 12-month period. The key to this is 12 months, meaning that if a resident did not receive four

written warnings within the period of 12 months, the cycle would start over again. It's not a continuum, where once you receive a written notice, 30-day notice -- I'm sorry, when you receive a written notice, that written notice goes through -- it's continuous, meaning that it goes through perpetuity, for years to come.

Every time you receive another written notice -- no. It's just based on a 12-month period, whether a resident receives four written notices within that 12-month period would we send a notice to cure or vacate. Thank you, Commissioners.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
Vann-Ghasri, do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: What I do understand is, No. 1, is that something has been amended with the structure due to the fact that many of my family of properties are in gated communities that you said that there would be a designated area in which they would have to smoke. Because many of my constituents thought that they had to go

across the street, based on this 25-feet distance that they would have to go. That's No. 1. No. 2, what are we going to do when we have an overwhelming population of individuals that are smoking, and then they're all going to get evicted for smoking regulations because smoking is an addiction. It's not going to be that easy for my constituents to just stop smoking cigarettes.

I know this is a HUD policy, and I want the record to state that the District of Columbia Housing Authority is one of the last housing authorities to even implement this. Because throughout the country, many of the housing authorities have successfully implemented this policy.

Now, what happens to the resident who has fire insurance -- many of our residents were forced to take on fire insurance because they did smoke, and it was a risk factor. How would that still impact them?

MR. GARRETT: Commissioner, I just want to take the question before. You were talking

about DCHA implementing this particular policy and the impact upon residents. I think what I tried to show everyone, in reading the enforcement component, is how lenient we're trying to make our obligation to our residents, understanding that it is something that you cannot just overcome in a day, realizing that many of our residents have been participating or smoking for many years, and it's not going to happen overnight. What we tried to do is -- because HUD required us to create a policy. We believe that our policy is the most lenient policy we could actually develop and have it accepted by the HUD field office.

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: When you say that we have the most lenient policy, this is what comes to my mind, that you have the task force. They look at all the housing authorities throughout the United States. What they did was they really knew that when they came up with our policy, they said our policy is the most lenient, in comparison to Chicago or any major city, or even a rural housing authority. Am I correct?

1	MR. GARRETT: No, Commissioner
2	Vann-Ghasri. I just need to correct the record.
3	That's not what I said. I was saying we tried to
4	make a lenient policy. I didn't compare it to
5	anyone else's. I'm just talking about what we
6	thought would be a lenient policy that would be
7	acceptable by HUD.
8	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: So I'm
9	correct to say this. We didn't do a trend study.
10	However, based on your staff, they felt as though
11	they wrote the most lenient policy possible for the
12	District of Columbia Housing Authority, correct?
13	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Without a
15	trend model setting, correct?
16	MR. GARRETT: Correct. We did it on
17	our own, yes, ma'am.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
20	Lancaster.
21	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: No comment.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner St.

1 Jean. COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: None. 2 3 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner Council. 4 5 COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: No. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I would like to 6 7 just say this is -- smoking is a big issue. appreciate the fact that we've tackled this issue. 8 9 There's going to be some transition pain. a health crisis. It is an addiction. 10 I don't believe that this is an intent of the Housing 11 12 Authority to figure out how to evict people. would be very surprised if we got to that point. 13 Hopefully, we won't. 14 But I think that this is a plan that we 15 will implement, and then we will tweak, I assume, 16 as we go along. Maybe in a year or two, we won't 17 need to have six verbal warnings and four written 18 warnings and all these things. Hopefully, people 19 will eventually recognize that they're going to 20

We also have to take into consideration

have to change their habits.

21

those who don't smoke and the health hazard for those who don't smoke, just as in the restaurant business took on that realization that people who work and attend restaurants don't necessarily want to be exposed to second-hand smoke.

I think there's going to be a transition I hope we can all work together on period here. this and hopefully, five years from now, we can look back and say we had very successful implementation, maybe some bumps along the road, but we got it done, and everybody's healthier for So I appreciate your tackling this, and let's it. get it going.

## COMMISSIONER

VANN-GHASRI:

Commissioner Slover, I do want to be on record saying this. Now, grant you, I do not smoke cigarettes. However, there's a problem building that's right across the street from me. A private landlord, a resident there had the right to kill their self by smoking cigarettes if they choose. We don't have the right to have secondhand smoke to kill others.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Now, how I'm looking at it, and based 1 on many of my constituents, now you no longer have 2 3 the right to smoke a cigarette in a unit in which 4 you pay rent. 5 However, you are not fortunate enough, 6 economically, for whatever the reason may be, to be able to move on 4849 Connecticut Avenue, where 7 you can pay \$13,000 for rent or more, and you have 8 the right to kill yourself with cigarettes. 9 torn between that, with that particular vote, in 10 comparison with me and my residents. 11 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: If you want to --12 13 (Simultaneous speaking.) VICE CHAIR SLOVER: -- HUD, you can go 14 ahead and figure out how to do that, and we can all 15 smoke. 16 COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: 17 I'm being realistic. I'm only being realistic. 18 Like I 19 said, I don't smoke cigarettes, but I have to look at it and look at it. 20 This is happening. 21 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 22 It's going to happen.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I
2	understand.
3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Hopefully, we can
4	get your support. With that said, can I get a
5	motion to vote?
6	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: So moved.
7	PARTICIPANT: So moved.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: A second?
9	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Second.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call.
11	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I'm going
12	to vote no based on the majority of my constituents,
13	that they have the right.
14	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
15	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
16	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
17	Vann-Ghasri.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: I voted no.
19	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner St. Jean.
20	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.
21	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
22	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Before I vote

on this, I want to clear something up. 1 There has been a lawsuit of individuals smoking in their unit 2 and the person who lived next door, smoke going 3 through their vent and their secondhand smoke and 4 created a health hazard for that individual who 5 never smoked a cigarette in their life. 6 And right now they have a trach in their 7 throat because of that, so I'm going to say yes, 8 with this understanding that that is a real, real 9 problem we have because if we don't stop it, we have 10 a lot of innocent people having health problems in 11 the next unit, next door. That stuff do go through 12 13 the vents. Vice Chairman Slover. 14 MS. MCNAIR: VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 15 Yes. MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. You have four 16 yes, one no. The resolution is approved. 17 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate that. 18 Now, we're going to move on to our final business 19 resolution, which is Resolution 18-16, which is to 20 21 adopt final regulations to amend regulations

governing the Home Ownership Assistance Program.

MR. GARRETT: Commissioners and the public, Resolution 18-16, we produced the amendment to the regulations to the public for comment, and we received and tried to make as many modifications and revisions as we possibly could to meet those concerns.

Of the ten changes that we proposed for the Home Ownership Program -- and I just want to couch this in saying that we've had many successful residents individuals, and customers, who benefited from this particular program since 2005. people have moved Many, many towards self-sufficiency by utilizing this as an actual vehicle, many of our residents, along with veterans who have participated.

So again, ten initiatives in the home ownership program are being modified, but the major changes that I just wanted to point out to you again would be the monthly minimum rent -- I keep saying rent -- monthly minimum mortgage, changes to the income requirements for eligibility, and the increased counseling requirements for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

participating families. That's one thing that we didn't really touch on very much, but I just want you to understand. What normally would happen is we would stop short with the family, meaning that after a family had actually purchased their home, the counseling would cease.

What we found is that we needed to continue with them through their process, until they were actually stabilized in that particular home, whether it be continuing to make adjustments to their budget, so that they can maintain the mortgage payments, or other modifications that might need to be performed. So that was one of the other major changes that we produced for the Home Ownership Assistance Program.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate the report. Commissioner Vann-Ghasri, do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes, I would like to know how Resolution 18-16 can -- it's obvious that it impacts the Housing Choice Voucher constituents. I would like to know how can my

1	constituents benefit from this program, and who
2	would they contact in the Housing Authority, being
3	that we live in public housing, and if that
4	information could go in the website, so that my
5	constituents would actually know how to follow
6	through with the process and how to become
7	eligible, if they are eligible to become eligible?
8	MR. GARRETT: I believe that would be
9	through Ron is going to pick it up. I believe
10	that would be through the AYBL program.
11	MR. MCCOY: Exactly. Ron McCoy,
12	director for the voucher program. That would be
13	through the AYBL program, where we
14	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Who would
15	they contact? We need a name and a number.
16	MR. MCCOY: We can make that available,
17	but it's through the Office of Resident Services
18	at the D.C. Housing Authority.
19	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: The next
20	question is whether or not any of my constituents
21	ever participated in the program?
22	MR. MCCOY: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Do you know
2	how many?
3	MR. MCCOY: I don't know the exact
4	number, but I know that many of the public housing
5	residents have participated in AYBL and actually
6	have went on to purchase homes as a result.
7	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: At the next
8	committee meeting, maybe in operation, would you
9	provide us that information to show us, during your
10	tenure, how many public housing residents has
11	participated in AYBL and what wards we'll come
12	from?
13	MR. MCCOY: I'll work with the
14	director, Mr. Williams, to provide the Board that
15	information.
16	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you
17	so much.
18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
19	Lancaster.
20	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: My
21	understanding is that this program helps an
22	individual that wants to get out of public housing

