



ADDENDUM

ADDENDUM NO. 4

ISSUED: June 4, 2010

**SOLICITATION NO.: DCHE 2010-14
Kentucky Courts Garden Project 13th and C Street, SE
Washington, DC 20003-2344**

The District of Columbia Housing Authority (DCHA), on behalf of its wholly-owned subsidiary DC Housing Enterprises (DCHE), hereby issues and addendum to the subject solicitation. All respondents shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum in their proposal. **Failure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum may be cause for rejection of your proposal.** Respondents are informed that the above named solicitation is modified as follows:

Reference "Attachment A" to all questions received and responses. The Question and Answer period is closed.

In addition, All contractors that are interested in accessing the boiler room may visit the site at 1301 C Street, SE (laundry room – rear of building), Tuesday, June 8, 2010, at 10:30am -11:30am

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 4

Lisa Dean
Contracting Officer

Acknowledgement of Receipt:

Respondent: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Niyvah Electrical Contractors, LLC

1. Can I gain access to the boiler room in Building 1301?
Response: All contractors that are interested in accessing the boiler room may visit the site at 1301 C Street, SE (laundry room- rear of bldg.), Tuesday, June 8, 2010, 10:30a.m-11:30a.m.
2. Can I have access to any documentation resulting from inquiries during the question and answer period?
Response: Yes

Vista Contracting, Inc

1. Is there a Bid Bond required for this project and if so what is the percentage (5, 10, or 20%)?
Response: Yes, the bid bond requirement is 5%.
2. Site furnishings specification states Victor Stanley, Inc. or equal, however drawing L-3.2 indicates Country Casual, please advise which manufacturer is to be used?
Response: The manufacturer to be used is Victor Stanley or equal, See IFB, Scope of Work, Section B.6, Item 5.
3. We have one other item besides the bid bond to clarify if possible. Amendment 2 does not address or clarify whether or not a bid bond is needed and what percent.
**Response:
The Contractor will be required to have fully executed bid bond at 5% of the proposed bid amount prior to execution of the contract.**

The other clarification is for the shed and pagoda, Dwg.

L1 states potting shed and pagoda by others and Dwg. L3.3 states to submit D/B for approval.

Response: The potting shed and pergola are to be designed and built “by others” (based on the schematic plans in the set) but the design team wanted to be able to review and approve the drawings before fabrication/installation of these items—hence the request to submit drawings. The “Others” is whoever you select to be the designer/builder of these items.

4. Dwg. L1 indicates detail #2 on L3.1 & B Header Course Brick Paver however detail #2 on L3.1 shows brick or concrete unit pavers.
Response: the header course is to be brick (no concrete pavers), with color to match the brick paving used for the sidewalk.

Questions and Answers – ATTACHMENT A
Kentucky Courts Garden Project – Solicitation No. DCHE 2010-14
13th and C Street, SE Washington, DC 20003-2344

5. Dwg. L1 detail #3 indicated stone Dust path, detail 3 however on L3.1 shows hand rail.
Response: please refer to details 9 & 10/L-3.1 for the stone dust path details.
6. Dwg. L1 detail #4 on L3.1 calls for brick planter however dwg. L3.1 is missing detail #4.
Response: please refer to detail 12/L-3.0 for schematic design of planter walls, and refer to Structural Sheet S-2 (lower right corner) for “Typ. Section @ Planter Wall” section detail.
7. Dwg. L1 detail #5 on L3.0 indicated Timber planter however detail #5 does not indicate height, please provide?
Response: timber planters are to be 6” ht (one timber) above grade.
8. Dwg. L1 indicates detail #4 on L3.0 & blank on S2 these details do not exist, please provide.
Response: please refer to detail 13/L-3.0 for schematic elevation; please also refer to Structural Sheet S-2 (top detail—see notes for 4’ ht wall) for the concrete wall w/ brick rowlock cap. NOTE: these walls are to be 3’-6” (42”) ht, not the 4’ ht indicated.
9. Dwg. L1 detail #3 on L3.1 calls for waste receptacle however detail #3 on L3.1 shows handrail.
Response: See IFB, Scope of Work, Section B.6, Item 5.
10. Do you have a spec for this project. The note on L1 – states fence by specified local provider or approved equal.
Response: There is no specification for the metal fencing, other than the notes and schematic elevation for design intent shown in details 10 & 11/Sheet L-3.0. A good fence contractor to start with might be Long Fence—they will then submit shop drawings for review.

Also, are the wood gates part of the scope? I saw the note on L-1 where it said by others, however they are shown on L3.

Response: The wood gates are to be design/build—likely by the same fence contractor as above; detail 12/L-3.0 is shown for design intent. Note, all gates are to meet current ADA accessibility requirements.

Fort Myer Construction

1. On the PEPCO service issue, if underground service, as per PEPCO standards, the duct bank should be 2x4" PVC conduit not just 1x4". Please clarify

Response: Power is coming from the existing service in the boiler room.

Contractor shall pull power from the existing Main Distribution Panel in boiler room of 1301 C Street.

Contractor shall come out of the main panel to a new 100 Amp 3phase disconnect / breaker panel.

Contractor shall feed the new exterior and site lighting from the new disconnect All new wiring shall be in rigid conduit.

Contractor shall pay for all repairs and/or damages to exterior walls made during construction.

2. The drawings show new electrical service that originates from a PEPCO manhole but the specs (on page 12) says "Note: *All power supply shall originate from the boiler room of 1301 C Street, SE. New or proposed PEPCO Meter, Transformer and in-grade flood lights (A-1, (three)) along the existing ramp are Not-In-Contract.*" Please clarify.
Response: Power to originate from Boiler Room per IFB scope of work. The underground service shall originate from the boiler room. Disregard the detail A1, B1 and C1, shown on sheet No.E-1.
3. If we need to install a new underground service who's responsible for paying the PEPCO fee and the amount (if the contractor has to pay the fee).
Response: See response to question no.1 above.
4. Stone Dust Path detail
Response: please refer to details 9 & 10/L-3.1 for the stone dust path details.
5. What's being used in place of the L-3.1/4 detail if applicable
Response: please refer to detail 13/L-3.0 for schematic elevation; please also refer to Structural Sheet S-2 (top detail—see notes for 4' ht wall) for the concrete wall w/ brick rowlock cap. NOTE: these walls are to be 3'-6" (42") ht, not the 4' ht indicated.

Questions and Answers – ATTACHMENT A
Kentucky Courts Garden Project – Solicitation No. DCHE 2010-14
13th and C Street, SE Washington, DC 20003-2344

6. C-6 detail#7
Response: detail 7/C-6 is the DC standard brick paving detail to be used for the sidewalk paving where noted on the plans.

7. L-3.0 detail 9 states “Proposed planter wall” if not there detail L-3.1/4 detail alternative not needed.
Response: please refer to details 9 & 12/L-3.0 for schematic elevation and design intent; please also refer to Structural Sheet S-2 (lower right corner) for “Typ. Section @ Planter Wall” section detail.

8. What detail pertains to the wall that runs parallel to the stone dust path.
Response: edges/boundaries are all brick header courses/bands, per detail 2/L-3.1.

Padula Construction

1. Sheet L.3.0: detail 11 of the fence – the pickets and posts are sized – but what size and shape are the horizontal members?
Response: Horizontal rails are typically 1-3/8” x 1-1/2” U-channels, pre-punched to accept the pickets. This is a standard size from various manufacturers (like Long Fence or Monumental Iron Works).