1	into the private sector. Once they get a voucher,
2	they're no longer a public housing tenant. Is that
3	correct?
4	MR. MCCOY: This particular resolution
5	is applicable to just those already in the Housing
6	Choice Voucher program.
7	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Which means
8	they're not those in the Housing Choice program
9	is not a tenant of the D.C. public housing.
10	MR. MCCOY: They're not public housing
11	residents, no, sir.
12	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: I said in the
13	private market. What does an individual who's in
14	public housing and wants to get in that fold, what
15	chance do they have, or what policy is in place to
16	help them get there?
17	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Fisher stepped up
18	from the Office of Resident Services, and he can
19	talk about the public housing side of the program.
20	Remember, Commissioners, though, that this is only
21	talking about those that are participating in
22	Housing Choice Voucher. We do try to utilize the

1 AYBL program for those that are in public housing. COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: I understood 2 3 that very well, but my question still stands. is it we have in place for those in public housing? 4 Because I've been asked many times how can I get 5 6 out of public housing into the voucher program? MR. GARRETT: Mr. Fisher. 7 Good afternoon, everyone, MR. FISHER: 8 Ron Fisher, Office of Resident Services, Family 9 Self-Sufficiency manager. The AYBL program, at 10 least the case management portion of it, is under 11 my purview. Answering Commissioner Vann-Ghasri's 12 question regarding the number of residents that 13 have participated in the program, 16 since 2011 14 have gone through the program -- excuse me -- yes, 15 16 with that number. 16 We, as recently as last month, we've had 17 nine residents go on to purchase a home. You also 18 asked a question about how do residents have access 19 towards receiving a voucher. That actually is one 20 of the incentives of participating in the program. 21

receiving

case

Besides

22

management

1	assistance and asset building assistance by use of
2	escrow accounts that's created for the resident.
3	The idea was for those residents to use that as a
4	down payment towards the purchase of a home, with
5	the also added bonus of receiving a voucher if they
6	meet eligibility requirements. To our great
7	surprise is that over the past seven years of the
8	program, no resident has had to utilize that
9	assistance, so they're truly self-sufficient by
10	purchasing both in the District, as well as
11	Maryland.
12	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Thank you,
13	Mr. Fisher.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner
15	Lancaster.
16	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: I'm still
17	wondering what it is that we have to do to get some
18	of these individuals that want to get into the HCBP
19	because I've been asked by a lot of my constituents,
20	they say I'm on the voucher list, but I have yet
21	got a voucher. They want to be part of that

What do I tell them, just wait until

program.

1	their name come up on the list, or do they have
2	another avenue they can try for getting into that
3	program?
4	MR. MCCOY: The way that the families
5	are pulled from currently for vouchers is to come
6	up on the home list as a homeless preference on the
7	waiting list. Right now, that is the only avenue
8	that families will be able to receive vouchers, if
9	they come up on to reach the top of the list from
10	the homeless preference.
11	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Homeless
12	preference, so being already in housing, they're
13	not homeless, so they're not
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	MR. MCCOY: Correct.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: The chances of
17	somebody getting a voucher in public housing is
18	less than zero.
19	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Now I'm
20	finding that out.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Let's just be
22	honest, okay?

1 COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Now that I'm finding that out, thank you. 2 3 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Commissioner St. 4 Jean. 5 COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: No question. 6 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Really? Wow, Commissioner Council. 7 okay. COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: No. 8 VICE CHAIR SLOVER: 9 We had a pretty robust conversation about this earlier. 10 What I would offer, and this may not be received well, but 11 12 not all good ideas are received well the first time, 13 I think we need to, as an Agency, look into trying to increase this program drastically through what 14 may not be received well, but I think we really need 15 to think about it, which is a deed restriction 16 I'm not saying change this program; I'm 17 saying create another program. 18 It's really a creative way to think 19 about increasing the affordable housing stock in 20 the District of Columbia. In other words, if we 21

can somehow, en masse, figure out how to use our

1	project and voucher program monies to buy houses
2	that, in perpetuity, will remain affordable, it's
3	a creative way to think about increasing affordable
4	housing stock.
5	I know we talked about it earlier. I
6	just wanted to put it out there that I hope, as a
7	Board and an Agency, we can at least explore that
8	idea, as a result of looking at this program, which
9	I think is really great that we have this program.
10	I applaud this program. I think I would like to
11	see more people involved in this program, and I
12	would like to see it expanded. So thank you for
13	all the hard work that you guys do on this. With
14	that, unless there's any other comments, a motion
15	for a vote.
16	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: So moved.
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Second.
18	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Second.
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Roll call.
20	MS. MCNAIR: Thank you. Commissioner
21	St. Jean.
22	COMMISSIONER ST. JEAN: Yes.

1	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner
2	Vann-Ghasri.
3	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Yes.
4	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Lancaster.
5	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Yes.
6	MS. MCNAIR: Commissioner Council.
7	COMMISSIONER COUNCIL: Yes.
8	MS. MCNAIR: Vice Chairman Slover.
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
10	MS. MCNAIR: You have five yes. The
11	resolution is approved.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: That concludes the
13	official Board business of today with the
14	resolutions. At this point, I would provide an
15	opportunity for either of the councilmembers here,
16	if they want to come up and say anything, this would
17	be a nice time for you to do that. If not, we'll
18	just move on to public testimony. Anyone? Ladies
19	first.
20	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Thank you,
21	Commissioners. My name is Brianne Nadeau, and I'm
22	the Ward 1 Councilmember. I am joining you today

1	really just to observe and to understand the issues
2	that you are tackling. I serve as a member of the
3	Housing Committee, with oversight over the Housing
4	Authority, so the work that you are doing is, of
5	course, of great concern to me and to all the
6	residents that I represent, who live in public
7	housing or who are voucher holders.
8	So thank you for your work. I
9	appreciate the opportunity to be present today, and
10	I look forward to also hearing a little bit of what
11	the public comment period has to bring today.
12	Thanks so much.
13	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: And I thank
14	you for visiting us today.
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you very
16	much. Councilmember White.
17	COUNCILMEMBER WHITE: Good afternoon,
18	everyone.
19	COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: Good
20	afternoon.
21	COUNCILMEMBER WHITE: I want to thank
22	the Board and their work they're doing with D.C.

Housing Authority. I guess one of my reasons for being here, because I serve one of the most vulnerable populations in Washington, D.C. As a young African-American man, coming from poverty, I'm concerned in Washington, D.C. when it comes to affordable housing in the District.

I know that you house some of the most vulnerable residents here in the District, so I've been in constant conversation with Director Garrett and some of the residents in Ward 8. I'm just trying to figure out how we can work together to ensure that our residents are cared for, they're treated with utmost respect, and make sure that the properties in Ward 8 are cared for with a fine tooth.

Here today just to figure out what happens here. I'm normally on the other side of the mic, so it feels a little weird being back on this side. As a former activist and community advocate, I want to thank some of the residents that's been constantly on the front line advocating for some of the needs of their residents in D.C.

We're trying to figure out how we can create a 1 unified vision to ensure that we build the city of 2 3 Washington, D.C., yes, but also with them included. So thank you all, and also, I want to thank D.C. 4 Housing Authority for some of the young men you've 5 6 It was a program that I was aware of that existed, and some of the young men actually got 7 hired by D.C. Housing Authority, and they're 8 working in Lincoln Heights. 9 I think that's noble. I think we have 10 to do more of that in the District of Columbia, 11 being intentional about hiring residents in D.C. 12 to work for the government of which state they live 13 Thank you all. That's why I'm 14 in and serve in. Thank you. 15 here. Thank you for 16 COMMISSIONER LANCASTER: visiting us today, also, sir. 17 Thank you. COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: Excuse me. 18 Councilmember White, I would appreciate it if you 19 would take some of these applications back to your 20 constituents, especially those who live in Barry 21

Farm, Woodland, and Highland. At this time, I'm

1	asking that the District of Columbia Housing
2	Authority expand the 2018 Commitment to Excellence
3	scholarship program.
4	COUNCILMEMBER WHITE: Yes. You said
5	Ward 7?
6	COMMISSIONER VANN-GHASRI: No, I want
7	you to do Ward 7 and 8. You can help me out. You
8	can give some. I know what I was saying. I can
9	do that with Councilmember White. He'll help me
10	out.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right. I
12	appreciate, again, your all's attendance.
13	Hopefully, we can endeavor to work more closely to
14	tackle these challenges that we're all facing here.
15	Moving on to the public testimony, is there a Teresa
16	Johnson and Kathryn Furger?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon,
18	Commissioners and guests.
19	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon.
20	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon.
21	MS. JOHNSON: My name is Teresa
22	Johnson. I have lived in the Kenilworth Courts for

15 years, and I am 62 years old. I am here because Kenilworth Courts has not taken steps required by law to protect my health, although I followed all types of grievance and appeals. I have a nerve problem in my back and leg, which cause me pain and difficulty in walking. I have chronic asthma. I am under treatment for my asthma and am on the maximum dose that an asthmatic patient could have. Also, I have been to the emergency room for treatment at least ten times in the past six months. I sweep my house every day, but the debris from the rugs' conditions aggravate my asthma.

Carpeting holds dust and contaminates, and the heating/cooling system spreads dirt from the rugs through the ventilation system. In addition, my clothes dryer, provided by DCHA, puts dust in my home because the exhaust hose failed.

It falls off the dryer all the time. It's not the correct funnel system on there. With the help of my lawyer, who is Ms. Kathy Furger, I sent a letter to the property management on April 28, 2017, requesting a reasonable accommodation

and other repairs.

I wanted the carpet to be removed, filters that fit the HVAC system to be changed every month, the HVAC dust to be cleaned, the dryer exhaust hose to be attached securely, and I need a second handrail to my home to help me climb the stairs. In addition, the sink cabinet in my bathroom is not level and it drips water on the floor, which makes the floor hazardous. Now, 15 months after the request, the carpet still has not been removed. The exhaust hose is not attached. The filter has only been changed in my unit once. The handrail was finally installed just in June.

I had to postpone three surgeries on my cervical spinal -- cervical spinal surgery because of these conditions. The handrail finally was installed in June. I had to postpone the surgery in March because it did not have the second handrail.

Here's what I did to get relief. I sent a demand letter April 28, 2017. We attended, myself and my attorney, an informal conference on

July 31, 2017. The promises made then were not completed at all. Pursued a hearing at the Office of Fair Hearings, completed December 5, 2017.

The decision of the hearing examiner, director DCHA Department of Housing Communication, to complete the repairs, which included removal of the carpeting and installation of the handrail. Filed reasonable accommodation а request with DCHA Office of the ADA/504 program on January 24, 2018. March 27, 2018, Office of ADA approved the installation of a second handrail, but that was not installed until two months later. That's why I had to cancel my surgeries. Office of ADA has never made a decision on the other part of my request for removal of the carpet, and the carpet remains in my home.

A contractor, also the maintenance staff, they have been sent out to my home over ten times to measure the carpet area, but nothing further has ever been done. I have some of my documents with me, if you would like to have a copy. I believe Kenilworth Courts and D.C. Housing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Authority has failed to meet its responsibility to
2	me.
3	I have suffered pain and potential
4	injury climbing the stairs with only one railing.
5	I have lived with aggravated asthma because DCHA
6	won't remove the contaminated in the air of my home.
7	Please help me obtain the relief I need and work
8	to ensure that DCHA acts on other tenants'
9	reasonable accommodation requests in a timely
10	manner. Thank you.
11	PARTICIPANT: Thank you.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Ms would you
13	like to say anything, or you're just here
14	MS. FURGER: Thank you, Commissioners.
15	I just wanted to add two quick comments. The
16	regulations on reasonable accommodation are in 14
17	D.C. Municipal Regulations, Section 7404 and
18	following. That requires the property manager to
19	forward or decide on a request for reasonable
20	accommodation in ten days.
21	There's 60 days in the regulations for
22	a final decision by the Office of the ADA.

time Obviously, they have not met their requirements in this case. There was an order signed by Mr. Garrett on February 6, 2018, which said DCHA shall continue to make repairs to complainant's unit as soon as reasonably practicable.

I don't think five months is reasonable when my client's life really is in danger. Asthma is a life-threatening illness, and she's in pain when she climbs the stairs and could fall. Thank you.

MR. GARRETT: Thank you. Thank both of you for coming forward. Yes. One of the things that I spoke on earlier, during my report, was in reference to our management change. One of the things that we found when we did make the management change with the new organization is that we had a number of ADA items which we had not complied on. We also found that we had a number of emergency moves which had not taken place, also.

We're going through that right now.

Any open work orders in various apartments, we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

going through and we're trying to make sure that we are able to address those. As I said, some of the work order backlog that we did have, it's down to right under 700 now. Quite possibly one of the work orders that is in that backlog, with the removal of the carpet, is Ms. Johnson's. The idea is -- and I say to this public, and I've said it to the whole entire -- and to the Board of Commissioners.

What we're doing is totally now different than what might have taken place in the Because of our environmental review of all past. of our properties, that's one of the initiatives that we've taken on to try and address all the issues that are within a resident's unit and making the appropriate management changes to try and address some of the things that may have been overlooked in the past. Whatever accommodation we can do in house, within the unit, we'll definitely try to address as soon as possible.

MS. FURGER: Thank you.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate your

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 coming forward. Next, we have Renee Saunders Blanc (phonetic). Is Renee here? Renee, going 2 3 All right, Nicole Odem. once. Good afternoon. 4 MS. ODEM: My name is I'm a Barry Farm resident, and I'm 5 Nicole Odem. Today, I want to know, as a 6 with Empower D.C. resident, what is going to happen to Barry Farm with 7 redevelopment plan? I'm aware that the 8 no development plan had been withdrawn, and I know I'm 9 10 going to be forced to move out, but what's going to happen next? 11 GARRETT: Odem, it's 12 MR. Ms. our intention to create a new redevelopment plan and 13 follow through with all intentions of redeveloping 14 that site within the next few years. 15 16 MS. ODEM: Is this also going to include the residents' voice in that plan? 17 Our intent is to be very 18 MR. GARRETT: transparent with what we're doing, and also include 19 a lot of dialog with residents as we move forward. 20 21 It also depends on which process we actually 22 follow. The concept is we're going to

continue -- and we've done it a little bit more,

I think, since my arrival, is to have a few more

conversations about what's going on at Barry, and

we're going to continue -- Barry Farm -- and we're

going to continue to do that.

MS. ODEM: How soon can we expect to hear something about that, anytime soon?

MR. GARRETT: We're working with our partners. We were in discussion with them. Hopefully within the next few weeks, we'll be able to come up with an idea and a strategy to move forward, and then we'll bring that to the public.

MS. ODEM: Okay. I'm asking because as a resident with a large family, it's always on my mind. Leaving Barry Farm is -- it feels almost impossible because of these kinds of situations, where nothing is really etched in stone, nothing's official. Nothing seems to be in our favor, as residents. So for me to just up and leave with not knowing, if at all, I'm going to be able to return or return to is very stressful. I just wanted to express that because I have children -- a child that

is taking medication now because she's extremely stressed out in this process. She is very aware of what I do and what's going on in her community. She's afraid.

She's afraid to the point where my words can't help her anymore. I need to let that be known. For me, as far as the vouchers, that having a deadline, to me, is unacceptable because you have people that haven't been in communication with HOU, and that was HOU's choice, where they weren't ready to deal with people because they had come up with a plan, I guess, phase by phase, street by street.

We're on Stevens. A lot of people haven't even talked to HOU. So to put a deadline on vouchers, something that maybe some didn't even knew they had an option of, is now -- the 15th is a Sunday, so how are we supposed to -- as residents that aren't very educated with vouchers, now we have to force ourselves to go through that process. It may not even be the best route for us. It comes with cautions. Everyone thinks vouchers is the light at the end of the tunnel, but there are

cautions with that, extra fees. Where you move may not take vouchers any longer. To me, that's kind of dangerous. I know that there's going to be a meeting tomorrow. I'm hoping to learn a lot of things, ask a lot of questions, but I don't think there should be a deadline.

I really don't. That's a pressure that could be detrimental to people. They're going to feel forced to take it, and then they're going to take it and it's not what they should have taken. are you still doing Then also, the phased demolition, and how long do residents on Stevens Road, being the last street, have until that's -- until the end, pretty much? What is the date you're looking at or the time you're looking at for the whole site to be cleared?

MR. GARRETT: We don't have a clear date with the whole site being cleared because we haven't relocated all the residents. What I do want to do is jump back to your concern about the voucher issuance and the deadline that was actually put in place. I have to just go through. The true

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

deadline for use of the voucher was set by HUD. That's September of this year. The original issuance of the vouchers were in, I believe it was March 2017. We have a deadline of September 2018 to put them in play, basically to have residents take them and begin to use them. That's our deadline.

The reason we put the date of the 15th and advised everyone of that particular date is because it takes some time, when a resident accepts the voucher, to get settled, for paperwork to be done, to have those mobility counseling discussions, and if we wait any later than, let's say, the 15th, we won't have the opportunity to execute that voucher by September.

Then HUD will take the money back. HUD is going to take the money back in September, regardless of anything that we do. So the 15th was the date for us, administratively, we felt was appropriate, that we could get everything by September.

Now, I can tell you the 15th is the date

that we've set. I've talked, and we've discussed it with Mr. McCoy's department, of whether or not we have room to push it back another two weeks or so, but that's pushing it. Because our fear was and concern was -- and I'll be straightforward -- if we say the end of the month, then people are going to try to come in in August, and that's not going to work for us. They'll never be successful with utilization of the vouchers. So July 15th is the date that we set.

We have a small amount of wiggle room, but anything that goes beyond the end of this month, there would be no opportunity for anyone to be able to find a particular unit. Our information session is going to try to really -- that's why we're doing the information session ourselves. We're trying to deal with residents' issues because we understand that it is a traumatic experience, and we want to be very, very cautious in how we approach it.

MS. ODEM: Okay, thank you.

MR. GARRETT: Thank you.

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. Annie
2	Mitchell. Anyone?
3	MS. MITCHELL: Hello, everybody.
4	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon.
5	MS. MITCHELL: Tyrone, don't be
6	talking when I'm here.
7	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
8	MS. MITCHELL: Wait until I talk, okay?
9	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
10	MS. MITCHELL: I'm thinking I have
11	a very loud voice. I'm thinking that you don't
12	Garfield because you have not been there. You've
13	been there, but you walked through and kept going.
14	That's not what we want. We want you to come in,
15	sit down, and listen to the tenants. We want to
16	set up a meeting for that.
17	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. MITCHELL: My next thing is and
19	you need to check Garfield good, you hear me, from
20	room, from cabinets and everything. I've been in
21	Garfield since 1992, and I'm not going to tell you
22	my age no more because it ain't none of your

	Dusiness.
2	We want you to come to Garfield and talk
3	to the tenants, because this is what you said, and
4	let them talk to you and tell you what's really
5	going on. Let me step on some toes. I wouldn't
6	give five cents for every cigarette in the world.
7	I hope that will pass because that's going to put
8	a lot of them out of business who's selling
9	cigarettes. That's the truth, okay?
10	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: It already passed.
12	MS. MITCHELL: A lot of them ain't
13	going to be making no more money. Do you dig it?
14	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
15	MS. MITCHELL: Now, the next thing I
16	want to talk to you about is when are we
17	going what are you looking at me like that for?
18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm just waiting
19	for it.
20	MS. MITCHELL: I want a new election at
21	Garfield. We only have the president and the
22	treasurer. It's been like that for over a year.

1 We need a full board. Council, I see you laughing. We need a full board resident council, and I want 2 3 to see your faces. By the way, I brought -- let me tell you about this young lady here. 4 This is why I keep bringing Ms. Taboo, 5 She is a good insurance lady. 6 Donna Taboo. really helps you. We got a lot of tenants in that 7 don't have no insurance. When I finally got in 8 touch with her, she asked me about the property. 9 She asked me about the people. I told her I saw 10 a lot of them that doesn't have insurance. 11 The last time we were here, I got a lot of help saying 12 they was going to email. He's right here, and he 13 never emailed her. This lady will help you. 14 me tell you about her. 15 I had bad credit. She didn't charge me 16 She took her time and put it through. 17 for it. I've got good credit. Give me applause. 18 19 (Applause.) I got insurance. 20 MS. MITCHELL: everything. She doesn't charge you. She doesn't 21

ask for anything. Is Hassan (phonetic) here?

1	MR. GARRETT: He's right there.
2	MS. MITCHELL: Come up here. What did
3	you tell her? You told her you was going to email
4	me, and I'm going to email you back, and you didn't
5	do it. Why?
6	MR. GARRETT: Yes, sir.
7	PARTICIPANT: I believe that she's
8	been in contact with Mr. Williams, the director.
9	MR. GARRETT: Larry Williams, okay.
10	PARTICIPANT: Then he put her in
11	contact with Mr. Williams.
12	MS. MITCHELL: Well, it better be
13	right, because you know me.
14	PARTICIPANT: Yes, ma'am, I know you.
15	MS. MITCHELL: Okay. We need help.
16	Any time somebody's trying to help, that's what we
17	are oh, and one more thing. I invested in a
18	computer class. I had a computer class for
19	seniors. It's gone. I think it's wonderful to
20	let seniors have a computer class.
21	If there's any way you can work that in,
22	please don't you see that young lady right there?

1	She's been knowing me over 30 years. I used to be
2	a security guard in this building. I retired at
3	84 years old as a security guard for housing, so
4	I know quite a few people. Imelda (phonetic),
5	where is she at? Hold your hand up. That's my
6	girl right there, who I'm going to tell her to stand
7	up. Take that in consideration for me, please.
8	MR. GARRETT: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. MITCHELL: I want you to go through
10	Garfield and check it good.
11	MR. GARRETT: We will.
12	MS. MITCHELL: The front looks good,
13	but you need to check
14	MR. GARRETT: Get inside.
15	MS. MITCHELL: the whole building,
16	okay?
17	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you, Ms.
18	Mitchell. Richard Demuth.
19	MS. MITCHELL: Tyrone, you're looking
20	good. You still ain't had no children yet?
21	MR. GARRETT: I'm working on it.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Is there a Richard

1	Demuth? Come on up, please.
2	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you, Tyrone.
3	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
4	MS. MITCHELL: I'm glad to see a young
5	man in charge.
6	MR. GARRETT: I'm trying.
7	(Off-microphone comments.)
8	MS. MITCHELL: I see you rolling your
9	eyes.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I don't roll my
11	eyes. My wife told me not to do that, so I'm
12	working on it.
13	MR. GARRETT: Thank you.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Go ahead.
15	MR. DEMUTH: Hello, Commission. My
16	name is Richard Demuth.
17	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Demuth, you've got to
18	pull the mic closer.
19	MR. DEMUTH: I just want to say I hate
20	to have to come here and complain.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you tell us, if
22	you're a resident, which property?

MR. DEMUTH: I'm a housing voucher resident. I hate to have to come here and complain about the performance of the DCHA, but what I've been hearing in a lot of these meetings that I've been coming to is that there seems to be housing inspection, as well as maintenance issue problems.

My chief problem has been with the housing inspections department. Mr. Garrett is aware of the situation, where originally, I was sent a housing termination notice for damage to the property that I was not responsible for.

I requested a hearing about that, which was not replied to until I had to come to the previous meetings and make it public and push for a response about it. I finally got this notice from Mr. McCoy just a day ago of the rescission of recommendation for termination from the program, which is fine. I accept that. That precludes the request for the hearing. That's fine. But the problem is when it comes down to these inspections, they are very cursory, if not outright faulty. I have here — finally, I was issued a copy of the

inspection report of my unit, which has been undergoing renovation for the past few months.

It was completed a couple months ago.

It's listed as passed. That's the general condition. However, according to my client account inspections report, there has been no update in my inspections login that the unit is in a passed condition.

All I have is the listing from the end of January, the first month of the year, January 31st, stating that after re-inspection, it is still in a failed condition. Why has there been no update in my login account of the fact that it was re-inspected in March and is now in a passed condition?

On top of that, I also noticed that prior to February 2017, there is no inspection record listing for the previous four years that I have been there, since 2013. Why is the record so faulty? Why are there so many omissions? I begin to get the feeling -- I'm kind of paranoid, at this point in my life. I've been through a lot. I get

the suspicion is this some kind of a trick to trip
me up with eventually, that they're going to come
out with the unit's still in a failed condition;
you shouldn't be there? The inspections report,
itself, as I said, is faulty.

It's unsigned by either the inspector or the manager. It's very general. There are -- it just lists past conditions all the way down the line for rooms and features of it that don't even exist. This is not a one-bedroom unit. It's a studio unit.

The building has no elevators and no fire exits. Yet, they're listed as passed. The air conditioner unit, which wasn't even installed at the time of the inspection, is listed in a passed condition. Yet, the problem with it is since it was installed, it's been faulty. It's been in a defective condition.

It went on, but it doesn't cool the unit. Recently, over the past week, it went completely defunct. That has not been attended to yet. The new model air conditioner that was put

in the unit is listed in passed, sufficient condition, and yet it had to be replaced with an older model because it was leaking motor lubricant oil that caused it to not function efficiently. Your inspectors, sir, cannot be this incompetent. What this indicates to me is that there really was no inspection. They just do it according to whim, as they want to do it.

If they really don't want to inspect the place, they'll just put it as passed all the way down. If they're targeting somebody, they'll list failed conditions that aren't really failures.

This is a very serious problem because, as you know, Mr. Garrett, in the Housing Choice Voucher program, failures can get people homeless, cause people to have to be moved out, because the housing program does not subsidize failed private units. This really needs to be attended to in the performance of your housing inspection department.

I did get a notice from Mr. McCoy that there's going to be a meeting. He arranged a meeting for me to speak with members of -- an agent

1	of your housing inspection department, but I've
2	heard that this is not just the kind of thing
3	happening to me. It's happening to many others in
4	the public housing section, and in the housing
5	voucher section. It needs to be attended to.
6	It's pathetic. I've been in several previous
7	housing authority programs elsewhere in the
8	country and this is the worst for inspections. It
9	really is. Thank you.
10	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Appreciate your
11	coming to testify. Detrice Belt.
12	MS. BELT: Hello. Good afternoon,
13	everyone.
14	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon.
15	MS. BELT: I'm Detrice Belt. I'm a
16	resident of Barry Farm. I'm the chair of the Barry
17	Farm Tenants and Allies. I just had a question for
18	the Board, first. It's been 12 years, just trying
19	to figure out what's going on now with the plans
20	for Barry Farm, so I can take back to the residents
21	that are remaining on the property.
22	They're really confused. Even this

week, we had three phone calls and voicemails from this lady from, I guess, the Housing Authority or HOU. She was telling us that there were two times that we could come and get information about this voucher process, which was tomorrow, and the times were 10:00 and 4:00 p.m. Then she called back and said the time was changed to 4:00 p.m. Then they sent out a notice yesterday to all the residents door and it said that the meeting would be at 10:00 a.m. We are all confused.

This is the type of confusion that stresses people out. We're already going through enough. We see a gate up on Sumner Road, even though we live on Stevens. It is a big inconvenience to all residents, to friends of the neighborhood, our family members.

I see guys that come around, they may not live on the property, but they come there in wheelchairs. They can't figure out how to get to the next street to come visit us or anything. All this stuff is just a problem. It's confusion.

We're trying to figure out how are these

residents going to get to this voucher meeting. know you said that it's the deadline, but why didn't we have this before? It's too fast. It came this week, and then you're -- people have to rearrange their schedule. Then you said 10:00; then you said This is really a headache. Who's going to 4:00. be able to be at this meeting tomorrow? Then it's at 1133. That is hard. It should be on the property. Why is it not on Barry Farm property? We have elders. They need rides. We have to give people rides here every month.

That's why it's not enough residents, because we don't have rides for everybody because if we did, they would be here. Is there going to be transportation provided for this thing tomorrow about a voucher? I just don't understand what's going on, period.

It just seems like it's the head coming down. Coming here and see that you all have confusion and questions -- I don't want to hear anything about a website and all this whole whatever, and you can't even understand it. How

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

am I going to understand it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I just see it at my resident council's office. I see the maintenance. Nobody knows what Nobody's doing their job. they're doing. getting very fed up. The grass is not being cut. It's simple things. The trash is not being picked up on the property. The trash is being picked up by -- the big dumpster is being picked faithfully, but the trash from the property, where it's you all's job or you hire these people for summer or these programs, I don't know what they're I've been monitoring because I'm off work doing. because I'm disability right now. And I have not seen these guys out here.

I'm on the property in the daytime and at nighttime, so I'm trying to figure out when do they work. It looks to me like they were off for a week. I'm like is anybody working? Somebody said that they don't cut grass on Fridays, which I think is crazy.

I thought that they -- this is their job. The grass is high. Some of it was burned

black. I'm like it's going to catch fire. Do you want wildfires in Barry Farm? It seems like you do, like you just want this property to be gone. It's an eyesore. It's grass everywhere.

We don't know if there could be snakes. They said it's snakes on the rise in the heat in I don't know. So I'm worried. I know I see D.C. rats, but it is a lot of grass. I have to walk through these paths to get to my house with my 10-year-old daughter. I am very afraid. The grass needs to be cut. That's the least you can There's less residents on the property, so do. it's not like it's so many people there, cars in the way, trash can't be picked up, people in their yards, grass can't be cut. That's not the case. It's empty. There's nobody there. You have moved a lot of residents out.

You have these fliers and everything you put out today. This is nothing to me. This is wasteful money to me. It's all colorful. This is nothing. Don't waste your money on this because this is not it. Put the money into if you need to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

hire some people.

There's plenty of young guys out there. I just hope you don't make it hard for them to get a job. There's plenty of young guys on that street, on Sumner, that needs jobs. I'm sure they would not mind cutting grass and picking up trash in their neighborhood, where they're used to, and it's safe for them to work at. I talked about the fence.

I wanted to know how come you're thinking about the fence in Lincoln Heights being valuable, you said, or not valuable, I don't know, but how come we were inconvenienced with a fence? Why wasn't Barry Farm able to have the same concern? It just seems to me like it was to enforce an urgency to get us to hurry up and move or make people feel like it's really a done deal, but it's not because we're still there. Things need to be done. A lot of residents still live on the property.

I can't call every day to my maintenance office and do the job and watch these dudes and take pictures of the people I see that work for your

company slacking in alleys, playing around in the vacant houses, whatever they're doing on the job. They're not cutting the grass. I'm having a big issue with that grass because it's so scary, high up to the gate. It's unacceptable. I think that's about it. Thank you.

I just want MR. GARRETT: Thank you. to address the piece on the vouchers and the program having tomorrow, the information that we're session, for the public and for the Commissioners to know exactly where we stand. Of the 90 remaining residents at Barry Farm, 41 residents currently have vouchers already. Six of those currently awaiting eligibility residents are determination or voucher briefing, so voucher can be issued. Twenty-three residents have already informed us that they have no interest in taking a voucher, and they want to move into a public housing unit or the voucher that they were actually issued has expired.

The remaining 20 residents, which probably will be the 20 residents that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	information session would be most beneficial for
2	tomorrow, have not gone through the process.
3	These are the people that we reached out for and
4	contacted directly, in hopes that they would come
5	to the actual information session.
6	We're talking about 20 residents from
7	Barry Farm getting information on issuance of the
8	voucher because the other residents have either
9	indicated they do not want a voucher, or they have
10	already been engaged in some way, shape, or form.
11	So 47 residents of the Barry Farm
12	community have already engaged us, or we have
13	engaged them, in reference to the voucher. We're
14	talking, really, about the 20 remaining residents
15	who we have not spoken to.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you.
17	Paulette Matthews.
18	MS. MATTHEWS: My name is Paulette
19	Matthews.
20	PARTICIPANT: Good afternoon.
21	MS. MATTHEWS: I'm a resident of Barry
22	Farm, but most importantly, I'm a native

Washingtonian. There's not going to never be enough time to discuss this because there's a whole lot going on. First of all, as long as there a lot of chefs in the kitchen, we're going to always have a problem.

They called several people up here to discuss different things. Still, we're kind of lost in the sauce. I want to talk about, first of all, the fence that's in Barry Farm and the fact that it's chained up and the fact that we're forced to go through cuts that there are no lighting. That's a safety issue for little kids and for adults who come back and forth into Barry Farm from work, school, or wherever.

I have a concern about that. Now, I don't know if it's actually abatement that's going on, but that's what I told. If I'm wrong, somebody can correct me. They had the boards up and the lot boxes, and they painted them this gloomy looking brown. When we tried to beautify them, they erased the paint, the tape, the stuff that we tried to beautify them with off, but never come to your unit

to paint. But that's neither here nor there. What's going on right now, they removed the boards.

Not only did they remove the boards, they removed the whole fixture. I have a problem with that easy access. I don't know if water still runs in there. I don't know if the lights are still on in there. What I know is that's an unsafe environment when you have windows that are open that people can crawl through, or animals, and at the end of the day, you don't close it up; you just leave it like that.

That's unsafe. The lighting on the buildings not being on, that's unsafe. Something needs to be done about it. My other issues that I have with this cigarette smoking thing, because I am one of those who smoke cigarettes, but it has nothing to do with D.C. Housing Authority, I have made the decision myself to stop smoking. I'm using a smoking aid to do so. But at the same time -- it was for me. It was my early birthday present. I'll be 60 next year, February. I was trying to give myself a birthday present, so I'm

1 working on it. But I have a problem with what you all did. You all did what you did, and I can't 2 3 change that. But at the same time, you're causing health problems with mold and things of that 4 5 nature. Now, when the lady got up here and she 6 7 started speaking -- I want to pass this through because for me --8 (Off-microphone comments.) 9 10 MS. MATTHEWS: Therefore, I asked them 11 to come out and to clean my ducts. That's just one. 12 If you slide through, you'll see it more, but that's just one. You can see -- when you 13 get to the food, just know that was something I was 14 eating, but that's just one of many things. 15 see a person physically seeing a cigarette and the 16 secondhand smoke and all of this. 17 I get that. But at the same time, you 18 19 need to clean your own house. You've got bed bugs. You've got people living in mold. You've got 20 people with holes. You keep talking about this 21

This mission is not -- it's not true.

mission.

It's not even real. It's just a lot of talk. It's all about getting funding and doing what you got to do. But at the end of the day, it's human lives we're talking about. We're talking about truancy and all this stuff. You don't understand the stress that's brought upon young kids seeing what they're seeing. I can't even begin -- it's just so much to talk about.

Then I hear you talking about the voucher. Just as easy as they changed these laws around, if you lose your job, you might be on a bench or under a bridge. You have to safeguard stuff. It makes no sense that we're still here, in 2018, dealing with the issues that were going on in 1930.

I remember; I was a part of the Black Panthers 50th anniversary event. They had me down there. In their exhibit, I saw something that really startled my brain. It was a lady in a rocking chair, said D.C. Housing Authority. I think it was 1930.

Lady in a rocking chair, she got a shotgun. She's shooting rats. Her toilet is

overflowing, and her sink is leaking. Same thing happens in 2018. You call the maintenance people in there and they come for inspections. All they're concerned about is whether the refrigerator and that daggone stove, our serial numbers are there. I don't get it. They don't check no filters. They don't ask you nothing about filters, the little grease catcher thing up on top of the stove, none of that.

I really have a problem when we're sitting here talking about cigarette smoke and all of that. I'm puzzled by the gate. I would like for some explanation about the gate and why you all have it chained up. I'm puzzled about our lighting because we have to walk through there.

So maybe you could help me understand that. Are they really talking that money and doing lead abatement in those units that they're leaving the windows, exposing things to come in and out? I'm quite sure you know about the grass. The grass be high as the fence.

I called around there. They say that

nobody has to pay \$30, and they're going to do all the grass for free. Okay, but yet, they don't have enough staff. Thev say thev do, then -- they've got it all now because they knew we were coming in here, but the fact of the matter is it shouldn't get that far. I remember when I first came a couple of years ago. I was asking -- I even applied. They never even hired me, but I saw several people came to that green team, whatever it is, three or four different times, and they're back again. I just have a problem.

Then they say they don't have enough staff to get up the trash. We've got a tree that fell down due to the storm. After I called, they came and they cut it. It's still laying there. We've got people that's moving out the units, and we've got bulk trash. You know this.

They correspond with you all. How come you don't know to come get the trash? You've got to call and tell them to come get it because if you don't, you can't even get to the dumpster, those who still live there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It's just outrageously mad how it is, and it's just one more way, to me, to seem like to force people out. Let's get back to the voucher thing because that's very important to me. voucher thing, you sent out this letter. sat here and I heard you say that you laid out the You said we did this and this and this process. and this, and that's only because when October comes, blah, blah, blah. When you all first put them out, that's not how you gave it to the residents. You said that the deadline date was the 15th. Now, I've been going through the capitol talking to people about this issue.

I've also been down to the council, and I've asked that they start coming into these rooms or sending someone in here to listen to this stuff because I get baffled by it. I know that the residents do. I know that all of this stuff is about money and funding, but at the end of the day, I just say it like this.

I came here broke and naked, but now I've got clothes. I feel like I'm just liable to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

be here as the next person. I don't understand why you all keep doing this to human beings, and then trying to act like we don't have no rhyme or reason.

Then you said this just started. When October come, it'll be all done. Well, Mr. Garrett, you all should have said that, then, because I've been asking Anita Bonds, Barry Farm Tenants and Allies for a minute for this meeting that's going to take place tomorrow. It's just a coincidence that all of a sudden, we got some good news to tell you all, and you all are hand carrying -- you're calling, you're hand carrying. I live on Stevens Road. I'm the last phase. The maintenance lady gave me one.

First of all, if we've got all these different phases, just like the young lady said, it shouldn't be no deadlines. It shouldn't, because we haven't gotten through the first phase yet, let alone to get to a third phase, and maybe you need to go to her, because I'm quite sure they know, we can't get past the first phase.

You all can't get past the first phase.

I don't know. Somebody may need to explain it to me. Mr. Slover, I'm getting ready to get off, but this is not enough time in the day.

another if That's reason why meeting is going to be tomorrow, then it's going to be tomorrow, and there'll be plenty more meetings, and we're going to keep going to the council, and I'm going to keep going down to the capitol, speaking to somebody. Because this is crazy. Really, it is. People are getting paid. My window broke. You know what he told me? Because there's an air conditioner in it. He's saying in order to fix the window, I've got to move the air conditioner. I've got a bad back. take it out, I'm not going to put it back in. you know what? My window's going to stay broke, and it's been broke for some time now.

Just little things like that, it's like little things and big things all combined together, but at the end of the day, people live there, and it makes no sense for them to constantly do this. It's a blueprint. You all keep following the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

blueprint because it's done over here, and it's successful.

Okay, let's change this trend. This is the nation's capital. Just because they're doing and it's working and it's fine, maybe we could do something different to make things work. We're all human beings. We all deserve better than what the D.C. Housing Authority has been doing for years.

They've been doing it before I was born and shuffling people around. Oh, we're going to put them over there at Southwest. Then everything is prime time. Everything is good. Oh, let's get them out of Southeast. Let's get them out of Barry Farm. Let's get -- really? For real? I don't care who comes from where, where they come from, what their preference is, how much is in their bank account. It doesn't matter. Come, but don't force me out of it.

I'm only there because my conditions are not like the rest, but trust me, I've been in every public housing development doing outreach,

1	seeing holes in the wall, holes in the roof. Trust
2	me, if you take some of them lock boxes off, those
3	people were living in that before they moved to
4	where they was, and they needed to get out. That's
5	why they're all gone.
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay, appreciate
7	your testimony.
8	MS. MATTHEWS: This paper, where did
9	that little part go that I checked off? I've just
10	got something to say, though, for real. You see
11	this bug thing? Where that other little card at,
12	the little small one? Please, anybody help me.
13	All this is counterfeit. Where did those small
14	card everybody in the audience
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Ms. Matthews
16	MS. MATTHEWS: We don't even have a
17	resident council no more, I don't think, but we have
18	formed a group that's called the Barry Farm Tenant
19	Allies. Everybody needs family dwellings.
20	They're making everything smaller and everything.
21	I don't know if you all went on the
22	fences because we've been there long enough and all

our kids are adults, but at the end of the day, you all have people in shelters and having somebody live in a basement apartment because they've got kids next to the laundry room that might be unlocked. It's not safe.

Having them to live upstairs and hear the neighbor with the brooms hitting because their kids' running is not cool. I don't care about living in Barry Farm. I just feel as though the developments need to be made like that, same size, same all that, whatever. I can move into an efficiency.

It don't make no difference. My kids are grown. But you all have to understand and realize what are you all doing and how you all are doing things. They're children; they're human beings; they're adults. Just like how you all say people should not be smoking, you all should not be putting so much mental stress and stuff, and emotional stress, on people all about money. People are more important than money.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: All right,

1	appreciate you coming here to testify. Thank you.
2	MS. MATTHEWS: You're more than
3	welcome. Can you answer any of my questions, sir,
4	about the abatement and all that?
5	MR. GARRETT: I just wanted to let
6	everyone know that the windows are being removed
7	for abatement. The demolition for Buildings 1, 2,
8	3, and 4 are going to come down on will commence
9	on the 20th, so the walls of the buildings will
10	start coming down on July 20th.
11	MS. MATTHEWS: Okay. Further, can you
12	make it safe? Can you make it safe until they tear
13	it down?
14	MR. GARRETT: We'll talk to the
15	contractor to ensure that the fence is intact and
16	that everyone's safety
17	MS. MATTHEWS: I ain't talking about
18	the fence; I'm talking about the windows.
19	MR. GARRETT: and everyone's safety
20	is protected. Yes, but when the windows come out,
21	ma'am so that's the part about the abatement
22	(Simultaneous speaking.)

1	MS. MATTHEWS: walk the property.
2	They're not boarding them up. They're unsafe.
3	I'm asking you about the windows for a reason, sir.
4	People can be taking into those units. Are the
5	lights on? Do the water still work? People can
6	go in there and take showers. People can take kids
7	in there. You're talking about the contractor.
8	That's something that you should physically be out
9	there looking at. I told the new resident manager
LO	that's unsafe.
L1	MR. GARRETT: Right. Yes, ma'am.
L2	Just one other thing, Ms. Matthews
L3	MS. MATTHEWS: You need to tell the
L4	contractor that you want to do it the safest way
L5	possible and check it. Have your foreman or
L6	whatever on the property check it. It's open.
L7	You might as well take the lock box off the front
L8	door and put a welcome mat and say come on in, do
L9	whatever.
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay, we got it.
21	Thank you for coming in and testifying.
22	MS. MATTHEWS: Okay. I'm still

1 waiting for his response. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We're good; thank 2 3 you. 4 MS. MATTHEWS: I'm not good. His 5 response. 6 MR. GARRETT: Ms. Matthews, just in 7 short because we have to get to a couple of other people, I'm just going to say to you that I agree 8 Many of the units that we have in our 9 with you. 10 portfolio need attention. I agree with you 100 That's why we're taking the efforts that 11 percent. 12 we are. We're going into over -- we've already 13 gone into over 1,400 units and any issue that we 14 had, any environmental issue we had, a hole in the 15 wall, a hole in the ceiling is being addressed. 16 We're going to do that to the whole entire 17 portfolio. We've started that initiative. 18 We've started that initiative across the board, and 19 that's what we're going to do for all of our 20 residents because I totally agree with you. 21

conditions are unacceptable.

1	MS. MATTHEWS: Thank you.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Shea Medley.
3	MS. MEDLEY: Good afternoon, everyone.
4	My name is Shea Medley. I'm a Barry Farm resident.
5	I am here today because I want to know why I'm being
6	forced out of my home to be living in a rinky-dink
7	apartment. They act like they didn't want to give
8	me my recommendation form so I could take to the
9	doctor. They knew my leg was messed up. Why am I
10	being forced out of my house?
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I missed where
12	is it that you live?
13	MR. GARRETT: Barry Farm.
14	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I'm sorry; you're
15	in Barry Farm?
16	MS. MEDLEY: I am a Barry Farm
17	resident. I want to know why am I being forced out
18	of my home?
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: We're in the
20	process of redeveloping the property.
21	MS. MEDLEY: I understand all that.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You don't

1	understand that?
2	MS. MEDLEY: I understand that you're
3	supposed to redevelop it, but the apartments
4	they're showing me is not for my ability.
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So the
6	accommodations you're being offered are not
7	appropriate? In what way?
8	MS. MEDLEY: They had me walking all
9	the way up on some third floor. They didn't even
10	want to give me the recommendation form, but I got
11	it.
12	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: So you haven't been
13	shown any apartments that are first floor access?
14	MS. MEDLEY: No, they ain't showed me
15	no first floor, none. Then, like I said, they've
16	been showing me some rinky-dink apartments, like
17	Garfield Terrace. People up there complain about
18	Garfield Terrace. Who would want to live in
19	something like that? I'm a human being, just like
20	everybody else a human being. I want to move into
21	something nice.
	11

MR. GARRETT: I can look into it,

1	ma'am, Ms. Medley.
2	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Who have you been
3	working with?
4	MS. MEDLEY: What you mean?
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: In other words, who
6	have you engaged with at DCHA to get shown
7	MS. MEDLEY: The relocation people.
8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Name?
9	MR. GARRETT: Was it someone from DCHA,
10	or was it someone from HOU?
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Sit back down.
12	I'm trying to help you.
13	MR. GARRETT: We can deal with it.
14	I'll have someone from the staff talk to her right
15	now.
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Right behind you,
17	Rick White will start with you, and then we'll try
18	to figure out why it is you've not been shown
19	appropriate units, given your condition.
20	MR. GARRETT: Mr. White is right behind
21	you, ma'am.
22	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for

1	coming in. Daniel.
2	MR. DEL PIELAGO: Ms. Mitchell's
3	insurance card is up here. I don't know if
4	somebody could return it to her.
5	PARTICIPANT: We'll give it to somebody.
6	MR. GARRETT: Mr. Slaughter is right
7	behind you. I'll bring it to you after.
8	MR. DEL PIELAGO: I think the Barry Farm
9	residents have said it all. I just want to remind
10	(Off-microphone comments.)
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Please refrain
12	from talking. We'll get you up here.
13	MR. DEL PIELAGO: For the record, my
14	name is Daniel del Pielago. I work with Empower
15	D.C. We've been working with Barry Farm residents
16	for over five years now. I guess I just want to
17	say to the Commissioners this project has been in
18	the works for well over 12 years now, 12 years of
19	promises, 12 years of fights, 12 years of
20	confusion.
21	There seems to be no end in sight. Your
22	director says over the next few years, we'll do

something. What is that something? There's been no clear direction of what's going to happen at Barry Farm, ever, for residents. That's why there's so much confusion. That's why people feel so stressed out.

That's why people have died on the property. I was looking at -- I'm just going to ask you all to be very careful when you authorize these resolutions. I've been looking at the resolution to authorize the submission of a demolition application to HUD, Resolution 15-21, that was signed in 2015. To me, it's pretty clear that this is a promise that folks were going to be relocated, the property was going to be demolished because there was going to be a redevelopment to take place. Now, that's a big question mark.

I can understand that there's a new director and things change, but 12 years, I think this Board needs to take a step back and say what the hell is going on? What is going on with our properties? What are we doing to our residents?

I really think this is an opportunity

to start over. I think you all need to kick the mayor, DMPED, new communities, tell them to leave you all alone. You all need to redevelop this. You're developers. It's your land. Do what you all have to do, working with Barry Farm residents. That's where I'll leave it.

I don't have any questions because there's no answers. I'll leave it at that. I want to try to appeal to you Commissioners that something is wrong. Something is very wrong here when 12 years, residents have been dealing with stress, with no clear vision of what's going to happen for their housing. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your testifying, Daniel, and I would -- it may not be appreciated, but I would actually agree with you that we've been at this for quite some time, and it might be -- I think someone said there's too many chefs.

There might be a situation where we have too many chefs. What I would hope that we could do in this process is maybe take a step back and

try to figure out where we've been, what we're trying to do, what our ultimate goal is, and maybe come out with a firm fixed plan.

I know that Director Garrett, he -- I don't want to make excuses, but he did inherit a situation that is very complicated. I think he's doing a very good job, and I think that we have an opportunity here to put this on the right course. I have all the faith that he's going to be able to do it for us, so thank you.

MR. GARRETT: Thank you.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: But Daniel, I do appreciate your saying that because I do think that we do need to take a look at reality and say to ourselves who is ultimately responsible for this property? That's us. We have too many people telling us we should do this, we should do this, we should do this, we should do this, that they have responsibility here, but ultimately, the responsibility lies with us. Again, this might be an opportunity for us to just say look, appreciate all the assistance over the

1	last 12 years.
2	Hasn't worked out. It's time for us to
3	really take control of this. We're at a point of
4	no return, at this point. We are
5	actually whether you agree or disagree with it,
6	we have passed the point of no return. We're going
7	to redevelop this property. It's just a question
8	of with whom and when and how. Enough said.
9	PARTICIPANT: We've never been against
10	it.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I get it. Now it's
12	time just to say okay, it's time to go. Let's get
13	it.
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Ms. Dwyer, do you
16	mind waiting?
17	MS. DWYER: Not at all.
18	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Okay, sorry.
19	You've been
20	MS. DWYER: Not at all.
21	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Yes.
22	MS. HAMILTON: My name is Michelle

1	Hamilton, and I'm still a Barry Farm resident. You
2	all relocated me over there by the Navy Yard, then
3	set me up with them damn White people. I'm telling
4	you all
5	(Simultaneous speaking.)
6	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Can you stop for
7	one moment?
8	MS. HAMILTON: I'm trying to tell you
9	something.
LO	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Excuse me
L1	MS. HAMILTON: I came here to tell you
L2	something.
L3	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Excuse me. You
L4	need to stop.
L5	MS. HAMILTON: Who is this to tell me
L6	
L7	(Simultaneous speaking.)
L8	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: You need to stop.
L9	You do not have the right to continue to talk about
20	race the way you do. I was very disappointed in
21	you last month. I wasn't here. I do not want to
22	hear you talking about the White people. It's not

okay. 1 Okay, MS. HAMILTON: I'll say 2 3 something else. VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Do you hear me? 4 5 MS. HAMILTON: Them people. Who is 6 this coming to my house tomorrow? Hand this to Who is this coming to my house tomorrow? 7 him. came up here last month and told you all that 8 they're locking the HUD kids out the building, and 9 they're not doing what they're supposed to do about 10 the HUD people that live in there. 11 12 Then you all supposed to came, and then we supposed to have storage until we move. 13 still ain't nobody -- the man didn't even show up. 14 He came, took my name, said he was going to come. 15 He never showed up. Now who is that? 16 That letter came out yesterday, the day 17 where you all are having the meeting for them to 18 19 come tomorrow. Who is that? They don't even have a call bell. Them kids still knocking on my door. 20 The man didn't even show up, talking about he was 21

going to show up and come and see what the matter

1	was. You don't even know who that is, but it's in
2	housing.
3	MR. GARRETT: They're just doing a
4	routine annual inspection for compliance.
5	MS. HAMILTON: Wait a minute. We have
6	an inspection every three months. Every three
7	months, they come up in our house, talking about
8	the bank this. This is for the Housing Authority.
9	This is for the bank. This is for the developers.
10	Everybody come up in there every six
11	months or every three months. They find a way to
12	come up in our house. But now my daggone
13	refrigerator is not working, all that cheap stuff
14	in there. Then my bathroom got mold in it, and I
15	ain't even been in there a year.
16	My house is the only house in front of
17	the walkway where the dogs doo-doo. You can smell
18	it coming in the building. Then when I say
19	something to those people, you all are ready to put
20	me out. I'm not discriminating. It's the truth.
21	You all have to not set us up like this.
22	You all set us up to move in these

You all set us up to move in these

mixed-income places, and this is what we have to go to. Don't nobody in HUD participate in anything that they have going on in that building. I talked to the resident manager. She going to say you snuck down to the Board of Commissioners and talked about me. I said honey, I don't got to talk about you, and I don't sneak nowhere. She said she's not going to accommodate us. I wish I had it on tape. She said she's not going to accommodate us people. I asked her who was us? She said HUD. That was the resident manager.

Because I said something to the concierge man when he did not -- I'm going to tell you, the concierge man came in there, told my grandbaby he couldn't be in the computer room without an adult. When I came back to address the issues, the little kids, the little Caucasian kids was in there.

I asked him why did you say something to those kids, and you didn't say nothing -- you said something -- because you're seeing a little Black boy come in here and you said something, but

you didn't say nothing to them? I don't know.

I said no, you didn't know that because you only saw one person. So she asked me did I have a problem with the concierge. I told her yes, he better not say nothing else to me. If he do, he better say it to you or say it to me. But I'm talking about main issues here. I'm talking about those kids being locked out the building, those kids that can't use the room, the game room or the lounge, because it's there for show. \$150 an hour to use what? Where do we come in that?

Where do we come in when you're lose a key, \$40? If you've got a family of six, how you going to afford \$40? You don't even pay that much for your rent. \$40 for a key, and then you only get two master keys to the whole place. You don't get to go up to your apartment. Those kids have to get in, put the trash and everything.

They're not worried about those issues.

Those are the issues I'm worried about. They can't afford it. You all need to help them because our kids is being locked out in the pouring rain and

the snow. I'm here for that.

And I'm here because I was supposed to have somebody come to my house and look at my leaking -- I mean look at my house. I'm not sitting here discriminating. I'm just telling you who -- I'm telling you all who I'm supposed to tell on.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I appreciate your testifying. Ms. Dwyer.

MS. HAMILTON: I appreciate if somebody get down there and talk to that manager. Who is these people right here coming tomorrow? Do you all know who they is? Because I'm going to tell them, too. You got a new Bixby sign, big as day, up on the side, I know you done paid big money for, talking about we can't afford to put a call bell right there.

They wasn't even thinking about the back apartments. They got it in the front, where all the other dogs and stuff walk through the building, but they don't got nothing for the other people, the kids or nobody to get in the people.

1	She's talking about now, I'm going to start
2	watching the tape.
3	You should have been watching the tape
4	with the dogs doo-dooing everywhere. I wish
5	somebody would please come to my house, or you can
6	put me back in raggedy Barry Farm. Who coming to
7	my house? Can I get somebody's answer down today?
8	MR. GARRETT: We'll try to find out
9	exactly who it is. It doesn't state who it is.
10	MS. HAMILTON: It don't state?
11	MR. GARRETT: No, it says Department of
12	Housing and Community Development.
13	MS. HAMILTON: But they're coming up in
14	their house, and then they're going to talk about
15	they're going to send you a one-day letter and come
16	up in your house the next day.
17	MR. GARRETT: Can you just get a copy
18	of this for me?
19	MS. HAMILTON: I'm saying who's coming
20	to is you coming to my house this time? Because
21	I waited for you. I could have been doing other
22	things. They want to play their record. They

1	won't play something from Barry Farm. You said you
2	were going to play it for them. Could we get
3	permission to play you all something for us,
4	please?
5	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Excuse me?
6	MS. HAMILTON: Excuse me. Could we
7	have permission from the Board to play something
8	that our kids done?
9	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Sure.
10	MS. HAMILTON: Sure, thank you.
11	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: I would love to
12	hear it.
13	MS. HAMILTON: I appreciate that.
14	Thank you.
15	(Off-microphone comments.)
16	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Are you guys going
17	to come up?
18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
19	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Are you guys going
20	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Are you guys going to come up?

1	thought we were going to get a live performance.
2	PARTICIPANT: No, we can work that one
3	out, but not today.
4	(Audio played.)
5	PARTICIPANT: That's it. Thanks for
6	listening.
7	VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you. Ms.
8	Dwyer.
9	MS. DWYER: Thank you. Good
10	afternoon. I don't think I can compete with the
11	music, but I'll do my best. I'm Margaret Dwyer,
12	Ward 3. I'm here representing the 5,000
13	district-wide members of SURJ DC, Showing Up for
14	Racial Justice.
15	I'm here in solidarity with Empower DC
16	and the Barry Farm Tenant and Allies Association.
17	I want to begin by sharing an experience I had a
18	couple of months ago. I attended Director
19	Garrett's open office hours. It was really a
20	wonderful experience. First of all, I applaud
21	that kind of accessibility. I'm not a resident or

anything, and I was just as welcome as anybody else.

I had a few minutes in the waiting room with a number of residents and people who hope to become residents or voucher holders.

I was so touched by the kindness and the courtesy extended to all of these folks by the housing staff. One of the staff members came out, and some folks had been waiting quite a while, and they offered them treats and made everybody feel really welcome.

It was very genuinely kind hearted and open hearted. The same thing happened when I went into Director Garrett's office and met with him. He heard me out. I felt really welcome. It was this culture that felt so compassionate and kind.

At the same time, very shortly thereafter, the Court of Appeals decision came down that really threw out the window so many aspects of the redevelopment plan for Barry Farm and was a real vindication of all of the concerns that the residents have been raising for years and years about this plan. I just want to call for a marriage between those two different kinds of realities in

the Housing Authority. On the one hand, this culture of kindness and openness and compassion, and on the other hand, this more bureaucratic side that doesn't seem to include the residents sufficiently.

I have three questions related to that.

One is how is the Housing Authority going to work with stakeholders to honor that court decision and to seize this moment -- we could really be an example for the country of how redevelopment could happen in a humane, respectful, smart way.

This is a moment that we should be taking advantage of. I want to know -- I heard the director say that he's going to be working with partners to develop a strategy -- may I finish my questions?

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Please, yes.

MS. DWYER: -- to bring to the public in the next few weeks. I want to know who the partners are? Is the Barry Farm Tenant and Allies Association at the table? If not, why not, and when will they be? Finally, in light of the needed

1	redevelopment plan, the changes in the
2	redevelopment plan, I just want to hear a public
3	reassurance that the housing authority is
4	absolutely unswervingly, unwaveringly committed
5	to the right to return of Barry Farm residents,
6	regardless of any other changes that might occur
7	in the redevelopment plan. Thank you.
8	MR. GARRETT: I'm going to answer your
9	second question first and say yes, we're committed
10	to returning all the relocated residents that
11	desire to come back. As far as engaging the
12	community with whatever proposed redevelopment
13	plan that is finally arrived at by the parties,
14	remember, we're partnering, also, with our
15	partner, as of right now, A&R and POAH, the
16	development team, and also, we have
17	MS. DWYER: Could you say that again?
18	Excuse me.
19	MR. GARRETT: A&R, and also POAH.
20	MS. DWYER: POAH?
21	MR. GARRETT: POAH, P-O-A-H. Also,
22	DMPED is also involved, so we're all looking at

exactly what we need to do in order to meet the requirements. Transparency is something that I'm promoting from the Housing Authority standpoint. That's something, when I'm at the table, we're going to talk about. My team knows that is important, that we engage the residents, so we have their support on what we're doing. You can't have their support if you don't have communication. That's something that I'm going to stress during those conversations about exactly how we proceed next.

MS. DWYER: Okay, thank you.

VICE CHAIR SLOVER: Thank you for testifying. That concludes our list of public witnesses and our meeting. The next Board of Commissioners meeting will be held on Wednesday, September 12, 2018, at 1:00 p.m., at the DCHA 1133 Capital headquarters, North Street, Northeast, Washington, D.C. 20002. Hope everybody has a wonderful and safe summer, and we look forward to seeing some of you in September. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

went off the record at 3:40 p.m.)

